### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

)

)

))

т	
ln	re
111	IC.

MID-VALLEY, INC., et. al.,

Reorganized Debtors.

Jointly Administered at Case No. 03-35592 JKF

Chapter 11

Document No. \_\_\_\_

## ORDER OF COURT PERMITTING THE FILING OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS WITHOUT REOPENING THE REORGANIZATION CASES<sup>1</sup>

**WHEREAS**, this Court entered an Order of Final Decree on November 21, 2005 at Docket No. 2514 ordering that the Reorganized Debtors' Reorganization Cases be closed; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the terms of the Confirmation Order, the Asbestos PI

Trust Documents and the Silica PI Trust Documents, certain additional informational and other filings are anticipated to be made including, but not limited to (i) annual reports to be filed by the Asbestos and Silica PI Trusts; and (ii) amended Plan Exhibits filed by the Reorganized Debtors (collectively, the "Additional Filings"); and

WHEREAS, at the hearing held on November 6, 2006, this Court indicated that

the Additional Filings should not necessitate the reopening of these Reorganization Cases or any related payment of filing fees; it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that the Clerk of this Court is directed to accept the Additional

Filings and the Additional Filings shall not require the reopening of these Reorganized Cases or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used herein without definition shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the Uniform Glossary of Defined Terms for Plan Documents filed of record on November 5, 2004 (Dkt. No. 2086).

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 7

the payment of any related filing fees; and it is further

**ORDERED**, each such Additional Filing shall include a copy of this Order, affixed to the front of the Additional Filing; and it is further

**ORDERED**, that counsel for the Reorganized Debtors shall immediately serve a copy of this Order on (i) each entity set forth in the Reorganized Debtors' current Official Service List; (ii) each entity set forth on the current Bankruptcy Rule 2002 Notice List; and (iii) any other parties-in-interest, and file a certificate of service with the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court within ten (10) days hereof; and it is further

**ORDERED**, that the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court is hereby directed to make a notation on the dockets that, the Reorganization Cases (Case Nos. 03-35592, 03-35593, 03-35595, 03-35596, 03-35597, 03-35599, 03-35600, and 03-35601) have been closed.

Dated: 11/16/2006 Dated: 12:39:42 , 2006

Judith K. Fitzgerald wmk

udith K. Fitzgerald United States Bankruptcy Judge

### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

§

§

§ §

§ §

In re:

MID-VALLEY, INC., et al.,

**Reorganized Debtors** 

Jointly Administered at Case No. 03-35592-JKF

Chapter 11

## DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST'S 2017 ANNUAL REPORT AND NOTICE OF UNCLAIMED PROPERTY

## 2017 ANNUAL REPORT

Pursuant to the DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, the Trustees of the DII

Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust ("Trust") report the following 2017 activities:

## I. Financial Statements

The Trust's Special-Purpose Financial Statements with Report of Independent Auditors

for the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 are attached as Exhibit A.

## II. Claims Summary

A summary regarding the number and types of claims the Trust disposed of in 2017 is attached as Exhibit B.

## III. Trustees' Fees, Expenses, and Activities

The Trustees earned \$897,723 in 2017 (a) conducting weekly meetings with the Trust's staff; (b) conducting quarterly meetings with the Trust Advisory Committee and Legal Representative; (c) monitoring the Trust's financial portfolio; (d) regularly meeting with the Trust's financial advisors and investment managers; (e) evaluating and revising the Trust's investment guidelines; (f) supervising the Trust's activity in arbitrations, mediations, and litigation; (g) considering and revising the Trust's claim review processes; (h) monitoring the Trust's staffing needs and staff

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 7

compensation; (j) supervising the Trust's claims audit program; (k) implementing the framework for the evaluation and resolution of Foreign Claims; (l) reviewing and approving the Trust's financial statements; (m) supervising the Trust's information security enhancements; and (n) otherwise administering the Trust. Additionally, the Trust reimbursed \$40,983 of the expenses the Trustees incurred.

In particular, and as described below, the Trustees devoted significant attention to the (a) oversight of the Trust's claims audit program; (b) increase in claim values; (c) implementation of the framework for the Trust's evaluation and resolution of Foreign Claims; (d) reconciliation and revision of conflicting terms in the investment provisions of the Trust Agreement; and (e) revision of the list of sites at which asbestos-containing products of the debtors were present.

#### A. Claims Audit Program

Throughout 2017, the Trustees monitored the progress and results of more than 610 claim audits the Trust resolved during the year. The Trustees received frequent reports on these audits from Trust staff and attorneys, and they provided feedback in response. In addition, they consulted with the Trust Advisory Committee and Legal Representative about whether and how the Trust's claim review process should be changed in light of audit results.

To ensure that it continues to comprehensively examine and promptly resolve claims undergoing audit, the Trust substantially increased the resources devoted to its audit department in 2017. Seven attorneys, including three who joined the Trust's audit department in 2017, worked primarily on auditing and resolving such claims.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 7

#### B. Increase in Claim Values

To account, at least in part, for inflation, the Trustees, with the consent of the Trust Advisory Committee and Legal Representative, raised the Trust's Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values by one percent in 2017. The Trustees, Trust Advisory Committee, and Legal Representative also agreed in 2017 to increase the Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values by another one percent effective January 1, 2018. Current Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values for Harbison-Walker Claims and Non-Harbison-Walker Claims are available at www.diiasbestostrust.org.

#### C. Evaluation of Foreign Claims

In 2017, after consulting with the Trust Advisory Committee and the Legal Representative, the Trustees implemented a framework for the evaluation and resolution of approximately 18,500 pending Foreign Claims. Among other things, the Trustees requested and obtained the consent of the Trust Advisory Committee and Legal Representative to clarify the Trust Distribution Procedures, primarily as they pertain to the treatment of Foreign Claims. A copy of the resulting Eighth Amended Trust Distribution Procedures is attached as Exhibit C and is available at www.diiasbestostrust.org. A comparison of the Seventh and Eighth Trust Distribution Procedures is attached as Exhibit D.

#### D. Investment Provision of the Trust Agreement

In 2017, after consulting with the Trust's financial advisors, the Trustees, with the consent of the Trust Advisory Committee and the Legal Representative, amended article 3.2 of the Trust Agreement, primarily to reconcile conflicting provisions of that article and allow the Trust to hold a greater percentage of its assets in hedge funds. The Trust's ability to acquire,

3

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 7

rather than hold, interests in hedge funds was not changed. The amendments to article 3.2 of the Trust Agreement are shown on the attached Exhibit E.

#### E. Documented Site List

Based on the Trust's ongoing research and its review of documents regarding the debtors' products and sales, shipments, and installations thereof, in 2017, the Trustees oversaw several revisions to the Trust's list of Documented Sites. A report of changes made to the Documented Sites list in 2017 is attached as Exhibit F.

## IV. Trust Advisory Committee Fees, Expenses, and Activities

In 2017, the Trust paid the Trust Advisory Committee and its legal counsel and other professionals \$191,084 in fees and reimbursed \$3,818 of the expenses they incurred. During the year, the Trust Advisory Committee advised the Trustees in their performance of the activities listed above.

#### V. Legal Representative Fees, Expenses, and Activities

In 2017, the Trust paid the Legal Representative and its legal counsel and other professionals \$156,342 in fees and reimbursed \$8,178 of the expenses they incurred. Like the Trust Advisory Committee, the Legal Representative advised the Trustees in their performance of the activities listed above.

#### VI. Additional Information

For additional information regarding the Trust's financial statements or operations, please contact its Executive Director, Marcellene Malouf, at P.O. Box 821628, Dallas, Texas 75382 or at 214-271-0551 or go to www.diiasbestostrust.org.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 7

#### NOTICE OF UNCLAIMED PROPERTY

Pursuant to section 9.6(a) of the Conformed Fourth Amended and Restated Joint Prepackaged Plan of Reorganization for the Debtors under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, attached as Exhibit G is information regarding unclaimed or undeliverable funds that the Trust distributed.

> /s/ Alan R. Kahn, Managing Trustee DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust

> > /s/

Mark M. Gleason, Trustee DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust

/s/

Honorable Robert M. Parker, Trustee DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 1 of 19

## Exhibit A

Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 2 of 19

## **DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST**

Special-Purpose Financial Statements with Report of Independent Auditors

Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 3 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

	Page
Report of Independent Auditors	I - 2
Financial Statements:	
Special-Purpose Statement of Net Claimants' Equity	3
Special-Purpose Statement of Changes in Net Claimants' Equity	4
Special-Purpose Statement of Changes in Investments	5
Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements	6 - 16

Table of Contents



15301 Dallas Parkway Suite 960 Addison, Texas 75001 MAIN 214 545 3965 FAX 214 545 3966 www.bkmsh.com

## **REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS**

To the Trustees of the DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust

We have audited the accompanying special-purpose financial statements of the DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust (the Trust), which comprise the statement of net claimants' equity as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of changes in net claimants' equity and changes in investments for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the special-purpose accounting methods adopted by the Trust and its Trustees, as described in Note 2. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal controls relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal controls relevant to the Trust's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trust's internal controls. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 5 of 19

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the special-purpose financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Trust as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and their changes in investments for the years then ended in conformity with the special-purpose method of accounting.

#### **Basis of Accounting**

We draw attention to Note 2 of the special-purpose financial statements, which describes the method of accounting. These financial statements were prepared pursuant to a special-purpose method of accounting, which differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Trust has used the special-purpose method of accounting to communicate to its beneficiaries the net assets available for the payment of claims and the related operating expenses. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Restriction of Use

This report is intended for the information and use of the Trustees, management of the Trust, and for filing with the United States Bankruptcy Court for Western District of Pennsylvania – Pittsburgh Division, and it should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which, upon filing with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania – Pittsburgh Division, is a matter of public record.

BKM Sowan Horan, LLP

April 17, 2018 Addison, Texas

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 6 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

		December 31,				
	_	2017		2016		
ASSETS	_					
Investments, at fair value	\$	2,008,991,789	\$	1,940,652,801		
Investment income receivable		14,346,994		15,705,717		
Prepaid expenses and other assets		154,563		592,836		
Property and equipment, net		151,196		157,235		
Income taxes receivable	_	-		312,586		
Total assets		2,023,644,542		1,957,421,175		
LIABILITIES						
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		617,761		557,475		
Settled but unpaid claims		5,945,446		2,624,869		
Income tax payable		1,511,464		-		
Deferred tax liability	_	127,742,638		97,848,659		
Total liabilities	_	135,817,309		101,031,003		
Net claimants' equity (Note 2)	\$_	1,887,827,233	\$	1,856,390,172		

## Special-Purpose Statements of Net Claimants' Equity

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 7 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

		Years ended December 31,				
		2017		2016		
Additions						
Interest and dividend income, net	\$	42,821,496	\$	44,258,830		
Net realized gain on investments		15,441,621		727,942		
Net unrealized gain on investments, net						
of investment fees and expenses		93,884,474		-		
Income tax benefit	_	-		961,151		
Total additions		152,147,591		45,947,923		
Deductions						
Asbestos claims payment expense		(74,022,483)		(169,129,449)		
Net unrealized loss on investments, net						
of investment fees and expenses		-		(4,463,780)		
Operating and legal expenses		(8,205,933)		(8,206,523)		
Income tax expense	_	(38,482,114)		-		
Total deductions	_	(120,710,530)		(181,799,752)		
Change in net claimants' equity		31,437,061		(135,851,829)		
<b>Net claimants' equity</b> , beginning of year	_	1,856,390,172		1,992,242,001		
<b>Net claimants' equity</b> , end of year	\$	1,887,827,233	\$	1,856,390,172		

## Special-Purpose Statements of Changes in Net Claimants' Equity

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 8 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

		Years ended December 31,				
	_	2017		2016		
Investment inflows	-					
Investment income, including						
net realized gains	\$	59,621,840	\$	46,967,530		
Change in unrealized gains on investments	_	93,884,474		-		
Total inflows		153,506,314		46,967,530		
Investment outflows						
Asbestos claims payments		(70,701,906)		(170,674,918)		
Operating and legal payments		(7,701,420)		(8,782,372)		
Change in unrealized losses on investments		-		(4,463,780)		
Income taxes paid	_	(6,764,000)		(750,000)		
Total outflows	_	(85,167,326)	_	(184,671,070)		
Net increase (decrease) in investments		68,338,988		(137,703,540)		
Investments, beginning of year	_	1,940,652,801	_	2,078,356,341		
Investments, end of year	\$	2,008,991,789	\$	1,940,652,801		

## Special-Purpose Statements of Changes in Investments

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 9 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note I – Description of the Trust

## General

The Trust is a Pennsylvania common law trust, and is a Qualified Settlement Fund within the meaning of Treasury Department regulations issued pursuant to Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code. The Trust was created on January 20, 2005, in connection with the plan of reorganization (the Plan) for DII Industries, LLC and certain of its affiliated debtors and debtorsin-possession (the Debtors). The Debtors were direct or indirect subsidiaries of the Halliburton Company (Halliburton). The Plan was confirmed by order entered on July 21, 2004, by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania (the Order). The Order was affirmed by the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania on December I, 2004. The Plan became effective on January 20, 2005. The purpose of the Trust is to assume the asbestos liabilities of the Debtors, Halliburton, Harbison-Walker Refractories Company, and certain other businesses and to use the Trust's assets and income to pay holders of those liabilities so that all holders of similar asbestos claims, both current and future, are treated in a substantially equivalent manner.

Halliburton, its affiliates, and other related companies were granted the protection of a permanent channeling injunction entered by the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the Order. The injunction enjoins the assertion of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (Asbestos Claims) against those entities and channels such claims to the Trust for resolution.

The Trustees are fiduciaries to the Trust and are responsible for administering the Trust and the Trust's assets in accordance with the Plan.

The Trust is governed by a Trust Agreement (TA) and Trust Distribution Procedures (TDP) that establish the framework and criteria for allowance and payment of Asbestos Claims by the Trust.

The Trust's assets consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents, and investments, which, with the earnings on such investments, are intended to be totally consumed by the allowance and payment of claims and operation of the Trust. The percentage of the amount of each allowed claim that will actually be paid will be determined by projections of total allowable Asbestos Claims and operational expenses of the Trust, on the one hand, and total assets and net earnings, on the other.

The Trust will terminate in accordance with TA article 7.2.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 10 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### **Basis of accounting**

The Trust's financial statements are prepared using a special-purpose accounting method adopted by the Trust and its Trustees, which differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The special-purpose accounting method does not record the Trust's ultimate claims liability, but otherwise conforms with GAAP.

## Cash equivalents

The Trust considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three (3) months or less to be cash equivalents.

#### Investments

Investments are stated at estimated fair value. When quoted prices in active markets for identical assets are available, these quoted market prices are used to determine the fair value. In other cases the Trust may hold investments for which there is no active market or the market is dislocated. When determining the fair value of such investments, the valuation is dependent on facts and circumstances requiring judgment by management. In exercising that judgment, management relies upon the valuation by its managers and advisors. It is acceptable to use inputs based on estimates or assumptions, or to make adjustments to observable inputs to determine fair value when markets are not active and relevant observable inputs are not available.

Changes in fair value are recorded as additions and deductions to net claimants' equity. Realized gains and losses on investments in securities are calculated based on the specific identification method. The Trust records securities transactions on a trade-date basis. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date. Interest is recorded on an accrual basis. All investments are considered to be available for sale.

## Claims and claims processing expense

Amounts due to claimants for allowed claims are recorded when signed releases are received and verified. Allowed claims with signed releases that are received and verified but not paid before the end of the year are accrued as settled, but unpaid claims in the special-purpose statements of net claimants' equity. Additional or supplemental payments receive the same treatment when signed acknowledgement letters have been received and verified. See Note 4.

Claims processing expenses are recorded as incurred and are included in operating and legal expenses in the special-purpose statements of changes in net claimants' equity.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 11 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – (Continued)

## **Property and equipment**

Property and equipment is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. The Trust uses both straight-line and accelerated methods of depreciation over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from 5 to 7 years. Depreciation expense was approximately \$64,000 and \$43,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and is included in operations and legal expenses in the accompanying special-purpose statements of changes in net claimants' equity.

## Net claimants' equity

The Trust, under the adopted special-purpose accounting convention, does not record the liability for future claims expected to be filed over the life of the Trust. Net claimants' equity is available for (i) the payments of allowed asbestos-related claims and (ii) operational expenses of the Trust.

## Concentration of credit risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Trust to concentrations of credit risk, consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents, and investments. The Trust maintains cash and cash equivalents at financial institutions it considers to be of high credit quality. At times, the Trust may have cash deposits in banks that exceed federally insured limits. The Trust has not experienced any losses in such accounts and based on consultations with its advisors, does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk.

## Concentration of credit risk - continued

The Trust's investments are exposed to various risks such as interest rate, market, and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investments, it is possible the values of investments may change, and such changes could materially affect the value of the Trust's investment portfolio.

The Trust has a formal investment policy that provides for diversification and establishes standards to invest the Trust's assets.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 12 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – (Continued)

#### Use of estimates

The preparation of the special-purpose financial statements requires the Trust's Trustees and management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the financial statement date, and the reported amounts of additions to and deductions from net claimants' equity during the reporting periods. Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include the carrying value of investments and the recorded values of current and deferred income taxes. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

## Statements of changes in investments

The accompanying statements of changes in investments represent the various inflows and outflows of cash during the reporting periods in order to reconcile the changes in investments, at fair value, from the beginning to the end of the respective year on the cash basis.

#### Income taxes

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon differences between financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is established when it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets may not be realized. The amount of income taxes the Trust pays is subject to ongoing audits by federal authorities. The Trust's estimate of the potential outcome of any uncertain tax issues is subject to the Trustees' assessment of relevant risks, facts and circumstances existing at that time. The Trust uses a more likely than not threshold for financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. To the extent that the Trust's assessment of such tax positions changes, the change in estimate is recorded in the period in which the determination is made. The Trust reports tax-related interest and penalties as a component of income tax expense and operating and legal expenses, respectively. The Trust has not recognized any income tax liability or expense related to the review of uncertain tax positions.

#### Subsequent events

The Trust has evaluated events and transactions subsequent to the date of the special-purpose financial statements to determine if they require recognition or disclosure in the statements. The special-purpose financial statements consider events through April 17, 2018, the date on which the statements were available to be issued. There were no subsequent events requiring recognition or disclosure in the special-purpose financial statements.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 13 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – (Continued)

## New accounting pronouncements

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases* (Topic 842). The new standard was issued to increase transparency and comparability among organizations by recognizing lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet and disclosing key information about leasing arrangements. This standard affects any entity that enters into a lease, with some specified scope exemptions. The guidance in this Update supersedes FASB ASC 840, Leases. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Trust is currently assessing the impact of adopting this ASU on its financial statements and related disclosures.

## Note 3 – Funding of the Trust

Funding of the Trust was set forth in the Plan. On January 20, 2005, its effective date, the Trust received \$950,000 in cash proceeds, a note receivable from Halliburton in the amount of \$30,742,628 due December 31, 2005, and 59,500,000 shares of Halliburton stock from the Debtors, which had a market value of \$2,504,652,500. The value of funding, as of the effective date, totaled \$2,536,345,128.

All shares of the Halliburton stock were sold on March 23, 2005, generating proceeds to the Trust of \$2,481,983,000, net of fees and other charges of \$46,767,000. The note receivable was paid in full at a discounted amount of \$30,685,035 by December 31, 2005. The net cash realized from the funding of the Trust totaled \$2,513,618,035.

The Plan also provides for additional funding through the Asbestos PI Trust Additional Funding Agreement. Under the Asbestos PI Trust Additional Funding Agreement, the Debtors are obligated to pay the Trust any insurance recoveries in excess of \$2,300,000,000. This obligation ceases once the Debtors have paid an aggregate of \$700,000,000 to the Trust. No proceeds were received or due during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, in connection with the Asbestos PI Trust Additional Funding Agreement. No estimate of any future collection under this obligation has been recorded as it is uncertain to occur.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 14 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 4 - Claims Processing

The Trust reviews and determines Asbestos Claims in accordance with the TDP. The TDP provides for processing, and either disallowing or allowing, liquidating, and paying all Asbestos Claims as required by the Plan and the TA. For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, approximately \$74,000,000 and \$169,100,000, respectively, were expensed as asbestos claims payments. On December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Trust had approximately \$5,900,000 and \$2,600,000, respectively, in allowed claims which were qualified for payment but were unpaid at year-end.

During 2016, the Trustees, Trust Advisory Committee ("TAC"), and Legal Representative ("LR") approved a change to the Payment Percentage to 50.0%. As a result of the change, all claims paid at a 35.6% Payment Percentage, subject to certain limitations, were paid an additional payment by the Trust. As of December 31, 2016, the Trust had paid approximately \$103,000,000 in additional claims payments.

The TDP requires the Trust to dedicate 60% of the Maximum Available Payment (MAP), as defined in the TDP, to the payment of claims involving severe asbestosis and malignancies (Category A claims) and to dedicate 40% of the MAP to claims involving non-malignant asbestosis and pleural disease (Category B claims). This is measured annually. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Trust did not exhaust the MAP for either Category A or Category B claims.

## Note 5 – Estimated Asbestos Claims

At inception, the Trust estimated that its ultimate claims liability would be \$3,700,000,000. During 2016, the Trust updated its forecast and estimated that its remaining claims liability would be \$3,005,925,000. Beginning in January 2018, the Trust's experts began working on an updated forecast of the claims liability.

## Note 6 – Trust Advisory Committee and Legal Representative

The TA sets forth the role and responsibility of the TAC and LR. The members of the TAC serve in a fiduciary capacity representing all holders of present Asbestos Claims (Current Claimants). The Trustees are required to consult with or obtain the consent of the TAC on certain matters identified in the TA and the TDP. The TAC is comprised of eight attorneys who are engaged by Current Claimants.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 15 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 6 - Trust Advisory Committee and Legal Representative - (Continued)

The LR serves in a fiduciary capacity, representing the interests of the individuals who may file an Asbestos Claim in the future, but who at this time are unknown to the Trust (Future Asbestos Claimants). His role, in part, is to protect rights of the Future Asbestos Claimants. The Trustees are required to consult with or obtain the consent of the LR on certain matters identified in the TA and the TDP.

## Note 7 – Taxation

The Trust reports its income to the Internal Revenue Service as a designated settlement fund which is taxed at the highest rate applicable to trusts under Section I(e) of the Internal Revenue Code, which is 39.6% for the years ending December 31, 2017 and 2016.

On December 22, 2017, Public Law no. 115-97 generally known as Tax Cut and Jobs Act of 2017 (TCJA), was enacted into law. The TCJA includes a number of changes to existing U.S. tax laws that impact the Trust, most notably a reduction of the Trust's maximum tax rate from 39.6% to 37% and adjustments to net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards, beginning in January 2018. NOLs will be limited to 80% of modified taxable income without expiration. In accordance with Accounting Standard Codification 740, "Income Taxes", the impact of a change in tax law is recorded in the period of enactment. As a result of TCJA, the Trust revised the deferred tax assets and liabilities as required due to changes in the statutory rate.

The Trust's federal income tax expense is calculated as follows:

	Years ended December 31,			
		2017		2016
Modified taxable income	\$	25,986,110	\$	8,099,835
Tax rate		39.6%		39.6%
Current federal income tax expense		10,290,500		3,207,535
Adjustment to prior year estimates		(1,702,365)		-
Deferred federal income tax expense (benefit)		38,870,489		(4,168,686)
Deferred income tax benefit on rate changes		(8,976,510)		
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$	38,482,114	\$	(961,151)

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 16 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 7 - Taxation - (Continued)

The Trust's net deferred income tax liability is comprised of the tax on unrealized gains on investments of approximately \$127,700,000 and \$97,800,000 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

## Note 8 – Investments at Fair Value

The TA provides general guidelines for the investments of the Trust. More specific policies and guidelines are set forth in the Investment Guidelines, which were developed by the Trust's financial advisor and adopted by the Trustees.

The Trust's financial advisor and Trustees routinely review the Trust's asset allocation model and portfolio managers.

	Decemb	31, 2017		Decem	ber	31, 2016	
	Cost		Fair Value	-	Cost		Fair Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 99,383,480	\$	99,383,480	\$	60,037,660	\$	60,037,660
Equity securities	181,501,427		401,938,276		200,546,948		362,549,012
Foreign equity funds	30,000,000		87,707,878		30,000,000		69,553,609
Debt securities	1,214,015,961		1,240,112,116		1,272,877,465		1,288,114,251
Hedge funds	84,511,516		179,850,039	_	71,495,797		160,398,269
	\$ 1,609,412,384	\$	2,008,991,789	\$	1,634,957,870	\$	1,940,652,801

The estimated cost basis and fair values of the Trust's investments are as follows:

The Trust had a cumulative net unrealized gain on investments of approximately \$399,579,000 and \$305,695,000 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The Trust's net realized gain on sale of investments was approximately \$15,442,000 and \$728,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Interest and dividend income is shown net of bond discount amortization of approximately \$21,745,000 and \$23,386,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Investment fees and expenses included in net unrealized gain (loss) on investments was approximately \$7,419,000 and \$7,171,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 17 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 8 - Investments at Fair Value - (Continued)

The following are descriptions of the valuation methodologies used for financial assets measured at fair value, including the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy. There were no changes in valuation techniques during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

## Cash and cash equivalents

Cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates fair value. Cash and cash equivalents include cash balances and money market funds, which are classified as Level I investments.

## **Equity securities**

All of the Trust's investments in equity securities are publicly traded and are SEC filers. These securities are classified as Level I, as quoted prices are available for these securities in an active market.

## **Debt securities**

The Trust's investments in debt securities do not have quoted market prices. Their fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flow and are classified with Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. These Level 2 securities would include U.S. agency securities; mortgage-backed agency securities; obligations of states and political subdivisions; and certain corporate, asset-backed, and other securities.

## Foreign equity funds

The Trust's investment in foreign equity funds do not have quoted market prices. Their fair values are estimated by using pricing models and quoted prices of the publicly traded international securities included in the funds. The funds are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

## Hedge funds

The fair values for hedge funds are based on the net asset values provided by the funds, which are subject to independent financial statement audits. The Trust invests in hedge funds that pursue multiple strategies to diversify risk and reduce volatility. The Trust's independent financial advisors monitor, regularly meet with, and review each fund manager. Additionally, the Trustees regularly review manager performance reports and meet directly with the fund managers annually. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, there were no unfunded commitments to the hedge funds.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 18 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 8 - Investments at Fair Value - (Continued)

#### Hedge funds - continued

The hedge funds are subject to various restrictions or lock-up provisions, which could prevent the Trust from realizing the current fair value estimate. There are no remaining lock-up periods that prohibit redemption; however, the Trust's investments may be subject to certain fees and expenses upon liquidation before various dates. The Trust's liquidity by exit date without fees at December 31, 2017, is summarized as follows:

Liquidity within	
0 – 6 months	\$ 112,541,283
7 – 12 months	23,829,782
13 – 18 months	-
25 – 36 months	23,430,001
Designated illiquid	 20,048,973
	\$ 179,850,039

Financial assets with changes in fair value that are measured on a recurring basis were as follows:

				Decemb	oer 3	1, 2017		
	_	Level I	_	Level 2		Level 3		Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	99,383,480	\$	-	\$	-	\$	99,383,480
Equity securities		401,938,276		-		-		401,938,276
Foreign equity funds		-		87,707,878		-		87,707,878
Debt securities	_	-		1,240,112,116		-	-	1,240,112,116
	\$_	501,321,756	\$	1,327,819,994	\$	-	\$	
Hedge funds mea	sure	d at net asset valu	ue				-	179,850,039
Investments at fa	ir val	ue					\$	2,008,991,789

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-1 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit A Page 19 of 19 DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST

				Decembe	r 31,	2016		
	_	Level I		Level 2		Level 3		Total
Cash and cash equivalents Equity	\$	60,037,660	\$	-	\$	-	\$	60,037,660
securities Foreign equity funds		362,549,012		- 69,553,609		-		362,549,012 69,553,609
Debt securities		-		1,288,114,251		-		1,288,114,251
	\$_	422,586,672	\$_	1,357,667,860	\$	_	\$	
Hedge funds mea	sured	at net asset valu	le				_	160,398,269
Investments at fa	ir valu	e					\$_	1,940,652,801

Notes to Special-Purpose Financial Statements

## Note 8 - Investments at Fair Value - (Continued)

## Note 9 - Commitments and Contingencies

## Leases

The Trust has a non-cancelable lease for office space that expires at the end of 2018. Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, was approximately \$209,000 and \$199,000, respectively. The Trust is currently reviewing options for office space which includes renewing at their current location. Future minimum lease payments for the remaining non-cancelable terms of their current lease is approximately \$169,000 for the year ended December 31, 2018.

## Retirement plan

The Trust maintains a safe-harbor 401(k) benefit plan (the Plan), which covers all employees after one year of service who work at least 1,000 hours per year. The Trust makes matching contributions to the Plan up to 4% of eligible and enrolled employees' annual compensation. The Trust also contributes 3% of every eligible employee's salary, regardless of whether an employee has elected to enroll in the Plan. The Trust's contributions were approximately \$164,000 and \$126,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-2 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit B Page 1 of 2

## Exhibit B

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-2 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc <u>CLAIMS DISPOSED OF IN 2017</u>

	HAL Claims	HAL Payments	HW Claims	<b>HW Payments</b>
Claims Paid in 2017	5,604	\$30,739,973.43	2,753	\$33,533,913.98
Level I. Other Asbestos Disease	40	\$4,010.00	42	\$12,657.00
Level II. Asbestosis/Pleural Disease	2,026	\$1,117,380.00	1,273	\$2,421,913.80
Level III. Asbestosis/Pleural Disease	1,315	\$1,582,074.00	556	\$2,007,720.00
Level IV. Severe Asbestosis	70	\$322,959.82	51	\$741,388.64
Level V. Other Cancer	181	\$827,013.02	117	\$1,636,531.03
Level VI. Lung Cancer 2	672	\$913,850.18	186	\$1,436,297.15
Level VII. Lung Cancer 1	496	\$2,515,299.79	276	\$6,794,218.72
Level VIII. Mesothelioma	804	\$23,457,350.62	252	\$18,483,187.64
Claims Withdrawn and Deemed Withdrawn in 2017	29,985		31,662	
Claims Disallowed in 2017	2,048		1,218	

Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 1 of 44

# Exhibit C

Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 2 of 44

## DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST EIGHTH AMENDED TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES

(October 24, 2017)

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 3 of 44

## **DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST**

## EIGHTH AMENDED TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SECTION 1	Introduction						
1.1	Purpose1						
1.2	Interpretation						
SECTION 2	Overview1						
2.1	Asbestos PI Trust Goals 1						
2.2	Asbestos PI Trust Claim Liquidation Procedures						
2.3	Asbestos PI Trust Application of the Payment Percentage						
2.4	Asbestos PI Trust's Determination of the Maximum Annual Payment and Maximum Available Payment						
2.5	Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims Payment Ratio and Reduced Payment Option						
2.6	Asbestos PI Trust Indemnity and Contribution Claims						
SEC	TION 3 TDP Administration						
3.1	Asbestos TAC and Legal Representative7						
3.2	Consultation and Consent Procedures						
SECTION 4	Payment Percentage; Periodic Estimates						
4.1	Uncertainty of the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' Asbestos Personal Injury Liabilities						
4.2	Payment Percentage						
4.3	Applicability of the Payment Percentage9						
SECTION 5	Resolution of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims						
5.1	Ordering, Processing, and Payment of Claims9						
	5.1(a) Ordering of Claims						
	5.1(b) Payment of Claims						
	5.1(c) Adjusting Payments Option						

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 4 of 44

	5.2	Resolution of Liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims
		5.2(a) Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims
		5.2(b) Asbestos Final Judgment Claims
	5.3	Resolution of Unliquidated Asbestos Pl Trust Claims
		5.3(a) Expedited Review Process
		5.3(b) Individual Review Process
		5.3(c) Review and Adjustment of Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values 22
	5.4	Categorizing Claims as Extraordinary or Exigent Hardship
	5.5	Secondary Exposure Claims
	5.6	Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims
		5.6(a) In General
		5.6(b) Certain Indemnification Claims
	5.7	Evidentiary Requirements
		5.7(a) Medical Evidence
		5.7(b) Exposure Evidence
		5.7(c) Company Exposure
	5.8	Claims Audit Program
	5.9	Second Disease (Malignancy) Claims
	5.10	Arbitration
		5.10(a) Establishment of Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures
		5.10(b) Claims Eligible for Arbitration
		5.10(c) Limitations on and Payment of Arbitration Awards
	5.11	Litigation
SECTIO	ON 6 Cla	ims Materials
	6.1	Claims Materials
	6.2	Content of Claims Materials
	6.3	Withdrawal or Deferral of Claims
	6.4	Filing Requirements and Fees

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 5 of 44

SECTION 7	General Guidelines for Liquidating and Paying Claims	34
7.1	Showing Required	34
7.2	Costs Considered	34
7.3	Discretion to Vary the Order and Amounts of Payments in Event of Limited Liquidity	34
7.4	Punitive Damages	35
7.5	Sequencing Adjustments	35
	7.5(a) In General	35
	7.5(b) Unliquidated Asbestos Trust Claims	35
7.6	Suits in a Judicial Forum	36
7.7	Payment of Judgments for Money Damages	36
7.8	Releases	37
7.9	Third-Party Services	37
SECTION 8	Miscellaneous	37
8.1	Amendments	37
8.2	Severability	38
8.3	Governing Law	38
8.4	Confidentiality of Claimant Submissions	

## DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST EIGHTH AMENDED TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES

The DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust Eighth Amended Trust Distribution Procedures ("TDP") contained herein provide for resolving all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (including so-called "premises liability" claims) for which a Halliburton Entity or a Harbison-Walker Entity has legal responsibility, as provided in and required by the Debtors' Joint Prepackaged Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code ("Plan") and the DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust Agreement (the "Asbestos PI Trust Agreement"). The Plan and Asbestos PI Trust Agreement establish the DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust (the "Asbestos PI Trust"). The Trustees shall implement and administer this TDP in accordance with the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Definitive Uniform Glossary of Defined Terms for Plan Documents filed of record with the Bankruptcy Court on November 22, 2004 [Docket # 2086].

## SECTION 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Purpose

This TDP has been adopted pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. It is designed to provide fair, equitable, and substantially similar treatment for all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims that may presently exist or may arise in the future.

#### **1.2** Interpretation

Except as may otherwise be provided below, nothing in this TDP shall be deemed to create a substantive right for any claimant.

#### SECTION 2 Overview

#### 2.1 Asbestos PI Trust Goals

The goal of the Asbestos PI Trust is to treat all claimants equitably and in accordance with the requirements of section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. This TDP furthers that goal by setting forth procedures for processing and paying claims generally on an impartial, first-in-first-out ("FIFO") basis, with the intention of paying all claimants over time as equivalent a share as possible of the value of their claims based on historical values for substantially similar claims in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction (as defined in section 5.3(b)(2) below).<sup>1</sup> To this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As used in this TDP, the phrase "in the tort system" shall include only claims asserted by way of litigation and not claims asserted against a trust established pursuant to section 524(g) and/or section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law. References to "tort system" shall include both domestic and foreign tort systems and other

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 7 of 44

end, this TDP establishes a single schedule of eight asbestos-related diseases ("Disease Levels"), seven of which have presumptive medical and exposure requirements ("Medical/Exposure Criteria") and specific liquidated values ("Scheduled Values"), and five of which have both anticipated average values ("Average Values") and caps on their liquidated values ("Maximum Values"). The Disease Levels, Medical/Exposure Criteria, Scheduled Values, Average Values, and Maximum Values, set forth in sections 5.3 and 5.4 below, have been selected and derived with the intention of achieving a fair allocation of the Asbestos PI Trust funds as among claimants suffering from different disease processes in light of the best available information considering the domestic settlement history of the Halliburton Entities and the Harbison-Walker Entities and the rights claimants would have in the tort systems of the United States absent the Reorganization Cases.

A claimant may assert separate Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims against the Asbestos PI Trust based on exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products manufactured or distributed by more than one of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities (the "Multiple Exposure Claims"). To the extent that the Asbestos PI Trust has separate liabilities to a single claimant based on Multiple Exposure Claims, the Asbestos PI Trust shall pay the claimant its several share of the liquidated value of each of the separate claim or claims for which it is liable, subject to the applicable Payment Percentage and Maximum Annual Payment, and Claims Payment Ratio limitations, if any, set forth below. Under no circumstances, however, shall any claimant receive more than the full liquidated value of each of one Harbison-Walker Asbestos PI Trust Claim (as defined in section 5.3(a)(3) below) as such value(s) is (are) determined under this TDP, except as set forth in section 5.9 below.

## 2.2 Asbestos PI Trust Claim Liquidation Procedures

Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims shall be processed based on their place in the FIFO Processing Queues to be established pursuant to section 5.1(a) and section 5.2 below. The Asbestos PI Trust shall take all reasonable steps to resolve Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims as efficiently and expeditiously as possible at each stage of claims processing and arbitration, which steps may include conducting settlement discussions with claimants' representatives of more than one claim at a time; provided, however, that the claimants' respective positions in the FIFO Processing Queue are maintained and each claim is individually evaluated pursuant to the valuation factors set forth in section 5.3(b)(2) below. The Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims required to exhaust the Maximum Annual Payment and the Maximum Available Payment, as those terms are defined below.

The Asbestos PI Trust shall process and liquidate all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, other than Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims and Asbestos Final Judgment Claims, pursuant to the relevant provisions of this TDP. Qualifying Settled Asbestos

<sup>[</sup>Footnote continued from previous page]

foreign claims resolution systems, where appropriate.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 8 of 44

Unsecured PI Trust Claims shall be processed and paid solely pursuant to the Plan, the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement, and section 5.2(a) below. Asbestos Final Judgment Claims shall be processed and paid pursuant to section 5.2(b) below.

Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, other than Foreign Claims (as defined in section 5.3(b)(1) below), that meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria of Disease Levels I-V, VII, and VIII may be processed and paid under the Expedited Review process described in section 5.3(a) herein. Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Disease Levels I-V, VII, and VIII also may undergo the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process described in section 5.3(b). Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Disease Level VI (Lung Cancer 2) and Foreign Claims must be liquidated pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process.

In the case of Disease Levels I – III, notwithstanding that the claim does not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level, the Asbestos PI Trust can offer the claimant an amount up to the Scheduled Value of that Disease Level if the Asbestos PI Trust is satisfied that the claimant has presented a claim that would be cognizable and valid in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction.

Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Disease Levels IV-VIII tend to raise more complex valuation issues than the Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims in Disease Levels I-III. Accordingly, claimants holding claims involving these Disease Levels may seek to establish a liquidated value for the claim that is greater than its Scheduled Value by electing the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process. However, the liquidated value of a more serious Disease Level IV, V, VII, or VIII claim that undergoes the Individual Review process may be determined to be less than its Scheduled Value and, in any event, shall not exceed the Maximum Value for the relevant Disease Level set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) below, unless the claim qualifies as an Extraordinary Claim as defined in section 5.4(a) below, in which case its liquidated value cannot exceed the maximum value specified in that provision for such claims.

Based upon the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' domestic claims settlement history in light of applicable tort law, and current projections of present and future unliquidated claims, the Scheduled Values and Maximum Values set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) have been established for each of the (5) five more serious Disease Levels that are eligible for Individual Review of their liquidated values. The Trustees shall use their reasonable best efforts to ensure that the Asbestos PI Trust processes claims such that over time the average Liquidated Amount of all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, other than Foreign Claims, in each of the (5) five more serious Disease Levels that are paid by the Asbestos PI Trust approximate the "Average Value" set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) for each such Disease Level.

All unresolved disputes over a claimant's medical condition or exposure history or over the validity or liquidated value of the Asbestos PI Trust Claim shall be subject to binding or nonbinding arbitration as set forth in section 5.10 below, at the election of the claimant, under the ADR Procedures that are provided in Attachment A hereto. Disputes over whether an Asbestos PI Trust Claim is an Asbestos Final Judgment Claim shall also be resolved pursuant to the ADR Procedures attached hereto. Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims that are the subject of

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 9 of 44

a dispute with the Asbestos PI Trust that are not resolved by such ADR Procedures may enter the tort system as provided in sections 5.11 and 7.6 below. However, if and when a claimant obtains a judgment in the tort system, the judgment shall be payable (subject to the Payment Percentage, Maximum Available Payment, and Claims Payment Ratio provisions set forth below) as provided in section 7.7.

Disputes over whether an Asbestos PI Trust Claim is a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim shall be resolved solely pursuant to the terms of the applicable Asbestos Claimant Settlement Agreement and the Plan.

## 2.3 Asbestos PI Trust Application of the Payment Percentage

After the Liquidated Amount of an Asbestos PI Trust Claim, other than a claim involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I) as defined in section 5.3(a)(3), is determined pursuant to the procedures set forth herein for Expedited Review, Individual Review, arbitration, litigation in the tort system, or by settlement, the claimant shall ultimately receive a percentage of that value based on the Payment Percentage described in section 4.2.

As defined in the Plan, the Payment Percentage (a) shall be the Initial Payment Percentage with respect to all Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims and Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and (b) the Payment Percentage selected by the Trustees of the Asbestos PI Trust with consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative with respect to all claims liquidated under this TDP (other than claims paid as claims for Disease Level I (Other Asbestos Disease)); provided, however, that the Payment Percentage shall not exceed the Initial Payment Percentage prior to the first (1st) anniversary of the Effective Date. The Payment Percentage for Disease Level I shall be 100%. The Payment Percentage may thereafter be adjusted upwards or downwards from time to time by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative to reflect then-current estimates of the Asbestos PI Trust's assets and its liabilities, as well as the estimated value of then-pending and future claims. The Trustees shall calculate the Payment Percentage based on the assumption that the Average Values set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) will be achieved by the Asbestos PI Trust with respect to existing present claims and projected future claims involving Disease Levels IV-VIII. However, any adjustment to the Payment Percentage shall be made only pursuant to section 4.2. If the Payment Percentage is increased over time, claimants (i) whose claims are subject to the Payment Percentage, (ii) whose claims were liquidated under the TDP or who hold Asbestos Final Judgment Claims, and (iii) who were paid in prior periods under the TDP, shall not receive additional payments except as provided in section 4.2, relating to circumstances in which the Asbestos PI Trust has received additional contributions under the Asbestos PI Trust Additional Funding Agreement, or section 5.1(c), relating to the Asbestos PI Trust's adjusting payment options. Because there is uncertainty in the prediction of both the number and severity of future claims and the amount of the Asbestos PI Trust's assets, no guarantee can be made of the Payment Percentage that will be applied to a particular Asbestos PI Trust Claim.

# 2.4 Asbestos PI Trust's Determination of the Maximum Annual Payment and Maximum Available Payment

The Asbestos PI Trust shall estimate or model the amount of cash flow anticipated to be necessary over its entire life to ensure that funds will be available to treat all present and future claimants in a substantially similar manner. In each year, the Asbestos PI Trust shall be empowered to pay out all of the interest earned during the year, together with a portion of its principal, calculated so that the application of Asbestos PI Trust funds over its life shall correspond with the needs created by the anticipated flow of claims (the "Maximum Annual Payment") taking into account the Payment Percentage provisions set forth in sections 2.3 above and 4.2 below. The Asbestos PI Trust's distributions to all claimants for that year shall not exceed the Maximum Annual Payment determined for that year; provided, however, that the Maximum Annual Payment limitation shall not apply to any Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims as defined in section 5.2(a).

In distributing the Maximum Annual Payment, the Asbestos PI Trust shall first allocate the amount in question to Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and to liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Disease Level I. Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and liquidated Disease Level I claims for which there are insufficient funds shall be carried over to the next year and placed at the head of the FIFO Payment Queue. In any given year, after payment of all outstanding Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and Disease Level I claims, the remaining portion of the Maximum Annual Payment (the "Maximum Available Payment"), if any, shall then be allocated and used to satisfy all other liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, subject to the Claims Payment Ratio, if any, set forth in section 2.5 below.

# 2.5 Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims Payment Ratio and Reduced Payment Option

In the event the Payment Percentage is less than 100%, the Asbestos PI Trust shall implement a payment ratio (the "Claims Payment Ratio") to control the distribution of Asbestos PI Trust funds between Category A claims, which consist of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving severe asbestosis and malignancies (Disease Levels IV-VIII), that were unliquidated as of the DII Industries Petition Date,<sup>2</sup> and Category B claims, which are Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving non-malignant asbestosis or pleural disease (Disease Levels II and III) that were similarly unliquidated as of the DII Industries Petition Date. Based on the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' domestic settlement history and analysis of present and future claims, the Claims Payment Ratios initially established by the Asbestos PI Trust shall be 60% for Category A claims and 40% for Category B claims. In no event shall the Claims Payment Ratio apply to any Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Notwithstanding any definitions to the contrary in the Definitive Uniform Glossary of Defined Terms for Plan Documents filed of record with the Bankruptcy Court on November 22, 2004 [Docket # 2086], the term "DII Industries Petition Date" when used herein shall mean December 16, 2003. The term "Harbison-Walker Petition Date" shall mean February 14, 2002. In addition, the term "Halliburton Claim" shall mean an Asbestos PI Trust Claim filed against the Halliburton Entities. The term "Harbison-Walker Claim" shall mean an Asbestos PI Trust Claim filed against the Harbison-Walker Entities.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 11 of 44

to any Asbestos Final Judgment Claim, or to any claims for Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I).

In the event the Asbestos PI Trust implements a Claims Payment Ratio in each year, after the annual determination of the Maximum Available Payment described in section 2.4 above, the Claim Payment Ratio shall be applied to determine the proportion of the Maximum Available Payment that is available for Category A and Category B claims.

In the event the Asbestos PI Trust implements a Claims Payment Ratio and there are insufficient funds in any year to pay the liquidated claims within either or both of the Categories, the available funds allocated to the particular Category shall be paid to the maximum extent to claimants in that Category based on their place in the FIFO Payment Queue described in section 5.1(b) below based upon the date of claim liquidation. Claims for which there are insufficient funds allocated to the relevant Category shall be carried over to the next year where they shall be placed at the head of the FIFO Payment Queue. If there are excess funds in either or both Categories, because there is an insufficient amount of liquidated claims to exhaust the respective Maximum Available Payment amount for that Category, then the excess funds for either or both Categories shall be rolled over and remain dedicated to the respective Category to which they were originally allocated.

Except to the extent the Payment Percentage is adjusted upward to 100% (in which case the Trustees may suspend the use of the Claims Payment Ratio), the 60%/40% Claims Payment Ratio and its rollover provision shall not be amended until the fifth anniversary of the Effective Date. Thereafter, both the Claims Payment Ratio and its rollover provision shall be continued absent circumstances, such as a significant change in law or medicine, necessitating amendment to avoid a manifest injustice. However, the accumulation, rollover and subsequent delay of claims resulting from the application of the Claims Payment Ratio, shall not, in and of itself, constitute such circumstances. Nor may an increase in the numbers of Category B claims beyond those predicted or expected be considered as a factor in deciding whether to reduce the percentage allocated to Category A claims.

In considering whether to make any amendments to the Claims Payment Ratio and/or its rollover provisions, the Trustees shall also consider the reasons for which the Claims Payment Ratio and its rollover provisions were adopted, the settlement history that gave rise to its calculation, and the foreseeability or lack of foreseeability of the reasons why there would be any need to make an amendment. In that regard, the Trustees should keep in mind the interplay between the Payment Percentage and the Claims Payment Ratio as it affects the net cash actually paid to claimants. In any event, no amendment to the Claims Payment Ratio may be made without the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. However, the Trustees at any time may offer the option of a reduced payment percentage to either Category A or Category B in return for prompter payment (the "Reduced Payment Option").

## 2.6 Asbestos PI Trust Indemnity and Contribution Claims

Indirect Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims based on indemnity, contribution, or other theory of reimbursement, if any, shall be subject to the provisions of section 5.6 below.

## SECTION 3 TDP Administration

## 3.1 Asbestos TAC and Legal Representative

Pursuant to the Plan and the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, the Asbestos PI Trust and this TDP shall be administered by the Trustees in consultation with the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. The Trustees shall obtain the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative on any amendments to this TDP pursuant to section 8.1 below, and on such other matters as are otherwise required below and in article 2.2(f) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. The Trustees shall also consult with the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative on such matters as are provided below and in article 2.2(e) and (f) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. The initial members of the Asbestos TAC and the initial Legal Representative are identified in the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement.

## **3.2** Consultation and Consent Procedures

In those circumstances in which their consultation or consent is required, the Trustees shall provide written notice to the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative of the specific amendment or other action that is proposed. The Trustees shall not implement such amendment nor take such action unless and until the parties have engaged in the Consultation Process described in articles 5.7(a) and 6.6(a), or the Consent Process described in articles 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, respectively.

# SECTION 4 Payment Percentage; Periodic Estimates

# 4.1 Uncertainty of the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' Asbestos Personal Injury Liabilities

As discussed herein, there is inherent uncertainty regarding the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' total asbestos-related tort liabilities, as well as the total value of the assets available to the Asbestos PI Trust to pay Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims. There is also uncertainty surrounding the totality of the Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims to be paid over time as well as the extent to which changes in applicable law could affect the Asbestos PI Trust's liabilities under this TDP. Consequently, there is inherent uncertainty regarding the amounts that holders of those Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims will receive. To seek to ensure substantially equivalent treatment of all present and future Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims will be likely to receive that holders of present and future Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims will be likely to receive from the Asbestos PI Trust, i.e., the "Payment Percentage" described in section 2.3 above and section 4.2 below.

## 4.2 Payment Percentage

The Payment Percentage (as defined in the Plan) shall apply to all payments made from the Asbestos PI Trust, other than payments made on account of claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I), to assure that such Asbestos PI Trust will be in a financial position to

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 13 of 44

pay holders of present and future Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims in substantially the same manner. Any subsequent changes to the Payment Percentage shall require the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. The Payment Percentage shall be subject to change pursuant to the terms of this TDP and the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement if the Trustees determine that an adjustment is required. No less frequently than once every three (3) years, but no more frequently than annually (unless the requesting party can demonstrate the occurrence of a materially adverse change warranting greater frequency), commencing with the first day of January occurring after the Plan is consummated, the Trustees shall reconsider the thenapplicable Payment Percentage to assure that it is based on accurate, current information and may, after such reconsideration, change the Payment Percentage, if necessary, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. The Trustees also shall reconsider the thenapplicable Payment Percentage at shorter intervals if they deem such reconsideration to be appropriate or if requested to do so by the Asbestos TAC or the Legal Representative. The Trustees must base their determination of the Payment Percentage on current estimates of the number, types, and values of present and future Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, the value of the assets then available to the Asbestos PI Trust for their payment, all anticipated administrative and legal expenses, and any other material matters that are reasonably likely to affect the sufficiency of Asbestos PI Trust funds to pay a comparable percentage of full value to all holders of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims. When making these determinations, the Trustees shall exercise common sense and shall flexibly evaluate all relevant factors. However, the Payment Percentage applicable to Category A or Category B claims may not be reduced to alleviate delays in payments of claims in the other Category; both Categories of claims shall receive the same Payment Percentage, but the payment may be deferred as needed, and a Reduced Payment Option may be instituted as described in section 2.5 above.

The uncertainty surrounding the amount of the Asbestos PI Trust's future assets is due in part to the fact that the Asbestos PI Trust may receive additional contributions under the Asbestos PI Trust Additional Funding Agreement. Any additional contributions shall be used first to maintain the then-applicable Payment Percentage.

In determining the Payment Percentage, it shall be reasonable, so long as there has been no default in the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement, for the Trustees to disregard the payment of Qualifying Settled Asbestos Claims, which claims are to be paid solely from funds provided through the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement. In determining the Payment Percentage, it shall also be reasonable for the Trustees to disregard amounts which may be due under the Asbestos PI Trust Additional Funding Agreement until any amounts due under that Agreement become known and payable to the Trust.

However, if the additional contributions exceed the amount estimated to be reasonably necessary to maintain the Payment Percentage then in effect, the Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, shall adjust the Payment Percentage upward to reflect the increase in available assets and shall also make supplemental payments to claimants who previously liquidated their claims against the Asbestos PI Trust and received payments based on a lower Payment Percentage. The amount of any such supplemental payment shall be the liquidated value of the claim in question times the newly adjusted Payment Percentage, less all amounts previously paid the claimant with respect to the claim (excluding the portion of such previously paid amounts that was attributable to a sequencing adjustment paid pursuant to Section 7.5 below). In no event shall the Asbestos PI Trust make such supplemental payments to holders of Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims.

# 4.3 Applicability of the Payment Percentage

Except as otherwise provided in section 5.1(b) below for Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving deceased or incompetent claimants for which approval of the Asbestos PI Trust's offer by a court or through a probate process is required, no holder of any other Asbestos PI Trust Claim, other than an Asbestos PI Trust Claim for Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I), shall receive from the Asbestos PI Trust a payment that exceeds the Liquidated Amount of the claim times the Payment Percentage in effect at the time of payment unless a Reduced Payment Option applies. Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I) shall not be subject to the Payment Percentage, but shall instead be paid the full amount of their Scheduled Value as set forth in section 5.3(a)(3) below.

If a redetermination of the Payment Percentage has been proposed in writing by the Trustees to the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative but has not yet been adopted, the claimant shall receive the lower of the current Payment Percentage or the proposed Payment Percentage. However, if the proposed Payment Percentage was the lower amount but is not subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower proposed amount and the higher current amount. Conversely, if the proposed Payment Percentage was the higher amount and is subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower proposed payment percentage was the higher amount and is subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower current amount and the higher amount.

# SECTION 5 Resolution of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims

# 5.1 Ordering, Processing, and Payment of Claims

# 5.1(a) Ordering of Claims

# 5.1(a)(1) Establishment of the FIFO Processing Queue

Other than Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, which are addressed in section 5.2, the Asbestos PI Trust shall order claims that are sufficiently complete to be reviewed for processing purposes on a FIFO basis, except as otherwise provided herein (the "FIFO Processing Queue"). For all claims filed between November 9, 2005, (the "Claims Acceptance Date") and before May 9, 2006, a claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined as of the earlier of (i) the date prior to December 16, 2003 (the "DII Industries Petition Date") that the specific claim was either filed against one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities in the tort system or was actually submitted to one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities pursuant to an administrative settlement agreement, (ii) the date before the DII Industries Petition Date that a claim was filed against another defendant in the tort system if at the time the claim was subject to a tolling agreement with one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities, or (iii) the date after the DII Industries Petition Date (if any) but before the Claims Acceptance Date that the claim was filed against another defendant in the tort system. Following May 9, 2006, the claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined by the date the

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 15 of 44

claim is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust. If any claims are filed on the same date, the claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined by the date of the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease. If any claims are filed and diagnosed on the same date, the claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined by the claimant's date of birth, with older claimants given priority over younger claimants.

## 5.1(a)(2) Effect of Statutes of Limitations and Repose

## **5.1(a)(2)(A)** Halliburton Claims

Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, all Halliburton Claims must satisfy one of the following statutes of limitations: (i) for claims first filed in the tort system against a Halliburton Entity prior to the DII Industries Petition Date, the applicable federal, state, or foreign statute of limitation or repose that was in effect when the claim was filed in the tort system or (ii) for claims not filed against a Halliburton Entity in the tort system prior to the DII Industries Petition Date, the applicable statute of limitation that was in effect when the claim was filed with Asbestos PI Trust.

The running of the statute of limitation or repose governing a Halliburton Claim shall be tolled as of the earliest of (i) the filing of the claim against a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity in the tort system or by submission to a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity pursuant to an administrative settlement agreement; (ii) the tolling of the statute by agreement or otherwise; or (iii) the DII Industries Petition Date.

If the running of the statute of limitation or repose governing a Halliburton Claim is tolled pursuant to the preceding paragraph, and the claim was not barred by such statute when the tolling event occurred, the claim shall be treated as timely filed if it is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust within three years after the Claims Acceptance Date. Additionally, any Halliburton Claimant that was first diagnosed after the DII Industries Petition Date may timely file with the Asbestos PI Trust within three years after the date of diagnosis or the Claims Acceptance Date, whichever occurs later, even if the applicable federal, state, or foreign statute of limitation or repose has expired.

#### 5.1(a)(2)(B) Harbison-Walker Claims

Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, Harbison-Walker Claims must satisfy one of the following statutes of limitations: (i) for claims first filed in the tort system against a Harbison-Walker Entity prior to the Harbison-Walker Petition Date, the applicable federal, state, or foreign statute of limitation or repose that was in effect when the claim was filed in the tort system or (ii) for claims not filed against a Harbison-Walker Entity in the tort system prior to the Harbison-Walker Petition Date, the applicable statute of limitation that was in effect when the claim was filed with Asbestos PI Trust.

The running of the statute of limitation or repose governing a Harbison-Walker Claim shall be tolled as of the earliest of (i) the filing of the claim against a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity in the tort system or by submission to a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity pursuant to an administrative settlement agreement; (ii) the tolling of the statute by agreement or otherwise; or (iii) the Harbison-Walker Petition Date.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 16 of 44

If the running of the statute of limitation or repose governing a Harbison-Walker Claim is tolled pursuant to the preceding paragraph, and the claim was not barred by such statute when the tolling event occurred, the claim shall be treated as timely filed if it is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust within three years after the Claims Acceptance Date. Additionally, any Harbison-Walker Claimant that was first diagnosed after the Harbison-Walker Petition Date may timely file with the Asbestos PI Trust within three years after the date of diagnosis or the Claims Acceptance Date, whichever occurs later, even if the applicable federal, state, or foreign statute of limitation or repose has expired.

#### 5.1(b) Payment of Claims

Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims that have been liquidated by the Asbestos PI Trust's Expedited Review process ("Expedited Review") as provided in section 5.3(a) below, by the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process ("Individual Review") as provided in section 5.3(b) below, by arbitration as provided in section 5.10 below, or by litigation in the tort system as provided in sections 5.11 and 7.6 below shall be paid in FIFO order based on the date their liquidation became final (the "FIFO Payment Queue"), all such payments being subject to the applicable Payment Percentage, the Maximum Annual Payment, the Maximum Available Payment, and, if any, the Claims Payment Ratio, except as otherwise provided herein. Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims shall be paid solely pursuant to the Plan, the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement, and section 5.2(a) below.

Where the claimant is deceased or incompetent, and the settlement and payment of his or her claim must be approved by a court of competent jurisdiction or through a probate process prior to acceptance of the claim by the claimant's representative, an offer made by the Asbestos PI Trust on the claim shall remain open so long as proceedings before that court or in that probate process remain pending, provided that the Asbestos PI Trust has been furnished with evidence that the settlement offer has been submitted to such court or in the probate process for approval. If the offer is ultimately approved by the court or through the probate process and accepted by the claimant's representative, the Asbestos PI Trust shall pay the claim in the amount so offered, multiplied by the Payment Percentage in effect at the time the offer was first made.

If any claims are liquidated on the same date, the claimant's position in the FIFO Payment Queue shall be determined by the date of the diagnosis of the claimant's asbestos-related disease. If any claims are liquidated on the same date and the respective holders' asbestos-related diseases were diagnosed on the same date, the position of those claims in the FIFO Payment Queue shall be determined by the Asbestos PI Trust based on the dates of the claimants' birth, with older claimants given priority over younger claimants.

## 5.1(c) Adjusting Payments Option

At the sole discretion of the Trustees (with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative), the Asbestos PI Trust may authorize supplemental payments, on account of any future increase in the Payment Percentage, to any or all previously allowed Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (other than Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims) in an

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 17 of 44

amount equal to the Liquidated Value multiplied by the then-current Payment Percentage less the amount of any previous payments on account of such Claims (other than payments on account of any sequencing adjustment under section 7.5 below); provided, however, that the Asbestos PI Trust shall not be obligated, under this paragraph, to make a supplemental payment on account of any allowed Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim and shall not make any supplemental payment to the extent that the amount of such supplemental payment would be less than \$100. However, the Trustees' obligation shall resume and the Trustees shall pay any such aggregate supplemental payments due the claimant at such time that the accumulated and unpaid total exceeds \$100.

In addition, at the sole discretion of the Trustees (with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative), the Asbestos PI Trust may authorize supplemental payments, on account of any future increase of any Scheduled Value, Average Value or Maximum Value under section 5.3(c) of this TDP, to any or all previously allowed Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (other than Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims) in the affected Disease Level. The amount of any such supplemental payments shall be determined by the Trustees (with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative) at the time of any such future increase under section 5.3(c); provided, however, that in no event shall the Asbestos PI Trust be obligated, under this paragraph, to make a supplemental payment on account of any allowed Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim to the extent that the amount of such supplemental payment would be less than \$100.

## 5.2 Resolution of Liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims.

## 5.2(a) Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims.

Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims shall be paid by the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to the terms of the Plan and the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement. Any dispute concerning whether a Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim is a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim shall be resolved between the claimant and the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors solely in accordance with the applicable Asbestos Claimant Settlement Agreement and the Plan. The Asbestos PI Trust shall not participate in such dispute and shall have no responsibility to pay a Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim that has not been determined in accordance with the terms of the applicable Asbestos Claimant Settlement Agreement and the Plan to be a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim; provided, however, that nothing in this section 5.2(a) shall preclude the holder of an alleged Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim that is determined not to be a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim from submitting an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim to the Asbestos PI Trust. Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims shall not be subject to the Payment Percentage, Maximum Annual Payment, Maximum Available Payment or Claims Payment Ratio, if any, limitations provided above.

# 5.2(b) Asbestos Final Judgment Claims

Asbestos Final Judgment Claims as defined in the Plan shall be processed by the Asbestos PI Trust based on their place in a separate FIFO queue to be established for such claims. The placement of such claims in the FIFO queue shall be based on the date on which the claim was liquidated by a final judgment in the tort system. The Liquidated Amount of such

claims shall be the unpaid amount of the judgment plus any interest on the claim that has accrued under applicable law. All payments of Asbestos Final Judgment Claims shall be subject to the applicable Payment Percentage and the Maximum Annual Payment provisions set forth above. Such claims, however, shall not be subject to the Maximum Available Payment or Claims Payment Ratio, if any, limitations.

# 5.3 **Resolution of Unliquidated Asbestos Pl Trust Claims**

Within six months after the establishment of the Asbestos PI Trust, the Trustees, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, shall adopt procedures for reviewing and liquidating all unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, which shall include deadlines for processing such claims. Such procedures shall also require that claimants seeking resolution of unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims first file a proof of claim form, together with the required supporting documentation, in accordance with the provisions of sections 6.1 and 6.2 below. It is anticipated that the Asbestos PI Trust shall provide an initial response to the claimant within six months of receiving the proof of claim form.

The proof of claim form shall require the claimant to assert his or her claim for the highest Disease Level for which the claim qualifies at the time of filing. Irrespective of the Disease Level alleged on the proof of claim form, all claims shall be deemed to be a claim for the highest Disease Level for which the claim qualifies at the time of filing, and all lower Disease Levels for which the claim may also qualify at the time of filing or in the future shall be treated as subsumed into the higher Disease Level for both processing and payment purposes.

Upon filing of a valid proof of claim form with the required supporting documentation, the claimant shall be placed in the FIFO Processing Queue in accordance with the ordering criteria described in section 5.1(a) above. If a claim has been pending in the FIFO Processing Queue for more than 270 days, the Asbestos PI Trust shall provide the claimant with reasonable notice of the date by which it expects to reach the claim in the FIFO Processing Queue, following which the claimant shall promptly (i) advise the Asbestos PI Trust whether the claimant wishes to change his or her initial election as between Expedited Review and Individual Review; (ii) provide the Asbestos PI Trust with any additional medical or exposure evidence that was not provided with the original claim submission; and (iii) advise the Asbestos PI Trust of any change in the claimant's Disease Level. If a claimant fails to respond to the Asbestos PI Trust's notice on a timely basis, the Asbestos PI Trust shall process and liquidate the claim under the review process initially elected based upon the medical/exposure evidence previously submitted by the claimant.

Claimants may supplement or otherwise update information submitted with claims filed with the Asbestos PI Trust at any time before the Asbestos PI Trust issues a notice of determination with respect to the claim.

# **5.3(a)** Expedited Review Process

# 5.3(a)(1) In General

Expedited Review is designed primarily to provide an expeditious, efficient, and inexpensive method for liquidating all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (except those

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 19 of 44

involving Lung Cancer 2 (Disease Level VI) and all Foreign Claims as defined below, which shall be liquidated only pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process) where the claim can easily be verified by the Asbestos PI Trust as meeting the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level. Expedited Review thus provides claimants with a substantially less burdensome process for pursuing Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims than does the Individual Review process described in section 5.3(b) below. Expedited Review is also intended to provide qualifying claimants a fixed and certain claims payment.

Thus, claims that undergo Expedited Review and meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level shall be paid the Scheduled Value for such Disease Level set forth in section 5.3(a)(3) below. However, except for claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I), all claims liquidated by Expedited Review shall be subject to the applicable Payment Percentage, the Maximum Available Payment, and, if any, the Claims Payment Ratio limitations set forth above. Claimants holding claims that cannot be liquidated by Expedited Review because they do not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level may elect Individual Review set forth in section 5.3(b) below.

Further, the claimant's eligibility to receive the Scheduled Value for his or her Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim pursuant to the Expedited Review Process shall be determined by reference to the Medical/Exposure Criteria set forth below (rather than by reference to the law of the Claimant's Jurisdiction) for each of the Disease Levels eligible for Expedited Review.

## 5.3(a)(2) Claims Processing under Expedited Review

All claimants seeking liquidation of their claims pursuant to Expedited Review shall file the Asbestos PI Trust's proof of claim form provided in Attachment B hereto. As a proof of claim form is reached in the FIFO Processing Queue, the Asbestos PI Trust shall determine whether the claim described therein meets the Medical/Exposure Criteria for one of the seven Disease Levels eligible for Expedited Review and shall advise the claimant of its determination. If a Disease Level is determined, the Asbestos PI Trust shall tender to the claimant an offer of payment of the Scheduled Value for the relevant Disease Level multiplied by the applicable Payment Percentage, together with a form of release approved by the Asbestos PI Trust. If the claimant accepts the Scheduled Value and returns the release properly executed, the claim shall be placed in the FIFO Payment Queue, following which the Asbestos PI Trust shall disburse payment subject to the limitations of the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio, if any.

# **5.3(a)(3) Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, and Medical/Exposure Criteria**

The eight Disease Levels covered by this TDP, together with the Medical/Exposure Criteria for each and the separate Scheduled Values for the domestic unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims for which any of the Harbison-Walker Entities have legal responsibility (the "Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims") and for the domestic unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims for which any of the Halliburton

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 20 of 44

Entities or their predecessors other than Harbison-Walker Entities have legal responsibility (the "Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims") for the seven Disease Levels eligible for Expedited Review, are set forth below. For those claimants who (i) vote to accept or reject the plan and (ii) file their claims with the Asbestos PI Trust on or before six months after the Claims Acceptance Date provided in section 5.1 above, the original Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, and Medical/Exposure Criteria shall apply to all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (except Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims) for which the claimant elects Expedited Review. Thereafter for purposes of administering Expedited Review and with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, the Trustees may add to, change, or eliminate Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, or Medical/Exposure Criteria; develop subcategories of Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, or Medical/Exposure Criteria; or determine that a novel or exceptional asbestos personal injury claim is compensable even though it does not meet the Medical/Exposure Criteria for any of the then-current Disease Levels.

# [remainder of page intentionally left blank]

# Schedule I-Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims and Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims<sup>3</sup>

Disease Level	Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims/ Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims	Medical/Exposure Criteria
Mesothelioma (Level VIII)	\$57,200/\$136,500	(1) Diagnosis <sup>4</sup> of mesothelioma and (2) credible evidence of Company Exposure. <sup>5</sup>
Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII)	\$9,300/\$44,900	(1) Diagnosis of a primary lung cancer plus evidence of an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease, <sup>6</sup> (2) six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure <sup>7</sup> to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the lung cancer in question.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Asbestos PI Trust has changed the values stated in Schedule I. Specifically, but not exclusively, the Trustees, Trust Advisory Committee, and Legal Representative agreed on August 30, 2017 to increase values to account, at least partially, for inflation. Current values are available at www.diiasbestostrust.org.

<sup>4</sup> The requirements for a diagnosis of an asbestos-related disease that may be compensated under the provisions of this TDP are set forth in section 5.7 below.

<sup>5</sup> The term "Company Exposure" is defined in section 5.7(c) below.

<sup>6</sup> Evidence of "Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease" for purposes of meeting the criteria for establishing Disease Levels I, II, III, V, and VII, means either (i) a chest X-ray read by a qualified B reader of 1/0 or higher on the ILO scale or (ii)(x) a chest X-ray read by a qualified B reader or other Qualified Physician, (y) a CT scan read by a Qualified Physician, or (z) pathology, in each case showing either bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification. Evidence submitted to demonstrate (i) or (ii) above must be in the form of a written report stating the results (e.g., an ILO report, a written radiology report or a pathology report). Solely for asbestos claims filed against the Halliburton Entities and/or the Harbison-Walker Entities or another defendant in the tort system prior to the DII Industries Petition Date, if an ILO reading is not available, either (i) a chest X-ray or a CT scan read by a Qualified Physician, or (ii) pathology, in each case showing bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification consistent with or compatible with a diagnosis of asbestos-related disease, shall be evidence of a "Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease" for purposes of meeting the presumptive medical requirements of Disease Levels I, II, III, V, and VII. Pathological evidence of asbestosis may be based on the pathological grading system for asbestosis described in the Special Issue of the Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, "Asbestos-Associated Diseases," Vol. 106, No. 11, App. 3 (October 8, 1982). For all purposes of this TDP, a "Qualified Physician" is a physician who is board-certified (or in the case of Canadian claims or Foreign Claims, a physician who is certified or qualified under comparable medical standards or criteria of the jurisdiction in question) in one or more relevant specialized fields of medicine such as pulmonology, radiology, internal medicine or occupational medicine; provided, however, that the requirement for board certification in this provision shall not apply to otherwise qualified physicians whose X-ray and/or CT scan readings are submitted for deceased holders of Asbestos PI Trust Claims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The term "Significant Occupational Exposure" is defined in section 5.7(b)(2) below.

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 22 of 44

	Non-Harbison-Walker	
	Asbestos Unsecured	
	PI Trust Claims/	
	Harbison-Walker	
	Asbestos Unsecured	
Disease Level	PI Trust Claims	Medical/Exposure Criteria
Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI)	N/A	(1) Diagnosis of a primary lung cancer, (2) Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, and (3) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the lung cancer in question. Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI) claims are claims that do not meet the more stringent medical and/or exposure requirements of Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) claims. All claims in this Disease Level shall be individually evaluated. The estimated likely average of the individual evaluation awards for this category is \$4000 (Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims)/\$19,200 (Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims), with such awards capped at \$13,300 (Non-Harbison- Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims)/ \$64,000 (Harbison- Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims) unless the claim qualifies for Extraordinary Claim treatment. Level VI claims that show no evidence of either an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Non-malignant Disease or Significant Occupational Exposure may be individually evaluated, although it is not expected that such claims will be treated as having any significant value, especially if the claimant is also a Smoker. <sup>8</sup> In any event, no presumption of validity shall be available for any claims in this category.
Other Cancer (Level V)	\$8,000/\$24,000	(1) Diagnosis of a primary colo-rectal, laryngeal, esophageal, pharyngeal, or stomach cancer, plus evidence of an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease, (2) six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the other cancer in question.
Severe Asbestosis (Level IV)	\$9,400/\$29,500	(1) Diagnosis of asbestosis with ILO of 2/1 or greater, or asbestosis determined by pathological evidence of asbestosis, plus (a) TLC less than 65% or (b) FVC less than 65% and FEVI/FVC ratio greater than 65%, (2) six (6) months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the pulmonary disease in question.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> There is no distinction between Non-Smokers and Smokers for either Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) or Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI), although a claimant who meets the more stringent requirements of Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) (evidence of an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease plus Significant Occupational Exposure), and who is also a Non-Smoker, may wish to have his or her claim individually evaluated by the Asbestos PI Trust. In such a case, absent circumstances that would otherwise reduce the value of the claim, it is anticipated that the liquidated value of the claim might well exceed the Scheduled Value for Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) shown above. "Non-Smoker" means a claimant who either (a) never smoked or (b) has not smoked during any portion of the twelve (12) years immediately prior to the diagnosis of the lung cancer.

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 23 of 44

Disease Level	Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims/ Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims	Medical/Exposure Criteria
Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease (Level III)	\$2,400/\$7,200	(1) Diagnosis of Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease, plus (a) TLC less than 80% or (b) FVC less than 80% and FEVI/FVC ratio equal to or greater than 65%, (2) six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the pulmonary disease in question.
Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease (Level II)	\$1,100/\$3,800	<ul> <li>(1) Diagnosis of a Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease,</li> <li>(2) six months Company Exposure, and (3) five years cumulative occupational exposure to asbestos.</li> </ul>
Other Asbestos Disease (Level I)	\$100/\$300	(1) Diagnosis of a Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease or an asbestos-related malignancy other than mesothelioma and (2) Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982.

## 5.3(b) Individual Review Process

## 5.3(b)(1) In General

Subject to the provisions set forth below, an Asbestos PI Trust claimant may elect to have his or her Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim reviewed for purposes of determining whether the claim would be compensable in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction even though it does not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for any of the Disease Levels set forth in section 5.3(a)(3) above. In addition, or alternatively, an Asbestos PI Trust claimant may elect to have a claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII undergo the Individual Review process for purposes of determining its liquidated value. However, except for claimants who assert Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI) claims or Foreign Claims, until such time as the Asbestos PI Trust has made an offer on a claim pursuant to Individual Review, the claimant may change his or her Individual Review election and have the claim liquidated pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust's Expedited Review process. In the event of such a change in the processing election, the claimant shall nevertheless retain his or her place in the FIFO Processing Queue.

The liquidated value of all Foreign Claims payable under this TDP shall be established pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process. Because Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims of individuals exposed in Canada who were resident in Canada when such claims were filed were routinely litigated and resolved in the courts of the United States, and because the resolution history of these claims has been included in developing the Expedited Review process, such claims shall not be considered Foreign Claims hereunder and shall be eligible for liquidation under the Expedited Review process. Accordingly, a "Foreign Claim" is an Asbestos PI Trust Claim with respect to which the claimant's exposure to an asbestos-containing product for which any Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity has legal responsibility occurred

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 24 of 44

outside of the United States and its Territories and Possessions, and outside of the Provinces and Territories of Canada.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this TDP, when determining the validity or compensability of Foreign Claims, the Asbestos PI Trust shall take into account all relevant procedural and substantive legal rules to which the claims would be subject in the Claimant's Jurisdiction. The Asbestos PI Trust also shall determine whether the claim has been paid, satisfied, settled, released, waived, or otherwise discharged, and it may require the submission of additional materials to make that determination, if permitted under the provisions of section 6.2. To determine the legal rules of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, the Asbestos PI Trust may rely on the opinions of qualified experts and other relevant evidence. The Asbestos PI Trust shall determine the liquidated values of valid and compensable Foreign Claims based on historical settlements and verdicts in the Claimant's Jurisdiction, other valuation factors set forth in section 5.3(b)(2) below, and valuation matrices or methodologies developed pursuant to the provisions of this section 5.3(b)(1).

For purposes of the Individual Review process, the Trustees, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, may develop separate Medical/Exposure Criteria and standards, as well as separate requirements for physician and other professional qualifications, which shall be applicable to Foreign Claims; provided, however, that such criteria, standards, or requirements shall not effectuate substantive changes to the claims-eligibility requirements under this TDP, but rather shall be made only for the purpose of adapting those requirements to the particular licensing provisions or medical customs or practices of the foreign country in question.

At such time as the Asbestos PI Trust has sufficient historical settlement, verdict, and other valuation data for claims from a particular foreign jurisdiction, the Trustees, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, may also establish a separate valuation matrix for such claims based on that data. Any such Foreign Claims valuation matrix shall contain the Scheduled Value, Average Value, and Maximum Value amounts for the subject foreign country, and those amounts shall be the relevant amounts for any application of provisions in this TDP relating to caps or sequencing adjustment calculations for claims with respect to such country.

#### 5.3(b)(1)(A) Disease Levels I-III

Individual Review provides a claimant with an opportunity for individual consideration and evaluation of an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that fails to meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for Disease Levels I-III. In such a case, the Asbestos PI Trust shall either deny the claim, or, if the Asbestos PI Trust is satisfied that the claimant has presented a claim that would be cognizable and valid in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, the Asbestos PI Trust can offer the claimant a liquidated value amount up to the Scheduled Value for that Disease Level, unless the claim qualifies as an Extraordinary Claim as defined in section 5.4(a) below, in which case its liquidated value cannot exceed the maximum value for such a claim set forth in that provision.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 25 of 44

#### 5.3(b)(1)(B) Disease Levels IV-VIII

Claimants holding claims in the five more serious Disease Levels IV-VIII shall be eligible to seek Individual Review of the liquidated value of their claims, as well as of their medical/exposure evidence. The Individual Review process is intended to result in payments equal to the full liquidated value for each claim multiplied by the Payment Percentage; however, the liquidated value of any Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that undergoes Individual Review may be determined to be less than the Scheduled Value the claimant would have received under Expedited Review. Moreover, the liquidated value for a claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII shall not exceed the Maximum Value for the relevant Disease Level set forth in section 5.3(b)(3) below, unless the claim meets the requirements of an Extraordinary Claim described in section 5.4(a) below, in which case its liquidated value cannot exceed the maximum value set forth in that provision for such claims. Because the detailed examination and valuation process pursuant to Individual Review requires substantial time and effort, claimants electing to undergo the Individual Review process may be paid the liquidated value of their Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims later than would have been the case had the claimant elected the Expedited Review process.

# **5.3(b)(2)** Valuation Factors to be Considered in Individual Review

The Asbestos PI Trust shall liquidate the value of each Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that undergoes Individual Review based on the historic liquidated values of other similarly situated claims in the applicable tort system for the same Disease Level. The Asbestos PI Trust shall thus take into consideration all of the factors that affect the severity of damages and values within the applicable tort system including, but not limited to: (i) the degree to which the characteristics of a claim differ from the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the Disease Level in question; (ii) factors such as the claimant's age, disability, employment status, disruption of household, family, or recreational activities, dependencies, special damages, and pain and suffering; (iii) evidence that the claimant's damages were (or were not) caused by asbestos exposure, including Company Exposure as defined in section 5.7(c) below prior to December 31, 1982 (for example, alternative causes and the strength of documentation of injuries); (iv) the industry of exposure; and (v) settlements, verdicts, and the claimant's and other law firms' experience in the Claimant's Jurisdiction for similarly situated claims.

The "Claimant's Jurisdiction" is the jurisdiction in which the claim was filed (if at all) against one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities in the tort system prior to either the DII Industries Petition Date (for Halliburton Claims) or the Harbison-Walker Petition Date (for Harbison-Walker Claims). If the claim was not filed against one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities in the tort system prior to either the DII Industries Petition Date (for Halliburton Claims) or the Harbison-Walker Petition Date (for Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities in the tort system prior to either the DII Industries Petition Date (for Halliburton Claims) or the Harbison-Walker Petition Date (for Harbison-Walker Claims), the claimant may elect as the Claimant's Jurisdiction either (i) the jurisdiction in which the claimant resides at the time of diagnosis or when the claim is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust or (ii) a jurisdiction in which the claimant experienced exposure to an asbestos-containing product or to conduct for which any of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities has legal responsibility. With respect to the "Claimant's Jurisdiction," in the event a personal representative or authorized agent of a deceased claimant makes a claim

### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 26 of 44

under this TDP for wrongful death with respect to which the governing law of the Claimant's Jurisdiction would only be the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute, the Claimant's Jurisdiction for such claim shall be Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and such claimant's damages for purposes of Individual Review shall be determined pursuant to the statutory and common laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania without regard to its choice of law principles.

# **5.3(b)(3)** Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values (Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos PI Trust Claims)<sup>9</sup>

The Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values for all domestic Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, other than Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, are the following:

	Scheduled	Average	Maximum
Scheduled Disease	Value	Value	Value
Mesothelioma (Level VIII)	\$57,200	\$76,400	\$256,000
Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII)	\$9,300	\$12,000	\$39,900
Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI)	N/A	\$4,000	\$13,300
Other Cancer (Level V)	\$8,000	\$9,800	\$32,700
Severe Asbestosis (Level IV)	\$9,400	\$9,900	\$40,100
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level III)	\$2,400	N/A	N/A
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level II)	\$1,100	N/A	N/A
Other Asbestos Disease (Level I)	\$100	N/A	N/A

The Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative pursuant to articles 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, may change these valuation amounts for good cause in accordance with section 5.3(c) below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The Asbestos PI Trust has changed the values stated in this section as noted above. Current values are available at www.diiasbestostrust.org.

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 27 of 44

# **5.3(b)(4)** Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values (Harbison-Walker Asbestos PI Trust Claims)<sup>10</sup>

The Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values for all domestic Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims are the following:

	Scheduled	Average	Maximum
Scheduled Disease	Value	Value	Value
Mesothelioma (Level VIII)	\$136,500	\$182,000	\$610,000
Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII)	\$44,900	\$57,700	\$192,200
Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI)	N/A	\$19,200	\$64,000
Other Cancer (Level V)	\$24,000	\$29,000	\$96,500
Severe Asbestosis (Level IV)	\$29,500	\$31,000	\$125,600
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level III)	\$7,200	N/A	N/A
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level II)	\$3,800	N/A	N/A
Other Asbestos Disease (Level I)	\$300	N/A	N/A

The Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative pursuant to articles 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, may change these valuation amounts for good cause in accordance with section 5.3(c) below.

# **5.3(c)** Review and Adjustment of Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values

Before the end of 2010, and no later than every five years thereafter, the Asbestos PI Trust shall review the Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values set forth for each Disease Level in this TDP to determine whether such values should be adjusted either upwards of downwards, including in relationship to one another. The Trustees also may review the then-applicable values if requested to do so by the Asbestos TAC or the Legal Representative, except that no review may be requested until at least one year after the last such review (unless the requesting party can demonstrate the occurrence of a material change warranting a review on a shorter interval). In such review, the Asbestos PI Trust may consider all factors that the Trustees, in their discretion, deem appropriate, including, but not limited to: (i) the latest projections of future claims; (ii) the Asbestos PI Trust's available assets; (iii) the Asbestos PI Trust's past claims experience (including, among other things, the number of claims filed, the percentage of claimants electing Individual Review and Expedited Review, and the mix of Disease Levels asserted) in relation to what was projected when the Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values were originally established; and (iv) the values being awarded relative to claims with similar characteristics outside of the Asbestos PI Trust process. The actual timing of such review, within the guidelines established above, shall be in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The Asbestos PI Trust has changed the values stated in this section as noted above. Current values are available at www.diiasbestostrust.org.

Trustees' discretion. The Asbestos PI Trust may implement its proposed adjustments, if any, to the Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative pursuant to articles 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement.

# 5.4 Categorizing Claims as Extraordinary or Exigent Hardship

# 5.4(a)(1) Extraordinary Claims

"Extraordinary Claim" means an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that otherwise satisfies the Medical Criteria for Disease Levels II-VIII and that is held by a claimant whose exposure to asbestos (i) occurred primarily as a result of working in manufacturing facilities of one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities or their predecessors during a period in which they were manufacturing asbestos-containing products at the facility, provided that the claim is a tort claim that is not otherwise barred by an applicable statutory workers' compensation program, or (ii) was at least 75% the result of Company Exposure as defined in section 5.7(c) below, and there is little likelihood of a substantial recovery elsewhere. All such Extraordinary Claims shall be presented for Individual Review and, if valid, shall be entitled to an award of up to (i) for Disease Levels II-V, VII, and VIII, five (5) times the Scheduled Value for such claims and (ii) for Disease Level VI, five (5) times the Average Value for such claims, multiplied by the applicable Payment Percentage.

Any dispute as to Extraordinary Claim status shall be submitted to a special Extraordinary Claims Panel established by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. All decisions of the Extraordinary Claims Panel shall be final and not subject to any further administrative or judicial review.

An Extraordinary Claim, following its liquidation, shall be placed in the FIFO Payment Queue ahead of all other Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims except Exigent Hardship Claims, Disease Level I (Other Asbestos Disease) Claims, and Asbestos Final Judgment Claims, which shall be first in said queue and shall be paid in that order, based on its date of liquidation, subject to the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio described above.

# 5.4(a)(2) Exigent Hardship Claims

At any time the Asbestos PI Trust may liquidate and pay Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims that qualify as Exigent Hardship Claims as defined below. Such claims may be considered separately no matter what the order of processing otherwise would have been under this TDP. An Exigent Hardship Claim, following its liquidation, shall be placed first in the FIFO Payment Queue ahead of all other liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, except Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and Disease Level I (Other Asbestos Disease) Claims, which shall be first in said queue and shall be paid first in that order, subject to the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio described above. An Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim qualifies for payment as an Exigent Hardship Claim if the claim meets the Medical/Exposure Criteria for Severe Asbestosis (Disease Level IV) or an asbestos-related malignancy (Disease Levels V-VIII) and the Asbestos PI Trust, in its sole discretion, determines (a) that the claimant needs financial assistance on an immediate basis based on the claimant's expenses and all sources of available income and (b) that there is a causal connection between the claimant's dire financial condition and the claimant's asbestos-related disease ("Exigent Hardship Claims").

## 5.5 Secondary Exposure Claims

If a claimant alleges an asbestos-related disease resulting solely from exposure to an occupationally exposed person, such as a family member, the claimant may seek Individual Review of his or her claim pursuant to section 5.3(b) above. In such a case, the claimant must establish that the occupationally exposed person would have met the exposure requirements under this TDP that would have been applicable had that person filed a direct claim against the Asbestos PI Trust. In addition, the claimant with secondary exposure must establish (a) that he or she is suffering from one of the eight Disease Levels described in section 5.3(b)(3) above or an asbestos-related disease otherwise compensable under this TDP, (b) that his or her own exposure to the occupationally exposed person occurred within the same time frame as the occupationally exposed person experienced Company Exposure as defined in section 5.7(c)(1) below, and (c) that such secondary exposure to such occupationally exposed person was a cause of the claimed disease. The proof of claim form included in Attachment B hereto contains an additional section for such secondary exposure claims. All other liquidation and payment rights and limitations under this TDP shall be applicable to such claims.

# 5.6 Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims

# 5.6(a) In General

Except as provided in section 5.6(b) below, Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims that are asserted against the Asbestos PI Trust based upon theories of contribution or indemnification under applicable law may not be processed or paid by the Asbestos PI Trust unless the holder of such claim (the "Indirect Asbestos Claimant") establishes to the satisfaction of the Trustees that (a) the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has paid in full obligations that the Asbestos PI Trust otherwise would have had to an individual claimant (the "Direct Asbestos Claimant"), (b) the Asbestos PI Trust has been or shall be forever and fully released from all liability to both the Direct Asbestos Claimant and the Indirect Asbestos Claimant, and (c) the claim is not otherwise barred by a statute of limitation, repose, or other applicable non-bankruptcy law. In no event shall any Indirect Asbestos Claimant have any rights against the Asbestos PI Trust superior to the rights of the related Direct Asbestos Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust, including any rights with respect to the timing, amount, or manner of payment; provided, however, that, in addition, no Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim may be liquidated and paid in an amount that exceeds the lesser of (a) the amount the Direct Asbestos Claimant would have been entitled to recover from the Asbestos PI Trust or (b) the amount that the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has actually paid the related Direct Asbestos Claimant. Except as may be permitted after individual review, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not pay any Indirect Asbestos Claimant unless and until the Indirect Asbestos Claimant's aggregate liability for the Direct Asbestos Claimant's claim has been fixed, liquidated, and paid by the Indirect Asbestos Claimant by settlement (with an appropriate full release in favor of the Asbestos PI Trust) or a Final Order provided that such claim is valid under the applicable non-bankruptcy law. In any case where the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has satisfied the claim of a Direct Asbestos Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust under applicable law by way of a settlement, the Indirect Asbestos Claimant shall obtain for the

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 30 of 44

benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust a release in form and substance satisfactory to the Trustees. The liquidated value of any Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim paid by the Asbestos PI Trust to an Indirect Asbestos Claimant shall be treated as an offset to or reduction of the full liquidated value of any Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that might be subsequently asserted by the Direct Asbestos Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust.

If an Indirect Asbestos Claimant cannot meet the presumptive requirements set forth above, including the requirement that the Indirect Asbestos Claimant provide the Asbestos PI Trust with a full release of the Direct Asbestos Claimant's claim, the Indirect Asbestos Claimant may request that the Asbestos PI Trust review the Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim under its Individual Review process to determine whether the Indirect Asbestos Claimant can establish under applicable law that the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has paid a liability or obligation that the Asbestos PI Trust would otherwise have to the Direct Asbestos Claimant as of the effective date of this TDP. If the Indirect Asbestos Claimant can show that it has paid such a liability or obligation, the Asbestos PI Trust shall reimburse the Indirect Asbestos Claimant the amount of the liability or obligation so satisfied subject to the terms and provisions of this TDP. However, in no event shall such reimbursement to the Indirect Asbestos Claimant be greater than the amount to which the Direct Asbestos Claimant would have otherwise been entitled.

Any dispute between the Asbestos PI Trust and an Indirect Asbestos Claimant over whether the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has a right to reimbursement for any amount paid to a Direct Asbestos Claimant shall be subject to the ADR procedures provided in section 5.10 below and set forth in Attachment A hereto. If such dispute is not resolved by said ADR procedures, the Indirect Asbestos Claimant may litigate the dispute in a judicial forum pursuant to sections 5.11 and 7.6 below. The Trustees may develop and approve a separate proof of claim form for such Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims.

Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims shall be processed in accordance with procedures to be developed and implemented by the Trustees, which procedures (a) shall determine the validity and enforceability of such claims and (b) shall otherwise provide the same liquidation and payment procedures and rights to the holders of such claims as the Asbestos PI Trust would have afforded the holders of the underlying valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims. Nothing in this TDP is intended to preclude a trust to which asbestos-related liabilities are channeled from asserting an Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim against the Asbestos PI Trust subject to the requirements set forth herein.

#### 5.6(b) Certain Indemnification Claims.

In the case of a claim for indemnification that has been channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to article 10.3(a) of the Plan and that involves an underlying liability that is asserted by a current or past employee of a Halliburton Entity or a Harbison-Walker Entity against an indemnitee that is not directly assertable by the direct claimant against a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity, the rights of the indemnitee Indirect Asbestos Claimant shall be coextensive with both the rights the employee would have had against the Asbestos PI Trust had the underlying related claim been compensable under this TDP and with the limitations to which such claim would have been subject under this TDP concerning the time, amount and manner of its processing and payment.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 31 of 44

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this section 5.6, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not assert as a defense to an Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim brought by an indemnitee covered by this provision that the Asbestos PI Trust does not have liability to such indemnitee on the grounds that the current or former employee of a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity would have been precluded from asserting the underlying related claim against the Asbestos PI Trust.

## 5.7 Evidentiary Requirements

#### **5.7(a)** Medical Evidence

## 5.7(a)(1) In General

All diagnoses of a Disease Level shall be accompanied by either (i) a statement by the physician providing the diagnosis that at least ten (10) years have elapsed between the date of first exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products and the diagnosis or (ii) a history of the claimant's exposure sufficient to establish a ten (10) year latency period. A finding by a physician after the Effective Date that a claimant's disease is "consistent with" or "compatible with" asbestosis shall not alone be treated by the Asbestos PI Trust as a diagnosis. For all Asbestos PI Trust Claims, including Foreign Claims, all evidence submitted to the Asbestos PI Trust must be in English.

### 5.7(a)(1)(A) Disease Levels I-IV

Except for claims filed against the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities or another asbestos defendant in the tort system prior to the DII Industries Petition Date, all diagnoses of a non-malignant asbestos-related disease (Disease Levels I-IV) shall be based, in the case of a claimant who was living at the time the claim was filed, upon a physical examination of the claimant by the physician providing the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease. All living claimants must also provide: (i) for claims involving Disease Levels I-III, evidence of Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease (as defined in Footnote 5 above); (ii) for claims involving Disease Level IV,<sup>11</sup> an ILO reading of 2/1 or greater or pathological evidence of asbestosis; and (iii) for claims involving either Disease Level III or IV, pulmonary function testing.<sup>12</sup> In the case of a claimant who was deceased at the time the claim was filed, all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> All diagnoses of Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Disease Levels II and III) not based on pathology shall be presumed to be based on findings of bilateral asbestosis or pleural disease, and all diagnoses of Mesothelioma (Disease Level VIII) shall be presumed to be based on findings that the disease involves a malignancy. However, the Asbestos PI Trust may rebut such presumptions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> "Pulmonary function testing" or "PFT" shall mean testing that is in material compliance with the quality criteria established by the American Thoracic Society ("ATS") and is performed on equipment that is in material compliance with ATS standards for technical quality and calibration. The Asbestos PI Trust may presume that these ATS criteria and standards were satisfied if the pulmonary function testing was performed in an accredited JCAHO hospital or performed, reviewed or supervised by a Board Certified Pulmonologist. If the pulmonary function testing was not performed in an accredited JCAHO hospital or performed, reviewed or supervised by a Board Certified Pulmonologist, then the full testing report (as opposed to a summary report) must be submitted to the

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 32 of 44

diagnoses of a non-malignant asbestos-related disease (Disease Levels I-IV) shall be based upon either: (i) a physical examination of the claimant by the physician providing the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease; or (ii) pathological evidence of the non-malignant asbestos-related disease; or (iii)(a) in the case of Disease Levels I-III, evidence of Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease (as defined in Footnote 5 above) or (b) for Disease Level IV, either an ILO reading of 2/1 or greater or pathological evidence of asbestosis; and (iv) for either Disease Level III or IV, pulmonary function testing.

## 5.7(a)(1)(B) Disease Levels V-VIII

All diagnoses of an asbestos-related malignancy (Disease Levels V-VIII) shall be based upon either (i) a physical examination of the claimant by the physician providing the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease or (ii) a diagnosis of such a malignant Disease Level by a boardcertified pathologist or by a pathology report prepared at or on behalf of a hospital accredited by the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations ("JCAHO").

# **5.7(a)(1)(C)** Exception to the Exception for Certain Pre-Petition Claims

If the holder of an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that was filed against a Halliburton Entity or a Harbison-Walker Entity or another defendant in the tort system prior to the DII Industries Petition Date has not provided the Asbestos PI Trust with a diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease by a physician who conducted a physical examination of the claimant described in section 5.7(a)(1)(A), but the claimant has available such a diagnosis by an examining physician engaged by the claimant or his or her law firm, or the claimant has filed such a diagnosis with another asbestos-related personal injury settlement trust that requires such evidence without regard to whether the claimant or the law firm engaged the diagnosing physician, the claimant shall provide such diagnosis to the Asbestos PI Trust notwithstanding the exception in section 5.7(a)(1)(A).

# 5.7(a)(2) Credibility of Medical Evidence

Before making any payment to a claimant, the Asbestos PI Trust must have reasonable confidence that the medical evidence provided in support of the claim is competent medical evidence of an asbestos-related injury and is consistent with recognized medical standards. The Asbestos PI Trust may require the submission of X-rays, CT scans, detailed results of pulmonary function tests, laboratory tests, tissue samples, results of medical examination, or reviews of other medical evidence and may require that medical evidence submitted comply with

<sup>[</sup>Footnote continued from previous page]

Asbestos PI Trust. If the full report is required by the foregoing sentence, the pulmonary function testing was conducted prior to November 1, 2005, and the full pulmonary function testing report is not available, the claimant may submit a declaration signed by a Qualified Physician or other party who is qualified to make a certification regarding the PFT in the form provided by the Asbestos PI Trust certifying that the pulmonary function testing was conducted in material compliance with ATS standards.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 33 of 44

recognized medical standards regarding equipment, testing methods, and procedures to assure that such evidence is reliable.

Medical evidence (i) that is of a kind shown to have been received in evidence by a domestic or foreign state or federal judge at trial, (ii) that is consistent with evidence submitted to the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities to settle for payment similar disease cases prior to the Reorganization Cases, or (iii) that is a diagnosis by a physician shown to have previously qualified as a medical expert with respect to the asbestos-related disease in question before a domestic or foreign state or federal judge, is presumptively reliable, although the Asbestos PI Trust may seek to rebut the presumption. In addition, except as otherwise set forth in this TDP, claimants who otherwise meet the requirements of this TDP for payment of an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim shall be paid without regard to the results of any litigation at any time between the claimant and any other defendant in the tort system. However, any relevant evidence submitted in a proceeding in the tort system, other than any findings of fact, a verdict, or a judgment, involving another defendant may be introduced by either the claimant or the Asbestos PI Trust in any Individual Review proceeding conducted pursuant to section 5.3(b) or any Extraordinary Claim proceeding conducted pursuant to section 5.4(a).

### 5.7(b) Exposure Evidence

## 5.7(b)(1) In General

As set forth in section 5.3(a)(3), to qualify for any Disease Level, the claimant must demonstrate by credible evidence a minimum exposure to an asbestos-containing product manufactured or distributed by one or more of the Harbison-Walker Entities or the Halliburton Entities or their predecessors. Claims based on conspiracy theories that involve no exposure to an asbestos-containing product produced by the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities or their predecessors are not compensable under this TDP. To meet the presumptive exposure requirements of Expedited Review set forth in section 5.3(a)(3) above, the claimant must show by credible evidence (i) for all Disease Levels, Company Exposure as defined in section 5.7(c) below prior to December 31, 1982; (ii) for Asbestos/Pleural Disease Level II, six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, plus five years cumulative occupational asbestos exposure; and (iii) for Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Disease Level III), Severe Asbestosis (Disease Level IV), Other Cancer (Disease Level V), or Lung Cancer 1 (Disease Level VII), six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, plus Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos as defined in section 5.7(b)(2) below. If the claimant cannot meet the relevant presumptive exposure requirements for a Disease Level eligible for Expedited Review, the claimant may seek Individual Review of his or her claim.

### 5.7(b)(2) Significant Occupational Exposure

"Significant Occupational Exposure" means employment for a cumulative period of at least five years, with a minimum of two years prior to December 31, 1982, in an industry and an occupation in which the claimant (a) handled raw asbestos fibers on a regular basis; (b) fabricated asbestos-containing products so that the claimant in the fabrication process was exposed on a regular basis to raw asbestos fibers; (c) altered, repaired, or otherwise worked with an asbestos-containing product such that the claimant was exposed on a regular basis to asbestos fibers; or (d) was employed in an industry and occupation such that the claimant worked on a regular basis in close proximity to workers engaged in the activities described in (a), (b), or (c).

# 5.7(c) Company Exposure

"Company Exposure" means meaningful and credible exposure, which occurred prior to December 31, 1982, to asbestos or asbestos-containing products supplied, specified, used, installed, or manufactured by one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities or for which a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity is otherwise liable, in accordance with the exposure requirements described in sections 5.7(b)(1) and (2) above. Working at a Documented Site (as defined below) shall constitute presumptive evidence of Company Exposure. Company Exposure must be established by:

- an affidavit, sworn statement, deposition, interrogatory answer, sworn work history or other credible evidence that establishes by credible evidence that asbestos or asbestos-containing products supplied, specified, used, installed, or manufactured by a Halliburton Entity or a Harbison-Walker Entity or for which a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity is otherwise liable, were present at the time of the alleged exposure, or
- sales, construction, employment, or other contemporaneous records that establishes by credible evidence that asbestos or asbestos-containing products supplied, specified, used, installed, or manufactured by a Halliburton Entity or a Harbison-Walker Entity or for which a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity is otherwise liable, were present at the time of the alleged exposure.

A "Documented Site" means an exposure location identified to the Asbestos PI Trust where there is clear and convincing evidence that asbestos or asbestos-containing products supplied, specified, used, installed, or manufactured by a Halliburton Entity or a Harbison-Walker Entity or their predecessors, successors, and assigns were present at the time of the alleged exposure. The Asbestos PI Trust shall make available a non-exclusive list of Documented Sites. Not less than annually, the Asbestos PI Trust shall review the list of Documented Sites. To the extent the Trustees deem necessary, upon consultation with the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, the Trustees shall supplement such non-exclusive list of Documented Sites.

Evidence submitted to establish proof of Company Exposure is for the sole benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust, not third parties or defendants in the tort system. The Asbestos PI Trust has no need for, and therefore claimants are not required to furnish the Asbestos PI Trust with, evidence of exposure to specific asbestos products other than those for which the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities have legal responsibility, except to the extent such evidence is required elsewhere in this TDP. Similarly, failure to identify Halliburton or Harbison-Walker products in the claimant's underlying tort action, or to other bankruptcy trusts, does not preclude the claimant from recovering from the Asbestos PI Trust, provided the claimant otherwise satisfies the medical and exposure requirements of this TDP.

## 5.8 Claims Audit Program

The Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, may develop methods for auditing the reliability of medical evidence, including additional reading of x-rays, CT scans, and verification of pulmonary function tests, as well as the reliability of evidence of exposure to asbestos, including exposure to asbestos-containing products manufactured or distributed by the Halliburton Entities or Harbison Walker Entities prior to December 31, 1982. In the event that the Asbestos PI Trust reasonably determines that any individual or entity has engaged in a pattern or practice of providing unreliable medical evidence to the Asbestos PI Trust, it may decline to accept additional evidence from such provider in the future. Further, in the event that an audit reveals that fraudulent information has been provided to the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PI Trust may penalize any claimant or claimant's attorney by disallowing the Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim or by other means including, but not limited to, requiring the source of the fraudulent information to pay the costs associated with the audit and any future audit or audits, reordering the priority of payment of all affected claimants' Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, raising the level of scrutiny of additional information submitted from the same source or sources, refusing to accept additional evidence from the same source or sources, seeking the prosecution of the claimant or claimant's attorney for presenting a fraudulent claim in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 152, and seeking sanctions from the Bankruptcy Court.

## 5.9 Second Disease (Malignancy) Claims

A claimant who resolved a claim against a Halliburton Entity (or one of its predecessors), a Harbison-Walker Entity (or one of its predecessors), or the Asbestos PI Trust based on a nonmalignant asbestos-related disease may file a claim against the Asbestos PI Trust based on a malignant asbestos-related disease (mesothelioma or lung, colon, rectal, laryngeal, esophageal, pharyngeal, or stomach cancer). The Asbestos PI Trust shall not assert a release that resolved the non-malignant asbestos-related disease claim as a defense to the malignant asbestos-related disease claim unless the release was executed pursuant to an Asbestos/Silica PI Trust Claimant Settlement Agreement. Except as set forth in the preceding sentence, this provision does not restrict the Asbestos PI Trust's ability to assert a release as a defense to a claim, including a Foreign Claim. The Asbestos PI Trust's payment of a malignant asbestos-related disease claim unless the malignant disease had been diagnosed when the non-malignant disease claim was paid. All other provisions of this TDP, including the statutes of limitations and repose provisions in section 5.1(a)(2), shall apply for determining the validity of the malignant asbestosrelated disease claim.

## 5.10 Arbitration

## 5.10(a) Establishment of Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures

The Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, shall institute binding and nonbinding arbitration procedures in accordance with the Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") Procedures included in Attachment A hereto<sup>13</sup> to attempt to resolve whether the Asbestos PI Trust's outright rejection or denial of a claim was proper or whether the claimant's medical condition or exposure history meets the requirements of this TDP for purposes of categorizing a claim involving Disease Levels I-VIII. Disputes of whether an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim is a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim shall be resolved pursuant to the terms of the applicable Asbestos Claimant Settlement Agreement and the Plan. Binding and nonbinding arbitration shall also be available for resolving disputes over the liquidated value of a claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII, as well as disputes over the validity of Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims. In all arbitrations, the arbitrator shall consider the same medical and exposure evidentiary requirements that are set forth in section 5.7 above. In the case of an arbitration involving the liquidated value of a claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII, the arbitrator shall consider the same valuation factors that are set forth in section 5.3(b)(2) above. To facilitate the Individual Review process with respect to claims involving Disease Levels IV-VIII, the Asbestos PI Trust may develop a valuation model that enables it to efficiently make initial settlement offers on such claims. In an arbitration involving any such claim, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not offer into evidence or describe any such model or assert that any information generated by the model has any evidentiary relevance or should be used by the arbitrator in determining the presumed correct liquidated value in arbitration. The underlying data that was used to create the model may be relevant and may be made available to the arbitrator but only if provided to the claimant or his or her counsel at least ten days prior to the arbitration proceeding.

In arbitrations involving compensable Foreign Claims, the arbitrator is to assign a value to the claim that is consistent with the value such claim would receive in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction.

In all arbitrations, the arbitrator shall consider evidence presented by the Asbestos PI Trust, including written expert opinions regarding the validity of a Foreign Claim and evidence regarding whether the claim has been paid, satisfied, settled, released, waived, or otherwise discharged under the law and procedure of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, but only if provided to the claimant or his or her counsel at least ten days prior to the arbitration hearing.

With respect to all claims eligible for arbitration, the claimant, but not the Asbestos PI Trust, may elect either nonbinding or binding arbitration. The ADR Procedures set forth in Attachment A hereto may be modified by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. Such amendments may also include adoption of mediation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> To the extent there is any ambiguity or conflict between any provisions of this TDP and the ADR Procedures, the provisions of this TDP shall control.

procedures as well as establishment of an Extraordinary Claims Panel to review such claims pursuant to section 5.4(a) above.

## **5.10(b)** Claims Eligible for Arbitration

In order to be eligible for arbitration, the claimant must first complete the Individual Review process with respect to the disputed issue as well as either the Pro Bono Evaluation or the Mediation processes set forth in the ADR Procedures. Individual Review shall be treated as completed for these purposes when the claim has been individually reviewed by the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PI Trust has made an offer on the claim, the claimant has rejected the liquidated value resulting from the Individual Review, and the claimant has notified the Asbestos PI Trust of the rejection in writing. Individual Review also shall be treated as completed if the Asbestos PI Trust has rejected the claim. The claimant must send the Asbestos PI Trust a written request for ADR pursuant to the ADR Procedures within 180 days after Individual Review is treated as complete, or else the claimant shall be deemed to have waived ADR and all of the claimant's rights under section 7.6 below.

## 5.10(c) Limitations on and Payment of Arbitration Awards

In the case of a non-Extraordinary Claim involving Disease Levels I-III, the arbitrator shall not return an award in excess of the Scheduled Value for such claim. In the case of a non-Extraordinary Claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII, the arbitrator shall not return an award in excess of the Maximum Value for the appropriate Disease Level as set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) above, and for an Extraordinary Claim involving one of those Disease Levels, the arbitrator shall not return an award greater than the maximum value for such a claim as set forth in section 5.4(a)(1) above. A claimant who submits to arbitration and who accepts the arbitral award shall receive payments in the same manner as one who accepts the Asbestos PI Trust's original valuation of the claim.

# 5.11 Litigation

Claimants who elect nonbinding arbitration and then reject their arbitral awards retain the right to institute a lawsuit in a judicial forum against the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to section 7.6 below. However, a claimant shall be eligible for payment of a judgment for monetary damages obtained in a judicial forum from the Asbestos PI Trust's available cash only as provided in section 7.7 below.

## SECTION 6 Claims Materials

## 6.1 Claims Materials

The Asbestos PI Trust shall prepare suitable and efficient claims materials ("Claims Materials"), for all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, and shall provide such Claims Materials upon a written request for such materials to the Asbestos PI Trust. The proof of claim form to be submitted to the Asbestos PI Trust shall require the claimant to assert the highest Disease Level for which the claim qualifies at the time of filing and shall require the claimant to identify the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison Walker Entities his or her claim alleges liability

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 38 of 44

against. The proof of claim form shall also include a certification by the claimant or his or her attorney sufficient to meet the requirements of Rule 11(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In developing its claim-filing procedures, the Asbestos PI Trust shall make every reasonable effort to provide claimants with the opportunity to utilize currently available technology at their discretion, including filing claims and supporting documentation over the internet and electronically by disk or CD-rom. A copy of the proof of claim form to be used by the Asbestos PI Trust for unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims is included in Attachment B hereto. The proof of claim form may be changed by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative.

## 6.2 Content of Claims Materials

The Claims Materials shall include a copy of this TDP, such instructions as the Trustees shall approve, and a detailed proof of claim form. If feasible, the forms used by the Asbestos PI Trust to obtain claims information shall be the same or substantially similar to those used by other asbestos claims resolution organizations. If requested by the claimant, the Asbestos PI Trust shall accept information provided electronically. The claimant may, but shall not be required to, provide the Asbestos PI Trust with evidence of recovery from other asbestos defendants and claims resolution organizations, except that the Asbestos PI Trust may require a claimant holding a Foreign Claim to provide it with such evidence of recovery or other information that such claimant would be required to provide pursuant to substantive law, rules of procedure, or practices in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, including pre- and postverdict rules, so as to enable the Asbestos PI Trust to (1) determine whether the claim would be valid and cognizable in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, (2) comply with the provisions of section 5.3(b)(1) above, and (3) determine the Asbestos PI Trust's several share of liability for the claimant's unpaid damages.

# 6.3 Withdrawal or Deferral of Claims

A claimant can withdraw an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim at any time upon written notice to the Asbestos PI Trust and file another claim subsequently without affecting the status of the claim for statute of limitations purposes, but any such claim filed after withdrawal shall be given a place in the FIFO Processing Queue based on the date of such subsequent filing. A claimant can also request that the processing of his or her Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim by the Asbestos PI Trust be deferred for a period not to exceed three (3) years without affecting the status of the claim for statute of limitation purposes, in which case the claimant shall also retain his or her original place in the FIFO Processing Queue. During the period of such deferral, any sequencing adjustment on such claimant's Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim provided for in section 7.5 hereunder shall not accrue and payment thereof shall be deemed waived by the claimant. Except for Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims held by representatives of deceased or incompetent claimants for which court or probate approval of the Asbestos PI Trust's offer is required, or an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim for which deferral status has been granted, a claim shall be deemed to have been withdrawn if the claimant neither accepts, rejects, nor initiates arbitration within six months of the Asbestos PI Trust's offer of payment or rejection of Upon written request and good cause, the Asbestos PI Trust may extend the the claim. withdrawal or deferral period for an additional six months.

## 6.4 Filing Requirements and Fees

The Trustees shall have the discretion to determine, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, (a) whether a claimant must have previously filed an asbestos-related personal injury claim in the tort system to be eligible to file an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim with the Asbestos PI Trust and (b) whether a filing fee should be required for any Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims.

## SECTION 7 General Guidelines for Liquidating and Paying Claims

# 7.1 Showing Required

To establish a valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim, a claimant must meet the requirements set forth in this TDP. The Asbestos PI Trust may require the submission of X-rays, CT scans, laboratory tests, medical examinations or reviews, other medical evidence, or any other evidence to support or verify the claim and may further require that medical evidence submitted comply with recognized medical standards regarding equipment, testing methods, and procedures to assure that such evidence is reliable. Nothing in this TDP shall prohibit the Asbestos PI Trust at any time from challenging the validity of a claim under the provisions of this TDP or whether a claim has been paid, satisfied, settled, released, waived, or otherwise discharged.

# 7.2 Costs Considered

Notwithstanding any provisions of this TDP to the contrary, the Trustees shall always give appropriate consideration to the cost of investigating and uncovering invalid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims so that the payment of valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims is not further impaired by such processes with respect to issues related to the validity of the medical evidence supporting an Asbestos PI Trust Claim. The Trustees shall also have the latitude to make judgments regarding the amount of transaction costs to be expended by the Asbestos PI Trust so that valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims are not unduly further impaired by the costs of additional investigation. Nothing herein shall prevent the Trustees, in appropriate circumstances, from contesting the validity of any claim against the Asbestos PI Trust whatever the costs or declining acceptance of medical evidence from sources that the Trustees have determined to be unreliable pursuant to the Claims Audit Program described in section 5.8 above.

# 7.3 Discretion to Vary the Order and Amounts of Payments in Event of Limited Liquidity

Consistent with the provisions hereof and subject to the FIFO Processing and Payment Queues, the Maximum Annual Payment, the Maximum Available Payment, and the Claims Payment Ratio requirements set forth above, the Trustees shall proceed as quickly as possible to liquidate valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims and shall make payments to holders of such claims in accordance with this TDP promptly as funds become available and as claims are liquidated, while maintaining sufficient resources to pay future valid claims in substantially the same manner. Because the Asbestos PI Trust's income and value over time remains uncertain

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 40 of 44

and decisions about payments must be based on estimates that cannot be done precisely, they may have to be revised in light of experiences over time, and there can be no guarantee of any specific level of payment to claimants. However, the Trustees shall use their best efforts to treat similar claims in substantially the same manner, consistent with their duties as Trustees, the purposes of the Asbestos PI Trust, and the practical limitations imposed by the inability to predict the future with precision. In the event that the Asbestos PI Trust faces temporary periods of limited liquidity, the Trustees may, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, suspend the normal order of payment and may temporarily limit or suspend payments altogether and may offer a Reduced Payment Option as described in section 2.5 above.

## 7.4 **Punitive Damages**

In determining the value of any claim, punitive damages shall not be considered or allowed, notwithstanding their availability in the tort system. Similarly, no punitive or exemplary damages shall be payable with respect to any claim litigated against the Asbestos PI Trust in the tort system pursuant to sections 5.11 above and 7.6 below. The only damages that may be awarded pursuant to this TDP to Alabama claimants who are deceased and whose personal representatives pursue their claims only under the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute shall be compensatory damages determined pursuant to the statutory and common law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, without regard to its choice of law provision.

# 7.5 Sequencing Adjustments

# 7.5(a) In General.

Except for an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I) and subject to the limitations set forth below, sequencing adjustments shall be paid on all liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims with respect to which the claimant has had to wait a year or more for payment after the later of the DII Industries Effective Date or the date the claim was placed in the FIFO Payment Queue; provided, however, that no claimant shall receive a sequencing adjustment for a period in excess of seven (7) years, provided further, however, in no event shall sequencing adjustments be paid or accrue on account of any supplemental payment made to a claimant pursuant to section 5.1(c) above. Sequencing adjustments shall begin to accrue one year after the date the claim was placed in the FIFO Payment Queue at the one-year Treasury Bond interest rate in effect on January 1 of the year in which such accrual commences. The rate of the sequencing adjustment shall be adjusted each January 1 to correspond to the one-year Treasury Bond interest rate then in effect. The applicable sequencing adjustment shall be calculated based only on the liquidated value of the claim, subject to the Payment Percentage; any accrued but unpaid sequencing adjustment shall not be included in such calculation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not be obligated to pay sequencing adjustments on Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims.

# 7.5(b) Unliquidated Asbestos Trust Claims.

Sequencing adjustments shall be payable on the Scheduled Value of any unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that meets the requirements of Disease Levels II-V, VII and

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 41 of 44

VIII, whether the claim is liquidated under Expedited Review, Individual Review, or by arbitration. No sequencing adjustment shall be paid on any claim liquidated in the tort system pursuant to section 5.11 above and 7.6 below. Sequencing adjustments on an unliquidated Asbestos Trust Claim that meets the requirements of Disease Level VI shall be based on the Average Value of such a claim. Sequencing adjustments on all unliquidated claims shall be measured from 30 days after the date of the Notice of Determination – Allowance letter back to the earlier of the date that is one year after the date on which (i) the claim was filed against a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity prior to the DII Industries Petition Date; (ii) the claim was filed against another defendant in the tort system on or after the DII Industries Petition Date but before the Claims Acceptance Date; or (iii) the claim was filed with the Asbestos PI Trust after the Claims Acceptance Date.

## 7.6 Suits in a Judicial Forum

If the holder of a disputed claim disagrees with the Asbestos PI Trust's determination regarding the Disease Level of the claim, the claimant's exposure or medical history, the validity of the claim under the provisions of this TDP, or the liquidated value of the claim, and if the holder has first submitted the claim to nonbinding arbitration as provided in section 5.10 above, the holder may file a lawsuit against the Asbestos PI Trust in the Claimant's Jurisdiction as defined in section 5.3(b)(2) above or, in the case of the holder of an Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim, in a court of competent jurisdiction in the United States. Such lawsuit must be commenced within 180 days after the claimant receives an authorization to commence litigation pursuant to the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures. Any such lawsuit must be filed by the claimant in his or her own right and name and not as a member or representative of a class, and no such lawsuit may be consolidated with any other lawsuit. All defenses (including, with respect to the Asbestos PI Trust, all defenses that could have been asserted by a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity) shall be available to both sides at trial; however, the Asbestos PI Trust may waive any defense or concede any issue of fact or law. If the claimant is an individual who was alive at the time the initial pre-petition complaint was filed or on the date the proof of claim was filed with the Asbestos PI Trust, the case shall be treated as a personal injury case with all personal injury damages to be considered even if the claimant has died during the pendency of the claim.

## 7.7 Payment of Judgments for Money Damages

A claimant whose claim was liquidated in a judicial forum pursuant to sections 5.11 and 7.6 above after the DII Industries Effective Date shall receive from the Asbestos PI Trust an initial payment (subject to the Payment Percentage, the Maximum Annual Payment, the Maximum Available Payment, and, if any, the Claims Payment Ratio provisions set forth above) of an amount equal to one-hundred percent (100%) of the greater of (i) the Asbestos PI Trust's last offer to the claimant or (ii) the award that the claimant declined in nonbinding arbitration. Subject to the limitations set forth herein, the claimant shall receive the balance of the judgment, if any, in five equal installments in years six (6) through ten (10) following the year of the initial payment (also subject to the Payment Percentage, the Maximum Available Payment, and, if any, the Claims Payment Ratio provisions above in effect on the date of the payment of the subject installment). In the case of non-Extraordinary Claims involving Disease Levels I, II, and III, the total amounts paid with respect to such claims shall not exceed the relevant Scheduled Value for

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 42 of 44

such Disease Levels as set forth above. In the case of claims involving a nonmalignant asbestosrelated disease that does not attain classification under Disease Levels I, II, or III, the amount payable shall not exceed the Scheduled Value for the Disease Level most comparable to the disease proven. In the case of non-Extraordinary Claims involving severe asbestosis and malignancies (Disease Levels IV-VIII), the total amounts paid with respect to such claims shall not exceed the Maximum Values for such Disease Levels set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4). In the case of Extraordinary Claims, the total amounts paid with respect to such claims shall not exceed the maximum value for such claims set forth in section 5.4(a) above. Except as provided in section 7.4 above with respect to Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims arising under the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute, neither punitive damages nor interest shall be paid on any judgments obtained in a judicial forum after the DII Industries Petition Date.

## 7.8 Releases

The Trustees shall have the discretion to determine the form and substance of the releases to be provided to the Asbestos PI Trust in order to maximize recovery for claimants against other tortfeasors without increasing the risk or amount of claims for indemnification or contribution from the Asbestos PI Trust. As a condition to making any payment to a claimant, the Asbestos PI Trust shall obtain a general, partial, or limited release as appropriate in accordance with the applicable state, federal, foreign, or other law. Such release shall include language evidencing the assignment to the applicable Reorganized Debtor of any Direct Action that may be assertable on account of such Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims. If allowed by applicable law, the endorsing of a check or draft for payment by or on behalf of a claimant shall constitute such a release.

# 7.9 Third-Party Services

Nothing in this TDP shall preclude the Asbestos PI Trust from contracting with another asbestos claims resolution organization to provide services to the Asbestos PI Trust so long as decisions about the categorization and liquidated value of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims are based on the relevant provisions of this TDP, including the Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, Average Values, Maximum Values, and Medical/Exposure Criteria set forth above.

## SECTION 8 Miscellaneous

## 8.1 Amendments

Except as otherwise provided herein, the Trustees may amend, modify, delete, or add to any provisions of this TDP (including, without limitation, amendments to conform this TDP to advances in scientific or medical knowledge or other changes in circumstances), provided they first obtain the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative pursuant to the Consent Process set forth in articles 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, except that the right to amend the Claims Payment Ratio is governed by the restrictions in section 2.5 above and the right to adjust the Payment Percentage is governed by section 4.2 above. Whenever consent of the Asbestos TAC or the Legal Representative is required in these Trust Distribution Procedures, the consent process of articles 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement apply.

# 8.2 Severability

Should any provision contained in this TDP be determined to be unenforceable, such determination shall in no way limit or affect the enforceability and operative effect of any and all other provisions of this TDP. Should any provision contained in this TDP be determined to be inconsistent with or contrary to any of the Harbison-Walker Entities' or the Halliburton Entities' obligations to any insurance company providing insurance coverage to any of the Harbison-Walker Entities or the Halliburton Entities in respect of claims for personal injury based on Company Exposure, the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative may amend this TDP or the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement to make the provisions of either or both documents consistent with the duties and obligations of any of the Halliburton Entities to said insurance company.

# 8.3 Governing Law

Except for purposes of determining the Liquidated Amount of any Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim by the Trust, administration of this TDP shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Except for Asbestos PI Trust Claims arising under the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute as provided in section 7.4 above, the law governing the liquidation of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims in the case of Individual Review, arbitration, or litigation in the tort system shall be the law of the Claimant's Jurisdiction as determined in accordance with section 5.3(b)(2) above.

# 8.4 Confidentiality of Claimant Submissions

All submissions to the Asbestos PI Trust by a holder of an Asbestos PI Trust Claim of a proof of claim form and materials related thereto shall be treated as made in the course of settlement discussions between the holder and the Asbestos PI Trust, and such submissions and all communications related thereto are intended by the parties to be confidential and to be protected by all applicable privileges, laws, rules and regulations including but not limited to those directly applicable to settlement discussions, patient confidentiality and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996. Absent the claimant's written consent authorizing the release of information to a particular party, the Asbestos PI Trust shall preserve the confidentiality of such claimant submissions and communications, and may disclose the contents thereof only (a) to its claims processing agent to the extent necessary to facilitate the processing of claims pursuant to this TDP and (b) in response to a valid order or subpoena issued by the Bankruptcy Court, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, or a District Court of Dallas County, Texas. The Asbestos PI Trust shall provide a copy of any such order or subpoena to the claimant whose records are sought, or to such claimant's counsel, promptly after it receives the order or subpoena. Additionally, the Asbestos PI Trust shall on its own initiative or upon request of the claimant in question take all necessary and appropriate steps to preserve any privileges in the court that issued the order or subpoena and on any appeal from such court.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-3 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit C Page 44 of 44

Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing to the contrary, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, the Asbestos PI Trust may, in specific limited instances, disclose information, documents, or other materials reasonably necessary in the Asbestos PI Trust's judgment to preserve, litigate, resolve, or settle coverage, or to comply with an applicable obligation under an insurance policy or settlement agreement directly related to the funding of the Asbestos PI Trust; provided, however, that the Asbestos PI Trust shall take any and all steps reasonably feasible in its judgment to preserve the further confidentiality of such information, documents and materials, and prior to the disclosure of such information, documents or materials to a third party, the Asbestos PI Trust shall receive from such third party a written agreement of confidentiality that (a) ensures that the information, documents and materials provided by the Asbestos PI Trust shall be used solely by the receiving party for the purpose stated in the agreement and (b) prohibits any other use or further dissemination of the information, documents and materials by the third party.

Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 1 of 44

# Exhibit D

Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 2 of 44

# **DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST**

# SEVENTH EIGHTH AMENDED TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES

(January 18, 2013) (October 24, 2017)

# Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 3 of 44

# **DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST**

# SEVENTH EIGHTH AMENDED TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SECTION 1	Introduction
1.1	Purpose1
1.2	Interpretation
SECTION 2	Overview1
2.1	Asbestos PI Trust Goals 1
2.2	Asbestos PI Trust Claim Liquidation Procedures
2.3	Asbestos PI Trust Application of the Payment Percentage
2.4	Asbestos PI Trust's Determination of the Maximum Annual Payment and Maximum Available Payment
2.5	Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims Payment Ratio and Reduced Payment Option
2.6	Asbestos PI Trust Indemnity and Contribution Claims
SEC	CTION 3 TDP Administration
3.1	Asbestos TAC and Legal Representative67
3.2	Consultation and Consent Procedures
SECTION 4	Payment Percentage; Periodic Estimates
4.1	Uncertainty of the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' Asbestos Personal Injury Liabilities
4.2	Payment Percentage7
4.3	Applicability of the Payment Percentage
SECTION 5	Resolution of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims
5.1	Ordering, Processing, and Payment of Claims9
	5.1(a) Ordering of Claims
	5.1(b) Payment of Claims
	5.1(c) Adjusting Payments Option

# Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 4 of 44

	5.2	Resolut	ion of Liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims.	
		5.2(a)	Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims.	
		5.2(b)	Asbestos Final Judgment Claims	12
	5.3	Resolut	ion of Unliquidated Asbestos Pl Trust Claims	13
		5.3(a)	Expedited Review Process	13
		5.3(b)	Individual Review Process	
		5.3(c)	Review and Adjustment of Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maxim	
	5.4	Categor	izing Claims as Extraordinary and/or Exigent Hardship	
	5.5	Seconda	ary Exposure Claims	<del>23</del> 24
	5.6	Indirect	Asbestos PI Trust Claims	<del>23<u>24</u></del>
		5.6(a)	In General	<del>23</del> 24
		5.6(b)	Certain Indemnification Claims.	<u>2425</u>
	5.7	Evident	iary Requirements	<u>25</u> 26
		5.7(a)	Medical Evidence	<u>25</u> 26
		5.7(b)	Exposure Evidence	<u>2728</u>
		5.7(c)	Company Exposure	<u>2829</u>
	5.8	Claims	Audit Program	<del>29<u>30</u></del>
	5.9	Second	Disease (Malignancy) Claims	
	5.10	Arbitrat	tion	<del>29<u>31</u></del>
		5.10(a)	Establishment of Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures	<del>29<u>31</u></del>
		5.10(b)	Claims Eligible for Arbitration	<del>30<u>32</u></del>
		5.10(c)	Limitations on and Payment of Arbitration Awards	<del>30<u>32</u></del>
	5.11	Litigatio	on	<del>31<u>32</u></del>
SECTIO	ON 6 Cla	ims Mate	erials	
	6.1	Claims	Materials	<del>31<u>32</u></del>
	6.2	Content	t of Claims Materials	<del>31<u>33</u></del>
	6.3	Withdra	awal or Deferral of Claims	

# Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 5 of 44

6.4	Filing Requirements and Fees
SECTION 7 G	eneral Guidelines for Liquidating and Paying Claims 3234
7.1	Showing Required
7.2	Costs Considered
7.3	Discretion to Vary the Order and Amounts of Payments in Event of Limited Liquidity
7.4	Punitive Damages
7.5	Sequencing Adjustments
	7.5(a) In General
	7.5(b) Unliquidated Asbestos Trust Claims
7.6	Suits in a Judicial Forum
7.7	Payment of Judgments for Money Damages
7.8	Releases
7.9	Third-Party Services
SECTION 8 M	liscellaneous
8.1	Amendments
8.2	Severability
8.3	Governing Law
8.4	Confidentiality of Claimant Submissions

# DII INDUSTRIES, LLC ASBESTOS PI TRUST SEVENTH-EIGHTH AMENDED TRUST DISTRIBUTION PROCEDURES

The DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust <u>SeventhEighth</u> Amended Trust Distribution Procedures ("TDP") contained herein provide for resolving all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (including so-called "premises liability" claims) for which a Halliburton Entity or a Harbison-Walker Entity has legal responsibility, as provided in and required by the Debtors' Joint Prepackaged Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code ("Plan") and the DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust Agreement (the "Asbestos PI Trust Agreement"). The Plan and Asbestos PI Trust Agreement establish the DII Industries, LLC Asbestos PI Trust (the "Asbestos PI Trust"). The Trustees shall implement and administer this TDP in accordance with the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Definitive Uniform Glossary of Defined Terms for Plan Documents filed of record with the Bankruptcy Court on November 22, 2004 [Docket # 2086].

# SECTION 1 Introduction

# 1.1 Purpose

This TDP has been adopted pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. It is designed to provide fair, equitable, and substantially similar treatment for all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims that may presently exist or may arise in the future.

# **1.2** Interpretation

Except as may otherwise be provided below, nothing in this TDP shall be deemed to create a substantive right for any claimant.

# SECTION 2 Overview

#### 2.1 Asbestos PI Trust Goals

The goal of the Asbestos PI Trust is to treat all claimants equitably and in accordance with the requirements of section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. This TDP furthers that goal by setting forth procedures for processing and paying claims generally on an impartial, first-in-first-out ("FIFO") basis, with the intention of paying all claimants over time as equivalent a share as possible of the value of their claims based on historical values for substantially similar claims in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction (as defined in section 5.3(b)(2) below).<sup>1</sup> To this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As used in this TDP, the phrase "in the tort system" shall include only claims asserted by way of litigation and not claims asserted against a trust established pursuant to section 524(g) and/or section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law. <u>References to "tort system" shall include both domestic and foreign tort systems and other</u>

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 7 of 44

end, this TDP establishes a single schedule of eight asbestos-related diseases ("Disease Levels"), seven of which have presumptive medical and exposure requirements ("Medical/Exposure Criteria") and specific liquidated values ("Scheduled Values"), and five of which have both anticipated average values ("Average Values") and caps on their liquidated values ("Maximum Values"). The Disease Levels, Medical/Exposure Criteria, Scheduled Values, Average Values, and Maximum Values, set forth in sections 5.3 and 5.4 below, have been selected and derived with the intention of achieving a fair allocation of the Asbestos PI Trust funds as among claimants suffering from different disease processes in light of the best available information considering the <u>domestic</u> settlement history of the Halliburton Entities and the Harbison-Walker Entities and the rights claimants would have in the tort systems of the United States absent the Reorganization Cases.

A claimant may assert separate Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims against the Asbestos PI Trust based on exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products manufactured or distributed by more than one of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities (the "Multiple Exposure Claims"). To the extent that the Asbestos PI Trust has separate liabilities to a single claimant based on Multiple Exposure Claims, the Asbestos PI Trust shall pay the claimant its several share of the liquidated value of each of the separate claim or claims for which it is liable, subject to the applicable Payment Percentage and Maximum Annual Payment, and Claims Payment Ratio limitations, if any, set forth below. Under no circumstances, however, shall any claimant receive more than the full liquidated value of each of one Harbison-Walker Asbestos PI Trust Claim (as defined in section 5.3(a)(3) below) as such value(s) is (are) determined under this TDP, except as set forth in section 5.9 below.

#### 2.2 Asbestos PI Trust Claim Liquidation Procedures

Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims shall be processed based on their place in the FIFO Processing Queues to be established pursuant to section 5.1(a) and section 5.2 below. The Asbestos PI Trust shall take all reasonable steps to resolve Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims as efficiently and expeditiously as possible at each stage of claims processing and arbitration, which steps may include conducting settlement discussions with claimants' representatives of more than one claim at a time; provided, however, that the claimants' respective positions in the FIFO Processing Queue are maintained and each claim is individually evaluated pursuant to the valuation factors set forth in section 5.3(b)(2) below. The Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims required to exhaust the Maximum Annual Payment and the Maximum Available Payment, as those terms are defined below.

The Asbestos PI Trust shall process and liquidate all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, other than Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims and Asbestos Final Judgment Claims, pursuant to the relevant provisions of this TDP. Qualifying Settled Asbestos

<sup>[</sup>Footnote continued from previous page] foreign claims resolution systems, where appropriate.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 8 of 44

Unsecured PI Trust Claims shall be processed and paid solely pursuant to the Plan, the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement, and section 5.2(a) below. Asbestos Final Judgment Claims shall be processed and paid pursuant to section 5.2(b) below.

Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, other than Foreign Claims (as defined in section 5.3(b)(1) below), that meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria of Disease Levels I-V, VII, and VIII shall-may be processed and paid under the Expedited Review process described in section 5.3(a) herein. Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Disease Levels I-V, VII, and VIII also that do not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level may undergo the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process described in section 5.3(b). Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Disease Level VI (Lung Cancer 2) and Foreign Claims must be liquidated pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process.

In the case of Disease Levels I – III, notwithstanding that the claim does not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level, the Asbestos PI Trust can offer the claimant an amount up to the Scheduled Value of that Disease Level if the Asbestos PI Trust is satisfied that the claimant has presented a claim that would be cognizable and valid in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction.

Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Disease Levels IV-VIII tend to raise more complex valuation issues than the Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims in Disease Levels I-III. Accordingly, claimants holding claims involving these Disease Levels may alternatively seek to establish a liquidated value for the claim that is greater than its Scheduled Value by electing the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process. However, the liquidated value of a more serious Disease Level IV, V, VII, or VIII claim that undergoes the Individual Review process for valuation purposes may be determined to be less than its Scheduled Value and, in any event, shall not exceed the Maximum Value for the relevant Disease Level set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) below, unless the claim qualifies as an Extraordinary Claim as defined in section 5.4(a) below, in which case its liquidated value cannot exceed the maximum value specified in that provision for such claims. Disease Level VI (Lung Cancer 2) claims and all Foreign Claims may be liquidated only pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process.

Based upon the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' <u>domestic</u> claims settlement history in light of applicable tort law, and current projections of present and future unliquidated claims, the Scheduled Values and Maximum Values set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) have been established for each of the (5) five more serious Disease Levels that are eligible for Individual Review of their liquidated values. The Trustees shall use their reasonable best efforts to ensure that the Asbestos PI Trust processes claims such that over time the average Liquidated Amount of all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, <u>other than Foreign Claims</u>, in each of the (5) five more serious Disease Levels that are paid by the Asbestos PI Trust approximate the "Average Value" set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) for each such Disease Level.

All unresolved disputes over a claimant's medical condition<u>or</u>, exposure history, and/or <u>over</u> the <u>validity or</u> liquidated value of the Asbestos PI Trust Claim shall be subject to binding or

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 9 of 44

nonbinding arbitration as set forth in section 5.10 below, at the election of the claimant, under the ADR Procedures that are provided in Attachment A hereto. Disputes over whether an Asbestos PI Trust Claim is an Asbestos Final Judgment Claim shall also be resolved pursuant to the ADR Procedures attached hereto. Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims that are the subject of a dispute with the Asbestos PI Trust that cannot beare not resolved by such ADR Procedures, including nonbinding arbitration, may enter the tort system as provided in sections 5.11 and 7.6 below. However, if and when a claimant obtains a judgment in the tort system, the judgment shall be payable (subject to the Payment Percentage, Maximum Available Payment, and Claims Payment Ratio provisions set forth below) as provided in section 7.7.

Disputes over whether an Asbestos PI Trust Claim is a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim shall be resolved solely pursuant to the terms of the applicable Asbestos Claimant Settlement Agreement and the Plan.

#### 2.3 Asbestos PI Trust Application of the Payment Percentage

After the Liquidated Amount of an Asbestos PI Trust Claim, other than a claim involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I) as defined in section 5.3(a)(3), is determined pursuant to the procedures set forth herein for Expedited Review, Individual Review, arbitration, litigation in the tort system, or by settlement, the claimant shall ultimately receive a pro-rata sharepercentage of that value based on the Payment Percentage described in section 4.2.

As defined in the Plan, the Payment Percentage (a) shall be the Initial Payment Percentage with respect to all Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims and Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and (b) the Payment Percentage selected by the Trustees of the Asbestos PI Trust with consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative with respect to all claims liquidated under this TDP (other than claims paid as claims for Disease Level I (Other Asbestos Disease)); provided, however, that the Payment Percentage shall not exceed the Initial Payment Percentage prior to the first (1st) anniversary of the Effective Date. The Payment Percentage for Disease Level I shall be 100%. The Payment Percentage may thereafter be adjusted upwards or downwards from time to time by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative to reflect then-current estimates of the Asbestos PI Trust's assets and its liabilities, as well as the estimated value of then-pending and future claims. The Trustees shall calculate the Payment Percentage based on the assumption that the Average Values set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) will be achieved by the Asbestos PI Trust with respect to existing present claims and projected future claims involving Disease Levels IV-VIII. However, any adjustment to the Payment Percentage shall be made only pursuant to section 4.2. If the Payment Percentage is increased over time, claimants (i) whose claims are subject to the Payment Percentage, (ii) whose claims were liquidated under the TDP or who hold Asbestos Final Judgment Claims, and (iii) who were paid in prior periods under the TDP, shall not receive additional payments except as provided in section 4.2, relating to circumstances in which the Asbestos PI Trust has received additional contributions under the Asbestos PI Trust Additional Funding Agreement, or section 5.1(c), relating to the Asbestos PI Trust's adjusting payment options. Because there is uncertainty in the prediction of both the number and severity of future claims and the amount of the Asbestos PI Trust's assets, no guarantee can be made of the Payment Percentage that will be applied to a particular Asbestos PI Trust Claim.

# 2.4 Asbestos PI Trust's Determination of the Maximum Annual Payment and Maximum Available Payment

The Asbestos PI Trust shall estimate or model the amount of cash flow anticipated to be necessary over its entire life to ensure that funds will be available to treat all present and future claimants in a substantially similar manner. In each year, the Asbestos PI Trust shall be empowered to pay out all of the interest earned during the year, together with a portion of its principal, calculated so that the application of Asbestos PI Trust funds over its life shall correspond with the needs created by the anticipated flow of claims (the "Maximum Annual Payment") taking into account the Payment Percentage provisions set forth in sections 2.3 above and 4.2 below. The Asbestos PI Trust's distributions to all claimants for that year shall not exceed the Maximum Annual Payment determined for that year; provided, however, that the Maximum Annual Payment limitation shall not apply to any Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims as defined in section 5.2(a).

In distributing the Maximum Annual Payment, the Asbestos PI Trust shall first allocate the amount in question to Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and to liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Disease Level I. Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and liquidated Disease Level I claims for which there are insufficient funds shall be carried over to the next year and placed at the head of the FIFO Payment Queue. In any given year, after payment of all outstanding Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and Disease Level I claims, the remaining portion of the Maximum Annual Payment (the "Maximum Available Payment"), if any, shall then be allocated and used to satisfy all other liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, subject to the Claims Payment Ratio, if any, set forth in section 2.5 below.

# 2.5 Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims Payment Ratio and Reduced Payment Option

In the event the Payment Percentage is less than 100%, the Asbestos PI Trust shall implement a payment ratio (the "Claims Payment Ratio") to control the distribution of Asbestos PI Trust funds between Category A claims, which consist of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving severe asbestosis and malignancies (Disease Levels IV-VIII), that were unliquidated as of the DII Industries Petition Date,<sup>2</sup> and Category B claims, which are Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving non-malignant asbestosis or pleural disease (Disease Levels II and III) that were similarly unliquidated as of the DII Industries Petition Date. Based on the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' <u>domestic</u> settlement history and analysis of present and future claims, the Claims Payment Ratios initially established by the Asbestos PI Trust shall be 60% for Category A claims and 40% for Category B claims. In no event shall the Claims Payment Ratio apply to any Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Notwithstanding any definitions to the contrary in the Definitive Uniform Glossary of Defined Terms for Plan Documents filed of record with the Bankruptcy Court on November 22, 2004 [Docket # 2086], the term "DII Industries Petition Date" when used herein shall mean December 16, 2003. The term "Harbison-Walker Petition Date" shall mean February 14, 2002. In addition, the term "Halliburton Claim" shall mean an Asbestos PI Trust Claim filed against the Halliburton Entities. The term "Harbison-Walker Claim" shall mean an Asbestos PI Trust Claim filed against the Harbison-Walker Entities.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 11 of 44

to any Asbestos Final Judgment Claim, or to any claims for Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I).

In the event the Asbestos PI Trust implements a Claims Payment Ratio in each year, after the annual determination of the Maximum Available Payment described in section 2.4 above, the Claim Payment Ratio shall be applied to determine the proportion of the Maximum Available Payment that is available for Category A and Category B claims.

In the event the Asbestos PI Trust implements a Claims Payment Ratio and there are insufficient funds in any year to pay the liquidated claims within either or both of the Categories, the available funds allocated to the particular Category shall be paid to the maximum extent to claimants in that Category based on their place in the FIFO Payment Queue described in section 5.1(b) below based upon the date of claim liquidation. Claims for which there are insufficient funds allocated to the relevant Category shall be carried over to the next year where they shall be placed at the head of the FIFO Payment Queue. If there are excess funds in either or both Categories, because there is an insufficient amount of liquidated claims to exhaust the respective Maximum Available Payment amount for that Category, then the excess funds for either or both Categories shall be rolled over and remain dedicated to the respective Category to which they were originally allocated.

Except to the extent the Payment Percentage is adjusted upward to 100% (in which case the Trustees may suspend the use of the Claims Payment Ratio), the 60%/40% Claims Payment Ratio and its rollover provision shall not be amended until the fifth anniversary of the Effective Date. Thereafter, both the Claims Payment Ratio and its rollover provision shall be continued absent circumstances, such as a significant change in law or medicine, necessitating amendment to avoid a manifest injustice. However, the accumulation, rollover and subsequent delay of claims resulting from the application of the Claims Payment Ratio, shall not, in and of itself, constitute such circumstances. Nor may an increase in the numbers of Category B claims beyond those predicted or expected be considered as a factor in deciding whether to reduce the percentage allocated to Category A claims.

In considering whether to make any amendments to the Claims Payment Ratio and/or its rollover provisions, the Trustees shall also consider the reasons for which the Claims Payment Ratio and its rollover provisions were adopted, the settlement history that gave rise to its calculation, and the foreseeability or lack of foreseeability of the reasons why there would be any need to make an amendment. In that regard, the Trustees should keep in mind the interplay between the Payment Percentage and the Claims Payment Ratio as it affects the net cash actually paid to claimants. In any event, no amendment to the Claims Payment Ratio may be made without the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. However, the Trustees at any time may offer the option of a reduced payment percentage to either Category A or Category B in return for prompter payment (the "Reduced Payment Option").

# 2.6 Asbestos PI Trust Indemnity and Contribution Claims

Indirect Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims based on indemnity, contribution, or other theory of reimbursement, if any, shall be subject to the provisions of section 5.6 below.

# SECTION 3 TDP Administration

#### **3.1** Asbestos TAC and Legal Representative

Pursuant to the Plan and the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, the Asbestos PI Trust and this TDP shall be administered by the Trustees in consultation with the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. The Trustees shall obtain the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative on any amendments to this TDP pursuant to section 8.1 below, and on such other matters as are otherwise required below and in section\_article 2.2(f) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. The Trustees shall also consult with the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative on such matters as are provided below and in section\_article 2.2(e) and (f) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. The initial members of the Asbestos TAC and the initial Legal Representative are identified in the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement.

# **3.2** Consultation and Consent Procedures

In those circumstances in which their consultation or consent is required, the Trustees shall provide written notice to the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative of the specific amendment or other action that is proposed. The Trustees shall not implement such amendment nor take such action unless and until the parties have engaged in the Consultation Process described in <u>sections articles</u> 5.7(a) and 6.6(a), or the Consent Process described in <u>sections articles</u> 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, respectively.

# SECTION 4 Payment Percentage; Periodic Estimates

# 4.1 Uncertainty of the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' Asbestos Personal Injury Liabilities

As discussed herein, there is inherent uncertainty regarding the Halliburton Entities' and the Harbison-Walker Entities' total asbestos-related tort liabilities, as well as the total value of the assets available to the Asbestos PI Trust to pay Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims. There is also uncertainty surrounding the totality of the Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims to be paid over time as well as the extent to which changes in applicable law could affect the Asbestos PI Trust's liabilities under this TDP. Consequently, there is inherent uncertainty regarding the amounts that holders of those Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims will receive. To seek to ensure substantially equivalent treatment of all present and future Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims will be likely to receive that holders of present and future Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims will be likely to receive from the Asbestos PI Trust, i.e., the "Payment Percentage" described in section 2.3 above and section 4.2 below.

#### 4.2 Payment Percentage

The Payment Percentage (as defined in the Plan) shall apply to all payments made from the Asbestos PI Trust, other than payments made on account of claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I), to assure that such Asbestos PI Trust will be in a financial position to

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 13 of 44

pay holders of present and future Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims in substantially the same manner. Any subsequent changes to the Payment Percentage shall require the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. The Payment Percentage shall be subject to change pursuant to the terms of this TDP and the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement if the Trustees determine that an adjustment is required. No less frequently than once every three (3) years, but no more frequently than annually (unless the requesting party can demonstrate the occurrence of a materially adverse change warranting greater frequency), commencing with the first day of January occurring after the Plan is consummated, the Trustees shall reconsider the thenapplicable Payment Percentage to assure that it is based on accurate, current information and may, after such reconsideration, change the Payment Percentage, if necessary, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. The Trustees also shall reconsider the thenapplicable Payment Percentage at shorter intervals if they deem such reconsideration to be appropriate or if requested to do so by the Asbestos TAC or the Legal Representative. The Trustees must base their determination of the Payment Percentage on current estimates of the number, types, and values of present and future Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, the value of the assets then available to the Asbestos PI Trust for their payment, all anticipated administrative and legal expenses, and any other material matters that are reasonably likely to affect the sufficiency of Asbestos PI Trust funds to pay a comparable percentage of full value to all holders of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims. When making these determinations, the Trustees shall exercise common sense and shall flexibly evaluate all relevant factors. However, the Payment Percentage applicable to Category A or Category B claims may not be reduced to alleviate delays in payments of claims in the other Category; both Categories of claims shall receive the same Payment Percentage, but the payment may be deferred as needed, and a Reduced Payment Option may be instituted as described in Section 2.5 above.

The uncertainty surrounding the amount of the Asbestos PI Trust's future assets is due in part to the fact that the Asbestos PI Trust may receive additional contributions under the Asbestos PI Trust Additional Funding Agreement. Any additional contributions shall be used first to maintain the then-applicable Payment Percentage.

In determining the Payment Percentage, it shall be reasonable, so long as there has been no default in the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement, for the Trustees to disregard the payment of Qualifying Settled Asbestos Claims, which claims are to be paid solely from funds provided through the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement. In determining the Payment Percentage, it shall also be reasonable for the Trustees to disregard amounts which may be due under the Asbestos PI Trust Additional Funding Agreement until any amounts due under that Agreement become known and payable to the Trust.

However, if the additional contributions exceed the amount estimated to be reasonably necessary to maintain the Payment Percentage then in effect, the Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, shall adjust the Payment Percentage upward to reflect the increase in available assets and shall also make supplemental payments to claimants who previously liquidated their claims against the Asbestos PI Trust and received payments based on a lower Payment Percentage. The amount of any such supplemental payment shall be the liquidated value of the claim in question times the newly adjusted Payment Percentage, less all amounts previously paid the claimant with respect to the claim (excluding the portion of such previously paid amounts that was attributable to a sequencing adjustment paid pursuant to Section 7.5 below). In no event shall the Asbestos PI Trust make such supplemental payments to holders of Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims.

# 4.3 Applicability of the Payment Percentage

Except as otherwise provided in section 5.1(b) below for Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving deceased or incompetent claimants for which approval of the Asbestos PI Trust's offer by a court or through a probate process is required, no holder of any other Asbestos PI Trust Claim, other than an Asbestos PI Trust Claim for Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I), shall receive from the Asbestos PI Trust a payment that exceeds the Liquidated Amount of the claim times the Payment Percentage in effect at the time of payment unless a Reduced Payment Option applies. Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I) shall not be subject to the Payment Percentage, but shall instead be paid the full amount of their Scheduled Value as set forth in section 5.3(a)(3) below.

If a redetermination of the Payment Percentage has been proposed in writing by the Trustees to the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative but has not yet been adopted, the claimant shall receive the lower of the current Payment Percentage or the proposed Payment Percentage. However, if the proposed Payment Percentage was the lower amount but is not subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower proposed amount and the higher current amount. Conversely, if the proposed Payment Percentage was the higher amount and is subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower proposed payment percentage was the higher amount and is subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower proposed payment percentage was the higher amount and is subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower proposed payment percentage was the higher amount and is subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower proposed payment percentage was the higher amount and is subsequently adopted, the claimant shall thereafter receive the difference between the lower current amount and the higher adopted amount.

# SECTION 5 Resolution of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims

# 5.1 Ordering, Processing, and Payment of Claims

# 5.1(a) Ordering of Claims

# 5.1(a)(1) Establishment of the FIFO Processing Queue

Other than Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, which are addressed in section 5.2, the Asbestos PI Trust shall order claims that are sufficiently complete to be reviewed for processing purposes on a FIFO basis, except as otherwise provided herein (the "FIFO Processing Queue"). For all claims filed between November 9, 2005, (the "Claims Acceptance Date") and before May 9, 2006, a claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined as of the earlier of (i) the date prior to December 16, 2003 (the "DII Industries Petition Date") that the specific claim was either filed against one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities in the tort system or was actually submitted to one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities pursuant to an administrative settlement agreement, (ii) the date before the DII Industries Petition Date that a claim was filed against another defendant in the tort system if at the time the claim was subject to a tolling agreement with one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities, or (iii) the date after the DII Industries Petition Date (if any) but before the Claims Acceptance Date that the claim was filed against another defendant in the tort system. Following May 9, 2006, the claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined by the date the

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 15 of 44

claim is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust. If any claims are filed on the same date, the claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined by the date of the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease. If any claims are filed and diagnosed on the same date, the claimant's position in the FIFO Processing Queue shall be determined by the claimant's date of birth, with older claimants given priority over younger claimants.

#### 5.1(a)(2) Effect of Statutes of Limitations and Repose

### **5.1(a)(2)(A)** Halliburton Claims

Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, all Halliburton Claims must satisfy one of the following statutes of limitations: (i) for claims first filed in the tort system against a Halliburton Entity prior to the DII Industries Petition Date, the applicable federal, state, or foreign statute of limitation or repose that was in effect when the claim was filed in the tort system or (ii) for claims not filed against a Halliburton Entity in the tort system prior to the DII Industries Petition Date, the applicable statute of limitation that was in effect when the claim was filed with Asbestos PI Trust.

The running of the statute of limitation or repose governing a Halliburton Claim shall be tolled as of the earliest of (i) the filing of the claim against a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity in the tort system or by submission to a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity pursuant to an administrative settlement agreement; (ii) the tolling of the statute by agreement or otherwise; or (iii) the DII Industries Petition Date.

If the running of the statute of limitation or repose governing a Halliburton Claim is tolled pursuant to the preceding paragraph, and the claim was not barred by such statute when the tolling event occurred, the claim shall be treated as timely filed if it is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust within three years after the Claims Acceptance Date. Additionally, any Halliburton Claimant that was first diagnosed after the DII Industries Petition Date may timely file with the Asbestos PI Trust within three years after the date of diagnosis or the Claims Acceptance Date, whichever occurs later, even if the applicable federal, state, or foreign statute of limitation or repose has expired.

#### 5.1(a)(2)(B) Harbison-Walker Claims

Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, Harbison-Walker Claims must satisfy one of the following statutes of limitations: (i) for claims first filed in the tort system against a Harbison-Walker Entity prior to the Harbison-Walker Petition Date, the applicable federal, state, or foreign statute of limitation or repose that was in effect when the claim was filed in the tort system or (ii) for claims not filed against a Harbison-Walker Entity in the tort system prior to the Harbison-Walker Petition Date, the applicable statute of limitation that was in effect when the claim was filed with Asbestos PI Trust.

The running of the statute of limitation or repose governing a Harbison-Walker Claim shall be tolled as of the earliest of (i) the filing of the claim against a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity in the tort system or by submission to a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity pursuant to an administrative settlement agreement; (ii) the tolling of the statute by agreement or otherwise; or (iii) the Harbison-Walker Petition Date.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 16 of 44

If the running of the statute of limitation or repose governing a Harbison-Walker Claim is tolled pursuant to the preceding paragraph, and the claim was not barred by such statute when the tolling event occurred, the claim shall be treated as timely filed if it is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust within three years after the Claims Acceptance Date. Additionally, any Harbison-Walker Claimant that was first diagnosed after the Harbison-Walker Petition Date may timely file with the Asbestos PI Trust within three years after the date of diagnosis or the Claims Acceptance Date, whichever occurs later, even if the applicable federal, state, or foreign statute of limitation or repose has expired.

#### 5.1(b) Payment of Claims

Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims that have been liquidated by the Asbestos PI Trust's Expedited Review process ("Expedited Review") as provided in section 5.3(a) below, by the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process ("Individual Review") as provided in section 5.3(b) below, by arbitration as provided in section 5.10 below, or by litigation in the tort system as provided in sections 5.11 and 7.6 below shall be paid in FIFO order based on the date their liquidation became final (the "FIFO Payment Queue"), all such payments being subject to the applicable Payment Percentage, the Maximum Annual Payment, the Maximum Available Payment, and, if any, the Claims Payment Ratio, except as otherwise provided herein. Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims shall be paid solely pursuant to the Plan, the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement, and section 5.2(a) below.

Where the claimant is deceased or incompetent, and the settlement and payment of his or her claim must be approved by a court of competent jurisdiction or through a probate process prior to acceptance of the claim by the claimant's representative, an offer made by the Asbestos PI Trust on the claim shall remain open so long as proceedings before that court or in that probate process remain pending, provided that the Asbestos PI Trust has been furnished with evidence that the settlement offer has been submitted to such court or in the probate process for approval. If the offer is ultimately approved by the court or through the probate process and accepted by the claimant's representative, the Asbestos PI Trust shall pay the claim in the amount so offered, multiplied by the Payment Percentage in effect at the time the offer was first made.

If any claims are liquidated on the same date, the claimant's position in the FIFO Payment Queue shall be determined by the date of the diagnosis of the claimant's asbestosrelated disease. If any claims are liquidated on the same date and the respective holders' asbestos-related diseases were diagnosed on the same date, the position of those claims in the FIFO Payment Queue shall be determined by the Asbestos PI Trust based on the dates of the claimants' birth, with older claimants given priority over younger claimants.

# 5.1(c) Adjusting Payments Option

At the sole discretion of the Trustees (with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative), the Asbestos PI Trust may authorize supplemental payments, on account of any future increase in the Payment Percentage, to any or all previously allowed Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (other than Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims) in an

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 17 of 44

amount equal to the Liquidated Value multiplied by the then-current Payment Percentage less the amount of any previous payments on account of such Claims (other than payments on account of any sequencing adjustment under section 7.5 below); provided, however, that the Asbestos PI Trust shall not be obligated, under this paragraph, to make a supplemental payment on account of any allowed Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim and shall not make any supplemental payment to the extent that the amount of such supplemental payment would be less than \$100. However, the Trustees' obligation shall resume and the Trustees shall pay any such aggregate supplemental payments due the claimant at such time that the accumulated and unpaid total exceeds \$100.

In addition, at the sole discretion of the Trustees (with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative), the Asbestos PI Trust may authorize supplemental payments, on account of any future increase of any Scheduled Value, Average Value or Maximum Value under section 5.3(c) of this Asbestos-TDP, to any or all previously allowed Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (other than Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims) in the affected Disease Level. The amount of any such supplemental payments shall be determined by the Trustees (with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative) at the time of any such future increase under section 5.3(c); provided, however, that in no event shall the Asbestos PI Trust be obligated, under this paragraph, to make a supplemental payment on account of any allowed Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim to the extent that the amount of such supplemental payment would be less than \$100.

# 5.2 Resolution of Liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims.

#### 5.2(a) Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims.

Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims shall be paid by the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to the terms of the Plan and the Asbestos PI Trust Funding Agreement. Any dispute concerning whether a Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim is a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim shall be resolved between the claimant and the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors solely in accordance with the applicable Asbestos Claimant Settlement Agreement and the Plan. The Asbestos PI Trust shall not participate in such dispute and shall have no responsibility to pay a Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim that has not been determined in accordance with the terms of the applicable Asbestos Claimant Settlement Agreement and the Plan to be a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim; provided, however, that nothing in this section 5.2(a) shall preclude the holder of an alleged Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim that is determined not to be a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim from submitting an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim to the Asbestos PI Trust. Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claims shall not be subject to the Payment Percentage, Maximum Annual Payment, Maximum Available Payment or Claims Payment Ratio, if any, limitations provided above.

# 5.2(b) Asbestos Final Judgment Claims

Asbestos Final Judgment Claims as defined in the Plan shall be processed by the Asbestos PI Trust based on their place in a separate FIFO queue to be established for such claims. The placement of such claims in the FIFO queue shall be based on the date on which the claim was liquidated by a final judgment in the tort system. The Liquidated Amount of such

claims shall be the unpaid amount of the judgment plus any interest on the claim that has accrued under applicable state-law. All payments of Asbestos Final Judgment Claims shall be subject to the applicable Payment Percentage and the Maximum Annual Payment provisions set forth above. Such claims, however, shall not be subject to the Maximum Available Payment or Claims Payment Ratio, if any, limitations.

#### 5.3 **Resolution of Unliquidated Asbestos Pl Trust Claims**

Within six months after the establishment of the Asbestos PI Trust, the Trustees, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, shall adopt procedures for reviewing and liquidating all unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, which shall include deadlines for processing such claims. Such procedures shall also require that claimants seeking resolution of unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims first file a proof of claim form, together with the required supporting documentation, in accordance with the provisions of sections 6.1 and 6.2 below. It is anticipated that the Asbestos PI Trust shall provide an initial response to the claimant within six months of receiving the proof of claim form.

The proof of claim form shall require the claimant to assert his or her claim for the highest Disease Level for which the claim qualifies at the time of filing. Irrespective of the Disease Level alleged on the proof of claim form, all claims shall be deemed to be a claim for the highest Disease Level for which the claim qualifies at the time of filing, and all lower Disease Levels for which the claim may also qualify at the time of filing or in the future shall be treated as subsumed into the higher Disease Level for both processing and payment purposes.

Upon filing of a valid proof of claim form with the required supporting documentation, the claimant shall be placed in the FIFO Processing Queue in accordance with the ordering criteria described in section 5.1(a) above. If a claim has been pending in the FIFO Processing Queue for more than 270 days, the Asbestos PI Trust shall provide the claimant with reasonable notice of the date by which it expects to reach the claim in the FIFO Processing Queue, following which the claimant shall promptly (i) advise the Asbestos PI Trust whether the claimant wishes to change his or her initial election as between Expedited Review and Individual Review; –(ii) provide the Asbestos PI Trust with any additional medical and/or exposure evidence that was not provided with the original claim submission; and (iii) advise the Asbestos PI Trust of any change in the claimant's Disease Level. If a claimant fails to respond to the Asbestos PI Trust's notice on a timely basis, the Asbestos PI Trust shall process and liquidate the claim under the review process initially elected based upon the medical/exposure evidence previously submitted by the claimant.

Claimants may supplement or otherwise update information submitted with claims filed with the Asbestos PI Trust at any time before the Asbestos PI Trust issues a notice of determination with respect to the claim.

# **5.3(a)** Expedited Review Process

# 5.3(a)(1) In General

Expedited Review is designed primarily to provide an expeditious, efficient, and inexpensive method for liquidating all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (except those

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 19 of 44

involving Lung Cancer 2 (Disease Level VI) and all Foreign Claims as defined below, which shall be liquidated only pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process) where the claim can easily be verified by the Asbestos PI Trust as meeting the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level. Expedited Review thus provides claimants with a substantially less burdensome process for pursuing Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims than does the Individual Review process described in section 5.3(b) below. Expedited Review is also intended to provide qualifying claimants a fixed and certain claims payment.

Thus, claims that undergo Expedited Review and meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level shall be paid the Scheduled Value for such Disease Level set forth in section 5.3(a)(3) below. However, except for claims involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I), all claims liquidated by Expedited Review shall be subject to the applicable Payment Percentage, the Maximum Available Payment, and, if any, the Claims Payment Ratio limitations set forth above. Claimants holding claims that cannot be liquidated by Expedited Review because they do not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the relevant Disease Level may elect Individual Review set forth in section 5.3(b) below.

Further, the claimant's eligibility to receive the Scheduled Value for his or her Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim pursuant to the Expedited Review Process shall be determined by reference to the Medical/Exposure Criteria set forth below (rather than by reference to the law of the Claimant's Jurisdiction) for each of the Disease Levels eligible for Expedited Review.

#### 5.3(a)(2) Claims Processing under Expedited Review

All claimants seeking liquidation of their claims pursuant to Expedited Review shall file the Asbestos PI Trust's proof of claim form provided in Attachment B hereto. As a proof of claim form is reached in the FIFO Processing Queue, the Asbestos PI Trust shall determine whether the claim described therein meets the Medical/Exposure Criteria for one of the seven Disease Levels eligible for Expedited Review and shall advise the claimant of its determination. If a Disease Level is determined, the Asbestos PI Trust shall tender to the claimant an offer of payment of the Scheduled Value for the relevant Disease Level multiplied by the applicable Payment Percentage, together with a form of release approved by the Asbestos PI Trust. If the claimant accepts the Scheduled Value and returns the release properly executed, the claim shall be placed in the FIFO Payment Queue, following which the Asbestos PI Trust shall disburse payment subject to the limitations of the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio, if any.

# **5.3(a)(3) Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, and Medical/Exposure Criteria**

The eight Disease Levels covered by this TDP, together with the Medical/Exposure Criteria for each and the separate Scheduled Values for the <u>domestic</u> unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims for which any of the Harbison-Walker Entities have legal responsibility (the "Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims") and for the <u>domestic</u> unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims for which any of the Halliburton

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 20 of 44

Entities or their predecessors other than Harbison-Walker Entities have legal responsibility (the "Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims") for the seven Disease Levels eligible for Expedited Review, are set forth below. For those claimants who (i) vote to accept or reject the plan and (ii) file their claims with the Asbestos PI Trust on or before six months after the Claims Acceptance Date provided in section 5.1 above, these-the original Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, and Medical/Exposure Criteria shall apply to all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims (except Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims) for which the claimant elects Expedited Review. Thereafter for purposes of administering Expedited Review and with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, the Trustees may add to, change, or eliminate Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, or Medical/Exposure Criteria; develop subcategories of Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, or Medical/Exposure Criteria; or determine that a novel or exceptional asbestos personal injury claim is compensable even though it does not meet the Medical/Exposure Criteria for any of the then-current Disease Levels.

#### [remainder of page intentionally left blank]

# Schedule I-Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims and Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims<sup>3</sup>

Disease Level	Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims/ Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims	Medical/Exposure Criteria
Mesothelioma (Level VIII)	\$57,200/\$136,500	(1) Diagnosis <sup>4</sup> of mesothelioma and (2) credible evidence of Company Exposure. <sup>5</sup>
Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) \$9,300/\$44,900 underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignan six months Company Exposure prior to December Significant Occupational Exposure <sup>7</sup> to asbestos, and medical documentation establishing asbestos e		(1) Diagnosis of a primary lung cancer plus evidence of an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease, <sup>6</sup> (2) six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure <sup>7</sup> to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the lung cancer in question.

<sup>3</sup> The Asbestos PI Trust has changed the values stated in Schedule I. Specifically, but not exclusively, the Trustees, <u>Trust Advisory Committee</u>, and Legal Representative agreed on August 30, 2017 to increase values to account, at least partially, for inflation. Current values are available at www.diiasbestostrust.org.

<sup>4</sup> The requirements for a diagnosis of an asbestos-related disease that may be compensated under the provisions of this TDP are set forth in section 5.7 below.

<sup>5</sup> The term "Company Exposure" is defined in section 5.7(c) below.

<sup>6</sup> Evidence of "Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease" for purposes of meeting the criteria for establishing Disease Levels I, II, III, V, and VII, means either (i) a chest X-ray read by a qualified B reader of 1/0 or higher on the ILO scale or (ii)(x) a chest X-ray read by a qualified B reader or other Qualified Physician, (y) a CT scan read by a Qualified Physician, or (z) pathology, in each case showing either bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification. Evidence submitted to demonstrate (i) or (ii) above must be in the form of a written report stating the results (e.g., an ILO report, a written radiology report or a pathology report). Solely for asbestos claims filed against the Halliburton Entities and/or the Harbison-Walker Entities or another defendant in the tort system prior to the DII Industries Petition Date, if an ILO reading is not available, either (i) a chest X-ray or a CT scan read by a Qualified Physician, or (ii) pathology, in each case showing bilateral interstitial fibrosis, bilateral pleural plaques, bilateral pleural thickening, or bilateral pleural calcification consistent with or compatible with a diagnosis of asbestos-related disease, shall be evidence of a "Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease" for purposes of meeting the presumptive medical requirements of Disease Levels I, II, III, V, and VII. Pathological evidence of asbestosis may be based on the pathological grading system for asbestosis described in the Special Issue of the Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, "Asbestos-Associated Diseases," Vol. 106, No. 11, App. 3 (October 8, 1982). For all purposes of this TDP, a "Qualified Physician" is a physician who is board-certified (or in the case of Canadian claims or Foreign Claims, a physician who is certified or qualified under comparable medical standards or criteria of the jurisdiction in question) in one or more relevant specialized fields of medicine such as pulmonology, radiology, internal medicine or occupational medicine; provided, however, that the requirement for board certification in this provision shall not apply to otherwise qualified physicians whose X-ray and/or CT scan readings are submitted for deceased holders of Asbestos PI Trust Claims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The term "Significant Occupational Exposure" is defined in section 5.7(b)(2) below.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 22 of 44

	Non-Harbison-Walker	
	Asbestos Unsecured	
	PI Trust Claims/	
	Harbison-Walker	
	Asbestos Unsecured	
Disease Level	PI Trust Claims	Medical/Exposure Criteria
Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI)	N/A	(1) Diagnosis of a primary lung cancer, (2) Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, and (3) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the lung cancer in question. Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI) claims are claims that do not meet the more stringent medical and/or exposure requirements of Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) claims. All claims in this Disease Level shall be individually evaluated. The estimated likely average of the individual evaluation awards for this category is \$4000 (Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims)/\$19,200 (Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims), with such awards capped at \$13,300 (Non-Harbison- Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims)/ \$64,000 (Harbison- Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims) unless the claim qualifies for Extraordinary Claim treatment. Level VI claims that show no evidence of either an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Non-malignant Disease or Significant Occupational Exposure may be individually evaluated, although it is not expected that such claims will be treated as having any significant value, especially if the claimant is also a Smoker. <sup>8</sup> In any event, no presumption of validity shall be available for any claims in this category.
Other Cancer (Level V)	\$8,000/\$24,000	(1) Diagnosis of a primary colo-rectal, laryngeal, esophageal, pharyngeal, or stomach cancer, plus evidence of an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease, (2) six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the other cancer in question.
Severe Asbestosis (Level IV)	\$9,400/\$29,500	(1) Diagnosis of asbestosis with ILO of 2/1 or greater, or asbestosis determined by pathological evidence of asbestosis, plus (a) TLC less than 65% or (b) FVC less than 65% and FEVI/FVC ratio greater than 65%, (2) six (6) months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the pulmonary disease in question.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> There is no distinction between Non-Smokers and Smokers for either Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) or Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI), although a claimant who meets the more stringent requirements of Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) (evidence of an underlying Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease plus Significant Occupational Exposure), and who is also a Non-Smoker, may wish to have his or her claim individually evaluated by the Asbestos PI Trust. In such a case, absent circumstances that would otherwise reduce the value of the claim, it is anticipated that the liquidated value of the claim might well exceed the Scheduled Value for Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII) shown above. "Non-Smoker" means a claimant who either (a) never smoked or (b) has not smoked during any portion of the twelve (12) years immediately prior to the diagnosis of the lung cancer.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 23 of 44

Disease Level	Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims/ Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims	Medical/Exposure Criteria
Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease (Level III)	\$2,400/\$7,200	(1) Diagnosis of Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease, plus (a) TLC less than 80% or (b) FVC less than 80% and FEVI/FVC ratio equal to or greater than 65%, (2) six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, (3) Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos, and (4) supporting medical documentation establishing asbestos exposure as a contributing factor in causing the pulmonary disease in question.
Asbestosis/ Pleural Disease (Level II)	\$1,100/\$3,800	<ul><li>(1) Diagnosis of a Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease,</li><li>(2) six months Company Exposure, and (3) five years cumulative occupational exposure to asbestos.</li></ul>
Other Asbestos Disease (Level I)	\$100/\$300	(1) Diagnosis of a Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease or an asbestos-related malignancy other than mesothelioma and (2) Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982.

# 5.3(b) Individual Review Process

# 5.3(b)(1) In General

Subject to the provisions set forth below, an Asbestos PI Trust claimant may elect to have his or her Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim reviewed for purposes of determining whether the claim would be compensable in the tort system <u>of the Claimant's Jurisdiction</u> even though it does not meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for any of the Disease Levels set forth in section 5.3(a)(3) above. In addition, or alternatively, an Asbestos PI Trust claimant may elect to have a claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII undergo the Individual Review process for purposes of determining whether theits liquidated value of the claim exceeds the Scheduled Value for the relevant Disease Level also set forth in said provision. However, <u>except for</u> claimants who assert Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI) claims or Foreign Claims, until such time as the Asbestos PI Trust has made an offer on a claim pursuant to Individual Review, the claimant may change his or her Individual Review process. In the event of such a change in the processing election, the claimant shall nevertheless retain his or her place in the FIFO Processing Queue.

The liquidated value of all Foreign Claims payable under this Asbestos-TDP shall be established pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust's Individual Review process. Because Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims of individuals exposed in Canada who were resident in Canada when such claims were filed were routinely litigated and resolved in the courts of the United States, and because the resolution history of these claims has been included in developing the Expedited Review process, such claims shall not be considered Foreign Claims hereunder and shall be eligible for liquidation under the Expedited Review Pprocess. Accordingly, a "Foreign Claim" is an Asbestos PI Trust Claim with respect to which the claimant's exposure to an asbestos-

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 24 of 44

containing product for which any Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity has legal responsibility occurred outside of the United States and its Territories and Possessions, and outside of the Provinces and Territories of Canada.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this TDP, when determining the validity or compensability of Foreign Claims, In reviewing Foreign Claims, the Asbestos PI Trust shall take into account all relevant procedural and substantive legal rules to which the claims would be subject in the Claimant's Jurisdiction as defined in section 5.3(b)(2) below. The Asbestos PI Trust also shall determine whether the claim has been paid, satisfied, settled, released, waived, or otherwise discharged, and it may require the submission of additional materials to make that determination, if permitted under the provisions of section 6.2. To determine the legal rules of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, the Asbestos PI Trust may rely on the opinions of qualified experts and other relevant evidence. The Asbestos PI Trust shall determine the liquidated values of valid and compensable Foreign Claims based on historical settlements and verdicts in the Claimant's Jurisdiction, as the other valuation factors set forth in section 5.3(b)(2) below, and valuation matrices or methodologies developed pursuant to the provisions of this section 5.3(b)(1).

For purposes of the Individual Review process, the Trustees, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, may develop separate Medical/Exposure Criteria and standards, as well as separate requirements for physician and other professional qualifications, which shall be applicable to Foreign Claims; provided, however, that such criteria, standards, or requirements shall not effectuate substantive changes to the claims-eligibility requirements under this Asbestos TDP, but rather shall be made only for the purpose of adapting those requirements to the particular licensing provisions and/or medical customs or practices of the foreign country in question.

At such time as the Asbestos PI Trust has sufficient historical settlement, verdict, and other valuation data for claims from a particular foreign jurisdiction, the Trustees, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, may also establish a separate valuation matrix for such claims based on that data. <u>Any such Foreign Claims valuation matrix shall contain the Scheduled Value, Average Value, and Maximum Value amounts for the subject foreign country, and those amounts shall be the relevant amounts for any application of provisions in this TDP relating to caps or sequencing adjustment calculations for claims with respect to such country.</u>

#### 5.3(b)(1)(A) Disease Levels I-III

Individual Review provides a claimant with an opportunity for individual consideration and evaluation of an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that fails to meet the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for Disease Levels I-III. In such a case, the Asbestos PI Trust shall either deny the claim, or, if the Asbestos PI Trust is satisfied that the claimant has presented a claim that would be cognizable and valid in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, the Asbestos PI Trust can offer the claimant a liquidated value amount up to the Scheduled Value for that Disease Level, unless the claim qualifies as an Extraordinary Claim as defined in section 5.4(a) below, in which case its liquidated value cannot exceed the maximum value for such a claim set forth in that provision.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 25 of 44

#### 5.3(b)(1)(B) Disease Levels IV-VIII

Claimants holding claims in the five more serious Disease Levels IV-VIII shall be eligible to seek Individual Review of the liquidated value of their claims, as well as of their medical/exposure evidence. The Individual Review process is intended to result in payments equal to the full liquidated value for each claim multiplied by the Payment Percentage; however, the liquidated value of any Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that undergoes Individual Review may be determined to be less than the Scheduled Value the claimant would have received under Expedited Review. Moreover, the liquidated value for a claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII shall not exceed the Maximum Value for the relevant Disease Level set forth in section 5.3(b)(3) below, unless the claim meets the requirements of an Extraordinary Claim described in section 5.4(a) below, in which case its liquidated value cannot exceed the maximum value set forth in that provision for such claims. Because the detailed examination and valuation process pursuant to Individual Review requires substantial time and effort, claimants electing to undergo the Individual Review process may be paid the liquidated value of their Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims later than would have been the case had the claimant elected the Expedited Review process.

# **5.3(b)(2)** Valuation Factors to be Considered in Individual Review

The Asbestos PI Trust shall liquidate the value of each Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that undergoes Individual Review based on the historic liquidated values of other similarly situated claims in the <u>applicable</u> tort system for the same Disease Level. The Asbestos PI Trust shall thus take into consideration all of the factors that affect the severity of damages and values within the <u>applicable</u> tort system including, but not limited to: (i) the degree to which the characteristics of a claim differ from the presumptive Medical/Exposure Criteria for the Disease Level in question; (ii) factors such as the claimant's age, disability, employment status, disruption of household, family, or recreational activities, dependencies, special damages, and pain and suffering; (iii) evidence that the claimant's damages were (or were not) caused by asbestos exposure, including Company Exposure as defined in section 5.7(c) below prior to December 31, 1982 (for example, alternative causes and the strength of documentation of injuries); (iv) the industry of exposure; and (v) settlements, verdicts, and the claimant's and other law firms' experience in the Claimant's Jurisdiction for similarly situated claims.

The "Claimant's Jurisdiction" is the jurisdiction in which the claim was filed (if at all) against one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities in the tort system prior to either the DII Industries Petition Date (for Halliburton Claims) or the Harbison-Walker Petition Date (for Harbison-Walker Claims). If the claim was not filed against one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities in the tort system prior to either the DII Industries Petition Date (for Halliburton Claims) or the Harbison-Walker Petition Date (for Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities in the tort system prior to either the DII Industries Petition Date (for Halliburton Claims) or the Harbison-Walker Petition Date (for Harbison-Walker Claims), the claimant may elect as the Claimant's Jurisdiction either (i) the jurisdiction in which the claimant resides at the time of diagnosis or when the claim is filed with the Asbestos PI Trust or (ii) a jurisdiction in which the claimant experienced exposure to an asbestos-containing product or to conduct for which any of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities has legal responsibility. With respect to the "Claimant's Jurisdiction," in the event a personal representative or authorized agent of a deceased claimant makes a claim

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 26 of 44

under this TDP for wrongful death with respect to which the governing law of the Claimant's Jurisdiction would only be the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute, the Claimant's Jurisdiction for such claim shall be Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and such claimant's damages for purposes of Individual Review shall be determined pursuant to the statutory and common laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania without regard to its choice of law principles.

# **5.3(b)(3)** Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values (Non-Harbison-Walker Asbestos PI Trust Claims)<sup>2</sup>

The Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values for all <u>domestic</u> Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, other than Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, are the following:

Scheduled Disease	Scheduled Value	Average Value	Maximum Value
Mesothelioma (Level VIII)	\$57,200	\$76,400	\$256,000
Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII)	\$9,300	\$12,000	\$39,900
Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI)	N/A	\$4,000	\$13,300
Other Cancer (Level V)	\$8,000	\$9,800	\$32,700
Severe Asbestosis (Level IV)	\$9,400	\$9,900	\$40,100
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level III)	\$2,400	N/A	N/A
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level II)	\$1,100	N/A	N/A
Other Asbestos Disease (Level I)	\$100	N/A	N/A

The Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative pursuant to <u>sections articles</u> 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, may change these valuation amounts for good cause in accordance with section 5.3(c) below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The Asbestos PI Trust has changed the values stated in this section as noted above. Current values are available at www.diiasbestostrust.org.

# Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 27 of 44

# **5.3(b)(4)** Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values (Harbison-Walker Asbestos PI Trust Claims)<sup>10</sup>

The Scheduled, Average, and Maximum Values for all <u>domestic</u> Harbison-Walker Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims are the following:

	Scheduled	Average	Maximum
Scheduled Disease	Value	Value	Value
Mesothelioma (Level VIII)	\$136,500	\$182,000	\$610,000
Lung Cancer 1 (Level VII)	\$44,900	\$57,700	\$192,200
Lung Cancer 2 (Level VI)	N/A	\$19,200	\$64,000
Other Cancer (Level V)	\$24,000	\$29,000	\$96,500
Severe Asbestosis (Level IV)	\$29,500	\$31,000	\$125,600
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level III)	\$7,200	N/A	N/A
Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Level II)	\$3,800	N/A	N/A
Other Asbestos Disease (Level I)	\$300	N/A	N/A

The Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative pursuant to <u>sections articles</u> 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, may change these valuation amounts for good cause in accordance with section 5.3(c) below.

# **5.3(c)** Review and Adjustment of Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values

Before the end of 2010, and no later than every five years thereafter, the Asbestos PI Trust shall review the Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values set forth for each Disease Level in this Asbestos TDP to determine whether such values should be adjusted either upwards of downwards, including in relationship to one another. The Trustees also may review the then-applicable values if requested to do so by the Asbestos TAC or the Legal Representative, except that no review may be requested until at least one year after the last such review (unless the requesting party can demonstrate the occurrence of a material change warranting a review on a shorter interval). In such review, the Asbestos PI Trust may consider all factors that the Trustees, in their discretion, deem appropriate, including, but not limited to: (i) the latest projections of future claims; (ii) the Asbestos PI Trust's available assets; (iii) the Asbestos PI Trust's past claims experience (including, among other things, the number of claims filed, the percentage of claimants electing Individual Review and Expedited Review, and the mix of Disease Levels asserted) in relation to what was projected when the Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values were originally established; and (iv) the values being awarded relative to claims with similar characteristics outside of the Asbestos PI Trust process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The Asbestos PI Trust has changed the values stated in this section as noted above. Current values are available at www.diiasbestostrust.org.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 28 of 44

The actual timing of such review, within the guidelines established above, shall be in the Trustees' discretion. The Asbestos PI Trust may implement its proposed adjustments, if any, to the Scheduled Values, Average Values and Maximum Values with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative pursuant to <u>sectionsarticles</u> 5.7(b) and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement.

# 5.4 Categorizing Claims as Extraordinary and/or Exigent Hardship

# **5.4(a)(1) Extraordinary Claims**

"Extraordinary Claim" means an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that otherwise satisfies the Medical Criteria for Disease Levels II-VIII and that is held by a claimant whose exposure to asbestos (i) occurred primarily as a result of working in manufacturing facilities of one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities or their predecessors during a period in which they were manufacturing asbestos-containing products at the facility, provided that the claim is a tort claim that is not otherwise barred by an applicable statutory workers' compensation program, or (ii) was at least 75% the result of Company Exposure as defined in section 5.7(c) below, and there is little likelihood of a substantial recovery elsewhere. All such Extraordinary Claims shall be presented for Individual Review and, if valid, shall be entitled to an award of up to (i) for Disease Levels II-V, VII, and VIII, five (5) times the Scheduled Value for such claims and (ii) for Disease Level VI, five (5) times the Average Value for such claims, multiplied by the applicable Payment Percentage.

Any dispute as to Extraordinary Claim status shall be submitted to a special Extraordinary Claims Panel established by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. All decisions of the Extraordinary Claims Panel shall be final and not subject to any further administrative or judicial review.

An Extraordinary Claim, following its liquidation, shall be placed in the FIFO Payment Queue ahead of all other Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims except Exigent Hardship Claims, Disease Level I (Other Asbestos Disease) Claims, and Asbestos Final Judgment Claims, which shall be first in said queue and shall be paid in that order, based on its date of liquidation, subject to the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio described above.

#### 5.4(a)(2) Exigent Hardship Claims

At any time the Asbestos PI Trust may liquidate and pay Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims that qualify as Exigent Hardship Claims as defined below. Such claims may be considered separately no matter what the order of processing otherwise would have been under this TDP. An Exigent Hardship Claim, following its liquidation, shall be placed first in the FIFO Payment Queue ahead of all other liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, except Asbestos Final Judgment Claims and Disease Level I (Other Asbestos Disease) Claims, which shall be first in said queue and shall be paid first in that order, subject to the Maximum Available Payment and Claims Payment Ratio described above. An Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim qualifies for payment as an Exigent Hardship Claim if the claim meets the Medical/Exposure Criteria for Severe Asbestosis (Disease Level IV) or an asbestos-related malignancy (Disease Levels V-VIII) and the Asbestos PI Trust, in its sole discretion, determines (a) that the claimant needs financial assistance on an immediate basis based on the claimant's expenses and all sources of available income and (b) that there is a causal connection between the claimant's dire financial condition and the claimant's asbestos-related disease ("Exigent Hardship Claims").

# 5.5 Secondary Exposure Claims

If a claimant alleges an asbestos-related disease resulting solely from exposure to an occupationally exposed person, such as a family member, the claimant may seek Individual Review of his or her claim pursuant to section 5.3(b) above. In such a case, the claimant must establish that the occupationally exposed person would have met the exposure requirements under this TDP that would have been applicable had that person filed a direct claim against the Asbestos PI Trust. In addition, the claimant with secondary exposure must establish (a) that he or she is suffering from one of the eight Disease Levels described in section 5.3(b)(3) above or an asbestos-related disease otherwise compensable under this TDP, (b) that his or her own exposure to the occupationally exposed person occurred within the same time frame as the occupationally exposed person experienced Company Exposure as defined in section 5.7(c)(1) below, and (c) that such secondary exposure to such occupationally exposed person was a cause of the claimed disease. The proof of claim form included in Attachment B hereto contains an additional section for such secondary exposure claims. All other liquidation and payment rights and limitations under this TDP shall be applicable to such claims.

# 5.6 Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims

# 5.6(a) In General

Except as provided in section 5.6(b) below, Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims that are asserted against the Asbestos PI Trust based upon theories of contribution or indemnification under applicable law may not be processed or paid by the Asbestos PI Trust unless the holder of such claim (the "Indirect Asbestos Claimant") establishes to the satisfaction of the Trustees that (a) the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has paid in full obligations that the Asbestos PI Trust otherwise would have had to an individual claimant (the "Direct Asbestos Claimant"), (b) the Asbestos PI Trust has been or shall be forever and fully released from all liability to both the Direct Asbestos Claimant and the Indirect Asbestos Claimant, and (c) the claim is not otherwise barred by a statute of limitation, repose, or other applicable non-bankruptcy law. In no event shall any Indirect Asbestos Claimant have any rights against the Asbestos PI Trust superior to the rights of the related Direct Asbestos Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust, including any rights with respect to the timing, amount, or manner of payment; provided, however, that, in addition, no Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim may be liquidated and paid in an amount that exceeds the lesser of (a) the amount the Direct Asbestos Claimant would have been entitled to recover from the Asbestos PI Trust or (b) the amount that the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has actually paid the related Direct Asbestos Claimant. Except as may be permitted after individual review, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not pay any Indirect Asbestos Claimant unless and until the Indirect Asbestos Claimant's aggregate liability for the Direct Asbestos Claimant's claim has been fixed, liquidated, and paid by the Indirect Asbestos Claimant by settlement (with an appropriate full release in favor of the Asbestos PI Trust) or a Final Order provided that such claim is valid under the applicable non-bankruptcy law. In any case where the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has satisfied the claim of a Direct Asbestos Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 30 of 44

under applicable law by way of a settlement, the Indirect Asbestos Claimant shall obtain for the benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust a release in form and substance satisfactory to the Trustees. The liquidated value of any Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim paid by the Asbestos PI Trust to an Indirect Asbestos Claimant shall be treated as an offset to or reduction of the full liquidated value of any Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that might be subsequently asserted by the Direct Asbestos Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust.

If an Indirect Asbestos Claimant cannot meet the presumptive requirements set forth above, including the requirement that the Indirect Asbestos Claimant provide the Asbestos PI Trust with a full release of the Direct Asbestos Claimant's claim, the Indirect Asbestos Claimant may request that the Asbestos PI Trust review the Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim under its Individual Review Pprocess to determine whether the Indirect Asbestos Claimant can establish under applicable law that the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has paid a liability or obligation that the Asbestos PI Trust would otherwise have to the Direct Asbestos Claimant as of the effective date of this Asbestos PI Trust shall reimburse the Indirect Asbestos Claimant the amount of the liability or obligation so satisfied subject to the terms and provisions of this the Asbestos TDP. However, in no event shall such reimbursement to the Indirect Asbestos Claimant be greater than the amount to which the Direct Asbestos Claimant would have otherwise been entitled.

Any dispute between the Asbestos PI Trust and an Indirect Asbestos Claimant over whether the Indirect Asbestos Claimant has a right to reimbursement for any amount paid to a Direct Asbestos Claimant shall be subject to the ADR procedures provided in section 5.10 below and set forth in Attachment A hereto. If such dispute is not resolved by said ADR procedures, the Indirect Asbestos Claimant may litigate the dispute in a judicial forum pursuant to sections 5.11 and 7.6 below. The Trustees may develop and approve a separate proof of claim form for such Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims.

Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims shall be processed in accordance with procedures to be developed and implemented by the Trustees, which procedures (a) shall determine the validity and enforceability of such claims and (b) shall otherwise provide the same liquidation and payment procedures and rights to the holders of such claims as the Asbestos PI Trust would have afforded the holders of the underlying valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims. Nothing in this TDP is intended to preclude a trust to which asbestos-related liabilities are channeled from asserting an Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim against the Asbestos PI Trust subject to the requirements set forth herein.

### 5.6(b) Certain Indemnification Claims.

In the case of a claim for indemnification that has been channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to <u>Aa</u>rticle 10.3(a) of the Plan and that involves an underlying liability that is asserted by <u>a</u> current or past employee of a Halliburton Entity or a Harbison-Walker Entity against an indemnitee that is not directly assertable by the direct claimant against a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity, the rights of the indemnitee Indirect Asbestos Claimant shall be coextensive with both the rights the employee would have had against the Asbestos PI Trust had the underlying related claim been compensable under this TDP and with the limitations to

which such claim would have been subject under this TDP concerning the time, amount and manner of its processing and payment.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this section 5.6, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not assert as a defense to an Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim brought by an indemnitee covered by this provision that the Asbestos PI Trust does not have liability to such indemnitee on the grounds that the current or former employee of a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity would have been precluded from asserting the underlying related claim against the Asbestos PI Trust.

# 5.7 Evidentiary Requirements

# 5.7(a) Medical Evidence

# 5.7(a)(1) In General

All diagnoses of a Disease Level shall be accompanied by either (i) a statement by the physician providing the diagnosis that at least ten (10) years have elapsed between the date of first exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products and the diagnosis or (ii) a history of the claimant's exposure sufficient to establish a ten (10) year latency period. A finding by a physician after the Effective Date that a claimant's disease is "consistent with" or "compatible with" asbestosis shall not alone be treated by the Asbestos PI Trust as a diagnosis. For all Asbestos PI Trust Claims, including Foreign Claims, all evidence submitted to the Asbestos PI Trust must be in English.

# 5.7(a)(1)(A) Disease Levels I-IV

Except for claims filed against the Halliburton Entities and/or the Harbison-Walker Entities or another asbestos defendant in the tort system prior to the DII Industries Petition Date, all diagnoses of a non-malignant asbestos-related disease (Disease Levels I-IV) shall be based, in the case of a claimant who was living at the time the claim was filed, upon a physical examination of the claimant by the physician providing the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease. All living claimants must also provide: (i) for claims involving Disease Levels I-III, evidence of Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease (as defined in Footnote 5 above); (ii) for claims involving Disease Level IV,<sup>11</sup> an ILO reading of 2/1 or greater or pathological evidence of asbestosis; and (iii) for claims involving either Disease Level III or IV, pulmonary function testing.<sup>12</sup> In the case of a claimant who was deceased at the time the claim was filed, all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> All diagnoses of Asbestos<u>is</u>/Pleural Disease (Disease Levels II and III) not based on pathology shall be presumed to be based on findings of bilateral asbestosis or pleural disease, and all diagnoses of Mesothelioma (Disease Level VIII) shall be presumed to be based on findings that the disease involves a malignancy. However, the Asbestos PI Trust may rebut such presumptions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> "Pulmonary function testing" or "PFT" shall mean testing that is in material compliance with the quality criteria established by the American Thoracic Society ("ATS") and is performed on equipment that is in material compliance with ATS standards for technical quality and calibration. The Asbestos PI Trust may presume that these

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 32 of 44

diagnoses of a non-malignant asbestos-related disease (Disease Levels I-IV) shall be based upon either: (i) a physical examination of the claimant by the physician providing the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease; or (ii) pathological evidence of the non-malignant asbestos-related disease; or (iii)(a) in the case of Disease Levels I-III, evidence of Bilateral Asbestos-Related Nonmalignant Disease (as defined in Footnote 5 above) or (b) for Disease Level IV, either an ILO reading of 2/1 or greater or pathological evidence of asbestosis; and (iv) for either Disease Level III or IV, pulmonary function testing.

#### 5.7(a)(1)(B) Disease Levels V-VIII

All diagnoses of an asbestos-related malignancy (Disease Levels V-VIII) shall be based upon either (i) a physical examination of the claimant by the physician providing the diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease or (ii) a diagnosis of such a malignant Disease Level by a boardcertified pathologist or by a pathology report prepared at or on behalf of a hospital accredited by the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations ("JCAHO").

# **5.7(a)(1)(C)** Exception to the Exception for Certain Pre-Petition Claims

If the holder of an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that was filed against a Halliburton Entity or a Harbison-Walker Entity or another defendant in the tort system prior to the DII Industries Petition Date has not provided the Asbestos PI Trust with a diagnosis of the asbestos-related disease by a physician who conducted a physical examination of the claimant described in section 5.7(a)(1)(A), but the claimant has available such a diagnosis by an examining physician engaged by the claimant or his or her law firm, or the claimant has filed such a diagnosis with another asbestos-related personal injury settlement trust that requires such evidence without regard to whether the claimant or the law firm engaged the diagnosing physician, the claimant shall provide such diagnosis to the Asbestos PI Trust notwithstanding the exception in section 5.7(a)(1)(A).

# 5.7(a)(2) Credibility of Medical Evidence

Before making any payment to a claimant, the Asbestos PI Trust must have reasonable confidence that the medical evidence provided in support of the claim is competent medical evidence of an asbestos-related injury and is consistent with recognized medical standards. The Asbestos PI Trust may require the submission of X-rays, CT scans, detailed results of pulmonary

<sup>[</sup>Footnote continued from previous page]

ATS criteria and standards were satisfied if the pulmonary function testing was performed in an accredited JCAHO hospital or performed, reviewed or supervised by a Board Certified Pulmonologist. If the pulmonary function testing was not performed in an accredited JCAHO hospital or performed, reviewed or supervised by a Board Certified Pulmonologist, then the full testing report (as opposed to a summary report) must be submitted to the Asbestos PI Trust. If the full report is required by the foregoing sentence, the pulmonary function testing was conducted prior to November 1, 2005, and the full pulmonary function testing report is not available, the claimant may submit a declaration signed by a Qualified Physician or other party who is qualified to make a certification regarding the PFT in the form provided by the Asbestos PI Trust certifying that the pulmonary function testing was conducted in material compliance with ATS standards.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 33 of 44

function tests, laboratory tests, tissue samples, results of medical examination, or reviews of other medical evidence and may require that medical evidence submitted comply with recognized medical standards regarding equipment, testing methods, and procedures to assure that such evidence is reliable.

Medical evidence (i) that is of a kind shown to have been received in evidence by a <u>domestic or foreign</u> state or federal judge at trial, (ii) that is consistent with evidence submitted to the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities to settle for payment similar disease cases prior to the Reorganization Cases, or (iii) that is a diagnosis by a physician shown to have previously qualified as a medical expert with respect to the asbestos-related disease in question before a <u>domestic or foreign</u> state or federal judge, is presumptively reliable, although the Asbestos PI Trust may seek to rebut the presumption. In addition, <u>except as otherwise set forth</u> in this TDP, claimants who otherwise meet the requirements of this TDP for payment of an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim shall be paid without regard to the results of any litigation at any\_time between the claimant and any other defendant in the tort system. However, any relevant evidence submitted in a proceeding in the tort system, other than any findings of fact, a verdict, or a judgment, involving another defendant may be introduced by either the claimant or the Asbestos PI Trust in any Individual Review proceeding conducted pursuant to <u>section</u> 5.3(b) or any Extraordinary Claim proceeding conducted pursuant to <u>section</u> 5.4(a).

#### 5.7(b) Exposure Evidence

#### **5.7(b)(1)** In General

As set forth in section 5.3(a)(3), to qualify for any Disease Level, the claimant must demonstrate by credible evidence a minimum exposure to an asbestos-containing product manufactured or distributed by one or more of the Harbison-Walker Entities or the Halliburton Entities or their predecessors. Claims based on conspiracy theories that involve no exposure to an asbestos-containing product produced by the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities or their predecessors are not compensable under this TDP. To meet the presumptive exposure requirements of Expedited Review set forth in section 5.3(a)(3) above, the claimant must show by credible evidence (i) for all Disease Levels, Company Exposure as defined in section 5.7(c) below prior to December 31, 1982; (ii) for Asbestos/Pleural Disease Level II, six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, plus five years cumulative occupational asbestos exposure; and (iii) for Asbestosis/Pleural Disease (Disease Level III), Severe Asbestosis (Disease Level IV), Other Cancer (Disease Level V), or Lung Cancer 1 (Disease Level VII), six months Company Exposure prior to December 31, 1982, plus Significant Occupational Exposure to asbestos as defined in section 5.7(b)(2) below. If the claimant cannot meet the relevant presumptive exposure requirements for a Disease Level eligible for Expedited Review, the claimant may seek Individual Review of his or her claim.

#### 5.7(b)(2) Significant Occupational Exposure

"Significant Occupational Exposure" means employment for a cumulative period of at least five years, with a minimum of two years prior to December 31, 1982, in an industry and an occupation in which the claimant (a) handled raw asbestos fibers on a regular basis; (b) fabricated asbestos-containing products so that the claimant in the fabrication process was

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 34 of 44

exposed on a regular basis to raw asbestos fibers; (c) altered, repaired, or otherwise worked with an asbestos-containing product such that the claimant was exposed on a regular basis to asbestos fibers; or (d) was employed in an industry and occupation such that the claimant worked on a regular basis in close proximity to workers engaged in the activities described in (a), (b), and/or (c).

# 5.7(c) Company Exposure

"Company Exposure" means meaningful and credible exposure, which occurred prior to December 31, 1982, to asbestos or asbestos-containing products supplied, specified, used, installed, or manufactured by one or more of the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities or for which a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity is otherwise liable, in accordance with the exposure requirements described in sections 5.7(b)(1) and (2) above. Working at a Documented Site (as defined below) shall constitute presumptive evidence of Company Exposure. Company Exposure must be established by:

- an affidavit, sworn statement, deposition, interrogatory answer, sworn work history or other credible evidence that establishes by credible evidence that asbestos or asbestos-containing products supplied, specified, used, installed, or manufactured by a Halliburton Entity and/or a Harbison-Walker Entity or for which a Halliburton Entity asor Harbison-Walker Entity is otherwise liable, were present at the time of the alleged exposure, or
- sales, construction, employment, or other contemporaneous records that establishes by credible evidence that asbestos or asbestos-containing products supplied, specified, used, installed, or manufactured by a Halliburton Entity and/or a Harbison-Walker Entity or for which a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity is otherwise liable, were present at the time of the alleged exposure.

A "Documented Site" means an exposure location identified to the Asbestos PI Trust where there is clear and convincing evidence that asbestos or asbestos-containing products supplied, specified, used, installed, or manufactured by a Halliburton Entity and/or a Harbison-Walker Entity or their predecessors, successors, and assigns were present at the time of the alleged exposure. The Asbestos PI Trust shall make available a non-exclusive list of Documented Sites. Not less than annually, the Asbestos PI Trust shall review the list of Documented Sites. To the extent the Trustees deem necessary, upon consultation with the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, the Trustees shall supplement such non-exclusive list of Documented Sites.

Evidence submitted to establish proof of Company Exposure is for the sole benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust, not third parties or defendants in the tort system. The Asbestos PI Trust has no need for, and therefore claimants are not required to furnish the Asbestos PI Trust with, evidence of exposure to specific asbestos products other than those for which the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities have legal responsibility, except to the extent such evidence is required elsewhere in this TDP. Similarly, failure to identify Halliburton or Harbison-Walker products in the claimant's underlying tort action, or to other bankruptcy trusts,

does not preclude the claimant from recovering from the Asbestos PI Trust, provided the claimant otherwise satisfies the medical and exposure requirements of this TDP.

# 5.8 Claims Audit Program

The Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, may develop methods for auditing the reliability of medical evidence, including additional reading of x-rays, CT scans, and verification of pulmonary function tests, as well as the reliability of evidence of exposure to asbestos, including exposure to asbestos-containing products manufactured or distributed by the Halliburton Entities or Harbison Walker Entities prior to December 31, 1982. In the event that the Asbestos PI Trust reasonably determines that any individual or entity has engaged in a pattern or practice of providing unreliable medical evidence to the Asbestos PI Trust, it may decline to accept additional evidence from such provider in the future. Further, in the event that an audit reveals that fraudulent information has been provided to the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PI Trust may penalize any claimant or claimant's attorney by disallowing the Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim or by other means including, but not limited to, requiring the source of the fraudulent information to pay the costs associated with the audit and any future audit or audits, reordering the priority of payment of all affected claimants' Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, raising the level of scrutiny of additional information submitted from the same source or sources, refusing to accept additional evidence from the same source or sources, seeking the prosecution of the claimant or claimant's attorney for presenting a fraudulent claim in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 152, and seeking sanctions from the Bankruptcy Court.

# 5.9 Second Disease (Malignancy) Claims

A claimant who resolved a claim against a Halliburton Entity (or one of its predecessors), a Harbison-Walker Entity (or one of its predecessors), or the Asbestos PI Trust based on a nonmalignant asbestos-related disease may file a claim against the Asbestos PI Trust based on a malignant asbestos-related disease (mesothelioma or lung, colon, rectal, laryngeal, esophageal, pharyngeal, or stomach cancer). The Asbestos PI Trust shall not assert a release that resolved the non-malignant asbestos-related disease claim as a defense to the malignant asbestos-related disease claim unless the release was executed pursuant to an Asbestos/Silica PI Trust Claimant Settlement Agreement. Except as set forth in the preceding sentence, this provision does not restrict the Asbestos PI Trust's ability to assert a release as a defense to a claim, including a Foreign Claim. The Asbestos PI Trust's payment of a malignant asbestos-related disease claim unless the malignant disease had been diagnosed when the non-malignant disease claim shall not be reduced by the amount paid to resolve a non-malignant disease claim was paid. All other provisions of this TDP, including the statutes of limitations and repose provisions in section 5.1(a)(2), shall apply for determining the validity of the malignant asbestosrelated disease claim.

The holder of an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim (including a holder of a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim) involving a non malignant asbestos related disease (Disease Levels I IV) may file a new Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim against the Asbestos PI Trust for a malignant disease (Disease Levels V-VIII) that is subsequently diagnosed; provided, however, that, with respect to a holder of a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim, the

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 36 of 44

Asbestos PI Trust may assert as a defense to such second disease claim any release made pursuant to the applicable Asbestos/Silica PI Trust Claimant Settlement Agreement. Any additional payments to which such claimant may be entitled with respect to such malignant asbestos related disease shall not be reduced by the amount paid for the nonmalignant asbestosrelated disease, provided that the malignant disease had not been diagnosed by the time the claimant was paid with respect to the original claim involving the non-malignant disease.

#### 5.10 Arbitration

#### 5.10(a) Establishment of Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures

The Asbestos PI Trust, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, shall institute binding and nonbinding arbitration procedures in accordance with the Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") Procedures included in Attachment A hereto<sup>13</sup> to attempt to resolve whether the Asbestos PI Trust's outright rejection or denial of a claim was proper or whether the claimant's medical condition or exposure history meets the requirements of this TDP for purposes of categorizing a claim involving Disease Levels I-VIII. Disputes of whether an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim is a Qualifying Settled Asbestos PI Trust Claim shall be resolved pursuant to the terms of the applicable Asbestos Claimant Settlement Agreement and the Plan. Binding and nonbinding arbitration shall also be available for resolving disputes over the liquidated value of a claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII, as well as disputes over the validity of Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims. In all arbitrations, the arbitrator shall consider the same medical and exposure evidentiary requirements that are set forth in section 5.7 above. In the case of an arbitration involving the liquidated value of a claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII, the arbitrator shall consider the same valuation factors that are set forth in section 5.3(b)(2) above. To facilitate the Individual Review Pprocess with respect to claims involving Disease Levels IV-VIII, the Asbestos PI Trust may develop a valuation model that enables it to efficiently make initial settlement offers on such claims. In an arbitration involving any such claim, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not offer into evidence or describe any such model or assert that any information generated by the model has any evidentiary relevance or should be used by the arbitrator in determining the presumed correct liquidated value in arbitration. The underlying data that was used to create the model may be relevant and may be made available to the arbitrator but only if provided to the claimant or his or her counsel at least ten days prior to the arbitration proceeding.

In arbitrations involving compensable Foreign Claims, the arbitrator is to assign a value to the claim that is consistent with the value such claim would receive in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction.

In all arbitrations, the arbitrator shall consider evidence presented by the Asbestos PI Trust, including written expert opinions regarding the validity of a Foreign Claim and evidence regarding whether the claim has been paid, satisfied, settled, released, waived, or otherwise

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> To the extent there is any ambiguity or conflict between any provisions of this TDP and the ADR Procedures, the provisions of this TDP shall control.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 37 of 44

discharged under the law and procedure of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, but only if provided to the claimant or his or her counsel at least ten days prior to the arbitration hearing.

With respect to all claims eligible for arbitration, the claimant, but not the Asbestos PI Trust, may elect either nonbinding or binding arbitration. The ADR Procedures set forth in Attachment A hereto may be modified by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative. Such amendments may also include adoption of mediation procedures as well as establishment of an Extraordinary Claims Panel to review such claims pursuant to section 5.4(a) above.

#### **5.10(b)** Claims Eligible for Arbitration

In order to be eligible for arbitration, the claimant must first complete the Individual Review process with respect to the disputed issue as well as either the Pro Bono Evaluation or the Mediation processes set forth in the ADR Procedures. Individual Review shall be treated as completed for these purposes when the claim has been individually reviewed by the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PI Trust has made an offer on the claim, the claimant has rejected the liquidated value resulting from the Individual Review, and the claimant has notified the Asbestos PI Trust of the rejection in writing. Individual Review also shall be treated as completed if the Asbestos PI Trust has rejected the claim. The claimant must send the Asbestos PI Trust a written request for ADR pursuant to the ADR Procedures within 180 days after Individual Review is treated as complete, or else the claimant shall be deemed to have waived ADR and all of the claimant's rights under section 7.6 below.

#### 5.10(c) Limitations on and Payment of Arbitration Awards

In the case of a non-Extraordinary Claim involving Disease Levels I-III, the arbitrator shall not return an award in excess of the Scheduled Value for such claim. In the case of a non-Extraordinary Claim involving Disease Levels IV-VIII, the arbitrator shall not return an award in excess of the Maximum Value for the appropriate Disease Level as set forth in sections 5.3(ab)(3) and 5.3(b)(4) above, and for an Extraordinary Claim involving one of those Disease Levels, the arbitrator shall not return an award greater than the maximum value for such a claim as set forth in section 5.4(a)(1) above. A claimant who submits to arbitration and who accepts the arbitral award shall receive payments in the same manner as one who accepts the Asbestos PI Trust's original valuation of the claim.

#### 5.11 Litigation

Claimants who elect nonbinding arbitration and then reject their arbitral awards retain the right to institute a lawsuit in a judicial forum against the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to section 7.6 below. However, a claimant shall be eligible for payment of a judgment for monetary damages obtained in a judicial forum from the Asbestos PI Trust's available cash only as provided in section 7.7 below.

#### SECTION 6 Claims Materials

#### 6.1 Claims Materials

The Asbestos PI Trust shall prepare suitable and efficient claims materials ("Claims Materials"), for all Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims, and shall provide such Claims Materials upon a written request for such materials to the Asbestos PI Trust. The proof of claim form to be submitted to the Asbestos PI Trust shall require the claimant to assert the highest Disease Level for which the claim qualifies at the time of filing and shall require the claimant to identify the Halliburton Entities or the Harbison Walker Entities his or her claim alleges liability against. The proof of claim form shall also include a certification by the claimant or his or her attorney sufficient to meet the requirements of Rule 11(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In developing its claim-filing procedures, the Asbestos PI Trust shall make every reasonable effort to provide claimants with the opportunity to utilize currently available technology at their discretion, including filing claims and supporting documentation over the internet and electronically by disk or CD-rom. A copy of the proof of claim form to be used by the Asbestos PI Trust for unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims is included in Attachment B hereto. The proof of claim form may be changed by the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative.

#### 6.2 Content of Claims Materials

The Claims Materials shall include a copy of this TDP, such instructions as the Trustees shall approve, and a detailed proof of claim form. If feasible, the forms used by the Asbestos PI Trust to obtain claims information shall be the same or substantially similar to those used by other asbestos claims resolution organizations. If requested by the claimant, the Asbestos PI Trust shall accept information provided electronically. The claimant may, but shall not be required to, provide the Asbestos PI Trust with evidence of recovery from other asbestos defendants and claims resolution organizations, except that the Asbestos PI Trust may require a claimant holding a Foreign Claim to provide it with such evidence of recovery or other information that such claimant would be required to provide pursuant to substantive law, rules of procedure, or practices in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, including pre- and post-verdict rules, so as to enable the Asbestos PI Trust to (1) determine whether the claim would be valid and cognizable in the tort system of the Claimant's Jurisdiction, (2) comply with the provisions of section 5.3(b)(1) above, and (3) determine the Asbestos PI Trust's several share of liability for the claimant's unpaid damages.

#### 6.3 Withdrawal or Deferral of Claims

A claimant can withdraw an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim at any time upon written notice to the Asbestos PI Trust and file another claim subsequently without affecting the status of the claim for statute of limitations purposes, but any such claim filed after withdrawal shall be given a place in the FIFO Processing Queue based on the date of such subsequent filing. A claimant can also request that the processing of his or her Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim by the Asbestos PI Trust be deferred for a period not to exceed three (3) years without affecting the status of the claim for statute of limitation purposes, in which case the claimant shall also retain

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 39 of 44

his or her original place in the FIFO Processing Queue. During the period of such deferral, any sequencing adjustment on such claimant's Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim provided for in section 7.5 hereunder shall not accrue and payment thereof shall be deemed waived by the claimant. Except for Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims held by representatives of deceased or incompetent claimants for which court or probate approval of the Asbestos PI Trust's offer is required, or an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim for which deferral status has been granted, a claim shall be deemed to have been withdrawn if the claimant neither accepts, rejects, nor initiates arbitration within six months of the Asbestos PI Trust's offer of payment or rejection of the claim. Upon written request and good cause, the Asbestos PI Trust may extend the withdrawal or deferral period for an additional six months.

#### 6.4 Filing Requirements and Fees

The Trustees shall have the discretion to determine, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, (a) whether a claimant must have previously filed an asbestos-related personal injury claim in the tort system to be eligible to file an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim with the Asbestos PI Trust and (b) whether a filing fee should be required for any Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims.

#### SECTION 7 General Guidelines for Liquidating and Paying Claims

#### 7.1 Showing Required

To establish a valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim, a claimant must meet the requirements set forth in this TDP. The Asbestos PI Trust may require the submission of X-rays, CT scans, laboratory tests, medical examinations or reviews, other medical evidence, or any other evidence to support or verify the claim and may further require that medical evidence submitted comply with recognized medical standards regarding equipment, testing methods, and procedures to assure that such evidence is reliable. Nothing in this TDP shall prohibit the Asbestos PI Trust at any time from challenging the validity of a claim under the provisions of this TDP or whether a claim has been paid, satisfied, settled, released, waived, or otherwise discharged.

#### 7.2 Costs Considered

Notwithstanding any provisions of this TDP to the contrary, the Trustees shall always give appropriate consideration to the cost of investigating and uncovering invalid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims so that the payment of valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims is not further impaired by such processes with respect to issues related to the validity of the medical evidence supporting an Asbestos PI Trust Claim. The Trustees shall also have the latitude to make judgments regarding the amount of transaction costs to be expended by the Asbestos PI Trust so that valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims are not unduly further impaired by the costs of additional investigation. Nothing herein shall prevent the Trustees, in appropriate circumstances, from contesting the validity of any claim against the Asbestos PI Trust whatever the costs or declining acceptance of medical evidence from sources that the Trustees have

determined to be unreliable pursuant to the Claims Audit Program described in section 5.8 above.

# 7.3 Discretion to Vary the Order and Amounts of Payments in Event of Limited Liquidity

Consistent with the provisions hereof and subject to the FIFO Processing and Payment Queues, the Maximum Annual Payment, the Maximum Available Payment, and the Claims Payment Ratio requirements set forth above, the Trustees shall proceed as quickly as possible to liquidate valid Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims and shall make payments to holders of such claims in accordance with this TDP promptly as funds become available and as claims are liquidated, while maintaining sufficient resources to pay future valid claims in substantially the same manner. Because the Asbestos PI Trust's income and value over time remains uncertain and decisions about payments must be based on estimates that cannot be done precisely, they may have to be revised in light of experiences over time, and there can be no guarantee of any specific level of payment to claimants. However, the Trustees shall use their best efforts to treat similar claims in substantially the same manner, consistent with their duties as Trustees, the purposes of the Asbestos PI Trust, and the practical limitations imposed by the inability to predict the future with precision. In the event that the Asbestos PI Trust faces temporary periods of limited liquidity, the Trustees may, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, suspend the normal order of payment and may temporarily limit or suspend payments altogether and may offer a Reduced Payment Option as described in section 2.5 above.

### 7.4 **Punitive Damages**

In determining the value of any claim, punitive damages shall not be considered or allowed, notwithstanding their availability in the tort system. Similarly, no punitive or exemplary damages shall be payable with respect to any claim litigated against the Asbestos PI Trust in the tort system pursuant to sections 5.11 above and 7.6 below. The only damages that may be awarded pursuant to this TDP to Alabama claimants who are deceased and whose personal representatives pursue their claims only under the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute shall be compensatory damages determined pursuant to the statutory and common law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, without regard to its choice of law provision.

### 7.5 Sequencing Adjustments

### 7.5(a) In General.

Except for an Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim involving Other Asbestos Disease (Disease Level I) and subject to the limitations set forth below, sequencing adjustments shall be paid on all liquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims with respect to which the claimant has had to wait a year or more for payment after the later of the DII Industries Effective Date or the date the claim was placed in the FIFO Payment Queue; provided, however, that no claimant shall receive a sequencing adjustment for a period in excess of seven (7) years, provided further, however, in no event shall sequencing adjustments be paid or accrue on account of any supplemental payment made to a claimant pursuant to section 5.1(c) above. Sequencing adjustments shall begin to accrue one year after the date the claim was placed in the FIFO

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 41 of 44

Payment Queue at the one-year Treasury Bond interest rate in effect on January 1 of the year in which such accrual commences. The rate of the sequencing adjustment shall be adjusted each January 1 to correspond to the one-year Treasury Bond interest rate then in effect. The applicable sequencing adjustment shall be calculated based only on the liquidated value of the claim, subject to the Payment Percentage; any accrued but unpaid sequencing adjustment shall not be included in such calculation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not be obligated to pay sequencing adjustments on Qualifying Settled Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims.

#### 7.5(b) Unliquidated Asbestos Trust Claims.

Sequencing adjustments shall be payable on the Scheduled Value of any unliquidated Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim that meets the requirements of Disease Levels II-V, VII and VIII, whether the claim is liquidated under Expedited Review, Individual Review, or by arbitration. No sequencing adjustment shall be paid on any claim liquidated in the tort system pursuant to section 5.11 above and 7.6 below. Sequencing adjustments on an unliquidated Asbestos Trust Claim that meets the requirements of Disease Level VI shall be based on the Average Value of such a claim. Sequencing adjustments on all unliquidated claims shall be measured from 30 days after the date of the Notice of Determination – Allowance letter back to the earlier of the date that is one year after the date on which (i) the claim was filed against a Halliburton or Harbison-Walker Entity prior to the DII Industries Petition Date; (ii) the claim was filed against another defendant in the tort system on or after the DII Industries Petition Date but before the Claims Acceptance Date; or (iii) the claim was filed with the Asbestos PI Trust after the Claims Acceptance Date.

#### 7.6 Suits in a Judicial Forum

If the holder of a disputed claim disagrees with the Asbestos PI Trust's determination regarding the Disease Level of the claim, the claimant's exposure or medical history, the validity of the claim under the provisions of this TDP, or the liquidated value of the claim, and if the holder has first submitted the claim to nonbinding arbitration as provided in section 5.10 above, the holder may file a lawsuit against the Asbestos PI Trust in the Claimant's Jurisdiction as defined in section 5.3(b)(2) above or, in the case of the holder of an Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claim, in a court of competent jurisdiction in the United States. Such lawsuit must be commenced within 180 days after the claimant receives an authorization to commence litigation pursuant to the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures. Any such lawsuit must be filed by the claimant in his or her own right and name and not as a member or representative of a class, and no such lawsuit may be consolidated with any other lawsuit. All defenses (including, with respect to the Asbestos PI Trust, all defenses that could have been asserted by a Halliburton Entity or Harbison-Walker Entity) shall be available to both sides at trial; however, the Asbestos PI Trust may waive any defense and/or concede any issue of fact or law. If the claimant is an individual who was alive at the time the initial pre-petition complaint was filed or on the date the proof of claim was filed with the Asbestos PI Trust, the case shall be treated as a personal injury case with all personal injury damages to be considered even if the claimant has died during the pendency of the claim.

#### 7.7 Payment of Judgments for Money Damages

A claimant whose claim was liquidated in a judicial forum pursuant to sections 5.11 and 7.6 above after the DII Industries Effective Date shall receive from the Asbestos PI Trust an initial payment (subject to the Payment Percentage, the Maximum Annual Payment, the Maximum Available Payment, and, if any, the Claims Payment Ratio provisions set forth above) of an amount equal to one-hundred percent (100%) of the greater of (i) the Asbestos PI Trust's last offer to the claimant or (ii) the award that the claimant declined in nonbinding arbitration. Subject to the limitations set forth herein, the claimant shall receive the balance of the judgment, if any, in five equal installments in years six (6) through ten (10) following the year of the initial payment (also subject to the Payment Percentage, the Maximum Available Payment, and, if any, the Claims Payment Ratio provisions above in effect on the date of the payment of the subject installment). In the case of non-Extraordinary Claims involving Disease Levels I, II, and III, the total amounts paid with respect to such claims shall not exceed the relevant Scheduled Value for such Disease Levels as set forth above. In the case of claims involving a nonmalignant asbestosrelated disease that does not attain classification under Disease Levels I, II, or III, the amount payable shall not exceed the Scheduled Value for the Disease Level most comparable to the disease proven. In the case of non-Extraordinary Claims involving severe asbestosis and malignancies (Disease Levels IV-VIII), the total amounts paid with respect to such claims shall not exceed the Maximum Values for such Disease Levels set forth in sections 5.3(b)(3) and 5.3(b)(4). In the case of Extraordinary Claims, the total amounts paid with respect to such claims shall not exceed the maximum value for such claims set forth in section 5.4(a) above. Except as provided in section 7.4 above with respect to Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims arising under the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute, neither punitive damages nor interest shall be paid on any judgments obtained in a judicial forum after the DII Industries Petition Date.

#### 7.8 Releases

The Trustees shall have the discretion to determine the form and substance of the releases to be provided to the Asbestos PI Trust in order to maximize recovery for claimants against other tortfeasors without increasing the risk or amount of claims for indemnification or contribution from the Asbestos PI Trust. As a condition to making any payment to a claimant, the Asbestos PI Trust shall obtain a general, partial, or limited release as appropriate in accordance with the applicable state, federal, foreign, or other law. Such release shall include language evidencing the assignment to the applicable Reorganized Debtor of any Direct Action that may be assertable on account of such Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims. If allowed by state applicable law, the endorsing of a check or draft for payment by or on behalf of a claimant shall constitute such a release.

#### 7.9 Third-Party Services

Nothing in this TDP shall preclude the Asbestos PI Trust from contracting with another asbestos claims resolution organization to provide services to the Asbestos PI Trust so long as decisions about the categorization and liquidated value of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims are based on the relevant provisions of this TDP, including the Disease Levels, Scheduled Values, Average Values, Maximum Values, and Medical/Exposure Criteria set forth above.

#### SECTION 8 Miscellaneous

#### 8.1 Amendments

Except as otherwise provided herein, the Trustees may amend, modify, delete, or add to any provisions of this TDP (including, without limitation, amendments to conform this TDP to advances in scientific or medical knowledge or other changes in circumstances), provided they first obtain the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative pursuant to the Consent Process set forth in <u>sections\_articles\_5.7(b)</u> and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, except that the right to amend the Claims Payment Ratio is governed by the restrictions in section 2.5 above and the right to adjust the Payment Percentage is governed by section 4.2 above. Whenever consent of the Asbestos TAC or the Legal Representative is required in these Trust Distribution Procedures, the consent process of <u>Section\_articles\_5.7(b)</u> and 6.6(b) of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement apply.

#### 8.2 Severability

Should any provision contained in this TDP be determined to be unenforceable, such determination shall in no way limit or affect the enforceability and operative effect of any and all other provisions of this TDP. Should any provision contained in this TDP be determined to be inconsistent with or contrary to any of the Harbison-Walker Entities' or the Halliburton Entities' obligations to any insurance company providing insurance coverage to any of the Harbison-Walker Entities or the Halliburton Entities in respect of claims for personal injury based on Company Exposure, the Asbestos PI Trust with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative may amend this TDP and/or the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement to make the provisions of either or both documents consistent with the duties and obligations of any of the Halliburton Walker Entities or the Harbison-Walker Entities to said insurance company.

#### 8.3 Governing Law

Except for purposes of determining the Liquidated Amount of any Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claim by the Trust, administration of this TDP shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Except for Asbestos PI Trust Claims arising under the Alabama Wrongful Death Statute as provided in section 7.4 above, the law governing the liquidation of Asbestos Unsecured PI Trust Claims in the case of Individual Review, arbitration, or litigation in the tort system shall be the law of the Claimant's Jurisdiction as determined in accordance with section 5.3(b)(2) above.

#### 8.4 Confidentiality of Claimant Submissions

All submissions to the Asbestos PI Trust by a holder of an Asbestos PI Trust Claim of a proof of claim form and materials related thereto shall be treated as made in the course of settlement discussions between the holder and the Asbestos PI Trust, and such submissions and all communications related thereto are intended by the parties to be confidential and to be protected by all applicable state and federal privileges, laws, rules and regulations including but not limited to those directly applicable to settlement discussions, patient confidentiality and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996. Absent the claimant's written

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-4 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit D Page 44 of 44

consent authorizing the release of information to a particular party, the Asbestos PI Trust shall preserve the confidentiality of such claimant submissions and communications, and may disclose the contents thereof only (a) to its claims processing agent to the extent necessary to facilitate the processing of claims pursuant to this TDP; and (b) in response to a valid order or subpoena issued by the Bankruptcy Court, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, or a District Court of Dallas County, Texas. The Asbestos PI Trust shall provide a copy of any such order or subpoena to the claimant whose records are sought, or to such claimant's counsel, promptly after it receives the order or subpoena. Additionally, the Asbestos PI Trust shall on its own initiative or upon request of the claimant in question take all necessary and appropriate steps to preserve any privileges in the court that issued the order or subpoena -and on any appeal from such court.

Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing to the contrary, with the consent of the Asbestos TAC and the Legal Representative, the Asbestos PI Trust may, in specific limited instances, disclose information, documents, or other materials reasonably necessary in the Asbestos PI Trust's judgment to preserve, litigate, resolve, or settle coverage, or to comply with an applicable obligation under an insurance policy or settlement agreement directly related to the funding of the Asbestos PI Trust; provided, however, that the Asbestos PI Trust shall take any and all steps reasonably feasible in its judgment to preserve the further confidentiality of such information, documents and materials, and prior to the disclosure of such information, documents or materials to a third party, the Asbestos PI Trust shall receive from such third party a written agreement of confidentiality that (a) ensures that the information, documents and materials provided by the Asbestos PI Trust shall be used solely by the receiving party for the purpose stated in the agreement and (b) prohibits any other use or further dissemination of the information, documents and materials by the third party.

Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-5 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit E Page 1 of 3

# Exhibit E

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-5 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit E Page 2 of 3

#### 3.2 Investments.

Investment of monies held in the Asbestos PI Trust shall be administered in the manner in which individuals of ordinary prudence, discretion, and judgment would act in the management of their own affairs, subject to the following limitations and provisions:

(a) The Asbestos PI Trust shall be permitted to acquire or hold - directly or indirectly- any common or preferred stock, convertible securities or other equity interest in any Person; provided however, that the Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire or hold, directly or indirectly, any such stock or securities in any business enterprise (other than the Reorganized Debtors, Halliburton, or any successor to, or successor-in-interest of, the Reorganized Debtors or Halliburton) if, immediately following such acquisition, the Asbestos PI Trust would hold more than 5% of the equity in such Person or business enterprise.

(b) The Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire or hold for longer than ninety (90) days any long-term debt securities unless (i) such securities are Asbestos PI Trust Assets under the Plan, (ii) such securities are rated "Baa" or higher by Moody's, "BBB" or higher by S&P's, or have been given an equivalent investment grade rating by another nationally recognized statistical rating agency, or (iii) such securities have been issued or fully guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States of America or any agency or instrumentality thereof. This restriction does not apply to any pooled investment vehicles where pooled assets receive a "BBB" rating or above by a nationally recognized statistical rating agency. In collateralized pools of assets, it is the rating of a particular investment tranche that is subject to the investment grade restriction. In addition, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire or hold for longer than ninety (90) days any non-investment grade debt securities in an amount that exceeds 5% of the aggregate market value of the total assets of the Asbestos PI Trust.

(c) The Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire, or hold for longer than ninety (90) days, any commercial paper unless such commercial paper is rated "Prime-I" or higher by Moody's, or "A-I" or higher by S&P's, or has been given an equivalent rating by another nationally recognized statistical-rating agency.

(d) Other than securities or other instruments that are Asbestos PI Trust Assets and options with respect to securities of Halliburton acquired or held in connection with hedging transactions intended to transfer some or all of the economic risk of ownership of the Asbestos PI Trust Assets, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire or hold any securities or other instruments issued by any Person (other than debt securities or other instruments issued or fully guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States of America or any agency or instrumentality thereof) if, following such acquisition, the aggregate market value of all securities and instruments issued by such Person held by the Asbestos PI Trust would exceed 2% of the aggregate market value of the total assets of the Asbestos PI Trust-Estate.

(e) Excluding any securities or other instruments that are Asbestos PI Trust Assets, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire or hold any certificates of deposit unless all publicly held long-term debt securities, if any, of the financial institution issuing the certificate of deposit, and the holding company, if any, of which such financial institution is a subsidiary, meet the standards set forth in article 3.2(b) of this Asbestos PI Trust Agreement.

(f) The Asbestos PI Trust may acquire and hold any securities or instruments issued by <u>the</u> Reorganized Debtors; <u>Halliburton</u>; or <u>itstheir</u> subsidiaries, affiliates, or successors, without regard to the limitations set forth in subsections (a)-)\_(f(e) above.

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-5 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit E Page 3 of 3

(g) The Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire or hold any repurchase obligations unless, in the opinion of the Trustees, they are adequately collateralized.

(h) The Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire or hold any options, other than options with respect to securities of Halliburton (or any successor thereto) acquired or held in connection with hedging transactions intended to transfer some or all of the economic risk of ownership of the Asbestos PI Trust Assets.

(h) (i) The Asbestos PI Trust may allow its investment managers prudently to acquire or hold derivative instruments, including options and futures, in the normal course of portfolio management. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Asbestos PI Trust may acquire or hold derivatives to manage portfolio risk, including, but not limited to, interest rate risk and equity market risk.

(ii) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in article 3.2 (a) – (ih), the Asbestos PI Trust may acquire or hold Hedge Funds or Hedge Funds of Funds (each as defined below). The investments made by any Hedge Fund or Hedge Fund of Funds need not comply with the investment guidelines set forth in article 3.2 (a) - (ih) and shall not be deemed acquired or held by the Asbestos PI Trust for purposes of this article 3.2. The Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire an interest in a particular Hedge Fund if, immediately following such acquisition, the aggregate market value of the Asbestos PI Trust's interest in such Hedge Fund would exceed 2% of the aggregate market value of the Asbestos PI Trust's total assets. The Asbestos PI Trust shall not hold an interest in a particular Hedge Fund to the extent that the aggregate market value of the Asbestos PI Trust's interest in such Hedge Fund would exceed 4% of the aggregate market value of the Asbestos PI Trust estate. The Trust's total assets. Additionally, the Asbestos PI Trust shall not acquire an interest in a Hedge Fund or a Hedge Fund of Funds if, immediately following such acquisition, the aggregate market value of the Trust's interest in all Hedge Funds and Hedge Funds of Funds would exceed 10% of the aggregate market value of the Asbestos PI Trust estate. Trust's total assets. The Asbestos PI Trust shall not hold an interest in a Hedge Fund or a Hedge Fund of Funds to the extent that the aggregate market value of the Asbestos PI Trust's interest in such-Hedge FundFunds and Hedge Fund of Funds would exceed 1215% of the aggregate market value of the Asbestos PI Trust's total assets. A Hedge Fund is a single fund that is managed by a single or multiple investment manager(s), with one or more investment strategies, and that invests in equities, fixed income instruments, convertible bonds, preferred stocks or such other instruments that, in the opinion of the manager(s), will fulfill the fund's investment strategy(ies). A Hedge Fund of Funds is a fund that holds interests in multiple Hedge Funds.

(kj) The Asbestos PI Trust may lend securities on a short-term basis, subject to adequate, normal and customary collateral arrangements.

(1<u>k</u>) The Asbestos PI Trust may, to diversify portfolio risk and reduce volatility, acquire or hold alternative investments that do not meet the above criteria if the aggregate market value of such alternative investments acquired or held by the Asbestos PI Trust does not exceed five per cent (5%)<u>5%</u> of the aggregate market value of the total assets of the Asbestos PI Trust.

(m]) The Asbestos PI Trust shall be entitled to pledge the Halliburton shares in connection with hedging transactions or loan transactions. All actions taken by the Asbestos PI Trust with respect to the Halliburton Asbestos PI Trust Stock, including but not limited to pledge of such shares in connection with hedging transactions to the extent permitted by this document, shall be consistent with and subject to any restrictions or limitations imposed by the Stockholder Agreement.

Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit F Page 1 of 11

# Exhibit F

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc EXHIBIT Page 2 of 11 2017 Site List Additions

Effective	Item	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
July 15, 2017	1	35010563	Monsanto Chemical Company - Creve Coeur	St. Louis	МО	USA	HAL	1/1/1976	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	2		Monsanto Chemical Company - J.F Queeny Plant	St. Louis	MO	USA	HAL	1/1/1947	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	3		Santa Susana Field Laboratory – Area II	Santa Susana	CA	USA	HAL		12/31/1982
January 12, 2017	1	1000969	Alcoa Laboratory	New Kensington	PA	USA	HW	11/28/1960	8/22/1962
July 15, 2017	2	1000994	Allegheny County Workhouse	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	4/16/1969	4/16/1970
January 12, 2017	3	1000960	Allentown Portland Cement Company	Evansville	PA	USA	HW	2/13/1967	2/13/1968
January 12, 2017	4		American Bridge Division of U.S. Steel	Ambridge	PA	USA	HW		12/17/1977
January 12, 2017	5		Atlantic Steel Company	Atlanta	GA	USA	HW	1/11/1978	1/11/1979
July 15, 2017	6		Auburn Steel Company	Auburn	NY	USA	HW	3/30/1979	3/30/1980
January 12, 2017	7		Babcock & Wilcox	Augusta	GA	USA	HW	1/31/1975	3/17/1976
July 15, 2017	8		Barry Steel Corporation	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	9/19/1967	9/18/1969
July 15, 2017	9		Bath Iron Works	Bath	ME	USA	HW	10/27/1970	3/22/1973
January 12, 2017	10		Bethlehem Steel Corporation	Leetsdale	PA	USA	HW	12/10/1964	6/10/1966
July 15, 2017	11		Bigelow Apartments	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	11/7/1967	12/16/1969
April 13, 2017	12		Brookley Industrial Complex, Building 59	Mobile	AL	USA	HW	12/16/1976	
July 15, 2017	13		Bucyrus-Erie Company	Glassport	PA	USA	HW	6/6/1979	6/6/1980
July 15, 2017	14		California Alabama Pipe Co	South Gate	CA	USA	HW	9/24/1969	9/24/1970
July 15, 2017	15		Carnegie Institute of Technology - Morewood Gardens A-D Towers	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	8/3/1967	8/3/1968
January 12, 2017	16		Carpenter & Paterson, Inc.	Laconia	NH	USA	HW	12/2/1969	12/2/1970
July 15, 2017	17		Castle Square Apartments	Boston	MA	USA	HW		11/29/1968
January 12, 2017	18	1000824		Laurel	MT	USA	HW		12/31/1975
January 12, 2017	19		Chevrolet Motor Division General Motors Corporation	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	10/21/1968	
July 15, 2017	20		Chrylser Corporation - Casting Plant	Kokomo	IN	USA	HW		12/15/1976
April 13, 2017	21	1000983	City of Pittsburgh - 28th Street Incinerator Plant	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	5/26/1969	5/26/1970
April 13, 2017	22		City of Somerville - Municipal Incinerator	Somerville	MA	USA	HW	2/2/1968	2/2/1969
July 15, 2017	23		Columbia Steel Casting	Portland	OR	USA	HW		12/31/1980
January 12, 2017	24		Commercial Steel Treating Company - Plant 2	Madison Heights	MI	USA	HW	3/13/1969	3/13/1970
January 12, 2017	25		Corning Glass Works	Buffalo	NY	USA	HW		11/16/1965
July 15, 2017	26	35010552	Creekside Park Apartments	Santa Rosa	CA	USA	HW	2/24/1967	2/24/1968
April 13, 2017	27	1000985	Department of Street Railways - Highland Park Terminal	Highland Park	MI	USA	HW	6/21/1967	6/21/1968
April 13, 2017	28	1000977	Detroit Steel Products - Division of Fenestra, Inc.	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	6/8/1967	6/8/1968
January 12, 2017	29		Dow Badische-Chemical	Freeport	TX	USA	HW	11/1/1971	11/1/1972
January 12, 2017	30		Dow Chemical Co Plant A	Freeport	ТΧ	USA	HW	12/21/1967	4/16/1968
January 12, 2017	30	1000902	Dow Chemical Co Plant A	Freeport	ΤX	USA	HW	8/12/1969	8/12/1970
January 12, 2017	31		Dow Chemical Co Plant B	Freeport	ΤX	USA	HW	10/6/1967	10/21/1969
January 12, 2017	32	1000968	E.I. Dupont de Nemours & Co	Buffalo	NY	USA	HW	9/23/1965	9/23/1966
January 12, 2017	33	1000791	E.I. Dupont de Numours & Co.	De Lisle	MS	USA	HW	9/8/1977	9/8/1978
January 12, 2017	34		Eaton Yale & Towne, Inc.	Vassar	MI	USA	HW	1/21/1975	1/21/1976
January 12, 2017	35	1000792	Elliott Rose Co/W.H. Elliott & Sons Co.	Madbury	NH	USA	HW	7/8/1967	7/8/1968
January 12, 2017	36		Engineered Furnaces, Inc.	Troy	MI	USA	HW	7/12/1967	7/12/1968
July 15, 2017	37		Engineered Heat Treat	Madison Heights	MI	USA	HW	11/13/1967	7/23/1974
January 12, 2017	38	1000880	Esco Corporation - Main Plant	Portland	OR	USA	HW	6/10/1969	6/10/1970
January 12, 2017	39		Extrusion Die Makers	Murrysville	PA	USA	HW	6/13/1968	6/13/1969
January 12, 2017	40	1000788	Fann Instrument Corp.	Houston	TX	USA	HW	11/1/1969	11/1/1970

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc EXHIBIT Page 3 of 11 2017 Site List Additions

Effective	Item	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
January 12, 2017	41	1000795	Ferguson Propeller & Reconditioning Ltd	Hoboken	NJ	USA	HW	12/27/1968	7/17/1970
April 13, 2017	42		Firestone Tire & Rubber Co.	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	1/20/1967	1/20/1968
January 12, 2017	43	1000796	Florida Steel Corporation	Charlotte	NC	USA	HW	9/29/1975	9/29/1976
January 12, 2017	44	1000798	Fostoria Industries	Fostoria	OH	USA	HW	12/13/1977	12/13/1978
July 15, 2017	45	35010554	Foundry Flask and Equipment Co.	Northville	MI	USA	HW	6/11/1969	6/18/1970
January 12, 2017	46	1000789	Frank Foundries Corporation	Moline	IL	USA	HW	4/11/1975	4/11/1976
July 15, 2017	47	35010555	Fremont County Courthouse	Cañon City	CO	USA	HW	8/4/1967	8/4/1968
January 12, 2017	48	1000966	Friend Brother, Inc.	Malden	MA	USA	HW	7/11/1967	7/11/1968
January 12, 2017	49		Gage House Studios	Bellow Falls	VT	USA	HW		10/27/1977
January 12, 2017	50	1000799	General Portland Cement Co Florida Portland Cement Division	Tampa	FL	USA	HW	12/5/1976	12/5/1977
January 12, 2017	51		General Portland Cement Co Florida Portland Cement Division	Miami	FL	USA	HW	10/27/1978	
January 12, 2017	52	1000803	Genstar Cement & Lime	Redding	CA	USA	HW		12/31/1982
January 12, 2017	53		Georgetown Texas Steel	Vidor	TX	USA	HW	9/26/1977	9/26/1978
January 12, 2017	54	1000801	Giant Portland & Masonry Cement - Carolina Cement Division	Harleyville	SC	USA	HW	1/6/1979	2/21/1980
January 12, 2017	55		Gifford-Hill Cement Company	Harleyville	SC	USA	HW	10/24/1975	
January 12, 2017	56		Gifford-Hill Portland Cement Company	Midlothian	TX	USA	HW	4/14/1972	4/14/1973
April 13, 2017	57		Gillete Saftey Razor Co.	Boston	MA	USA	HW	7/29/1968	7/29/1969
April 13, 2017	58		Glen Cove Incinerator	Glen Cove	NY	USA	HW		10/11/1969
January 12, 2017	59		Greyhound Lines 11th Ave. Bus Depot - Boiler Room	New York	NY	USA	HW	4/26/1976	4/26/1977
January 12, 2017	39	1000822	Greyhound Lines 11th Ave. Bus Depot - Boiler Room	New York	NY	USA	HW	6/21/1978	6/21/1979
January 12, 2017	60		Griffin Pipe Products	Council Bluffs	IA	USA	HW	6/21/1967	6/21/1968
April 13, 2017	61		Gunite Division - Kelsey-Hayes Co.	Rockford	IL	USA	HW	9/29/1977	9/29/1978
January 12, 2017	62		Halstead Metal Products, Inc.	Zelienople	PA	USA	HW	10/6/1967	10/6/1968
October 16, 2017	63	35010601	Harbison-Walker Refractories Co Garber Research Center	West Mifflin	PA	USA	HW		12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	64		Harbison-Walker Refractories Co Manufacturing Plant	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW		12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	65		Harbison-Walker Refractories Co Manufacturing Plant	Fulton	MO	USA	HW		12/31/1975
October 16, 2017	66		Harbison-Walker Refractories Co Manufacturing Plant	Hammond	IN	USA	HW		12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	67		Harbison-Walker Refractories Co Manufacturing Plant	Templeton	PA	USA	HW	8/25/1967	8/25/1968
October 16, 2017	68	35010597	Harbison-Walker Refractories Co Manufacturing Plant	Windham	OH	USA	HW	8/22/1979	8/22/1980
January 12, 2017	69		Hartsfield Incinerator	Atlanta	GA	USA	HW	9/15/1967	9/15/1968
January 12, 2017	70		Haynie Products	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	4/28/1969	4/28/1970
January 12, 2017	71		Haynie Products	Reedville	VA	USA	HW	2/4/1969	2/4/1970
January 12, 2017	72		Heat Treating Services Corporation of America	Pontiac	MI	USA	HW	2/18/1977	2/18/1978
January 12, 2017	73		Hecla Mining Company	Casa Grande	AZ	USA	HW	4/15/1975	4/15/1976
July 15, 2017	74		Heideman Engineering Company	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	12/5/1967	5/29/1970
January 12, 2017	75		Hercules Cement Company	Stockertown	PA	USA	HW	2/10/1967	2/10/1968
January 12, 2017			Hercules Cement Company	Stockertown	PA	USA	HW	2/2/1979	6/19/1980
January 12, 2017	76		Hibbing Taconite Company	Hibbing	MN	USA	HW		12/14/1980
January 12, 2017	77		Hipp's Welding, Inc.	Palo Alto	CA	USA	HW	5/31/1967	5/31/1968
January 12, 2017	78		Holstein Engineering Co.	Roseville	MI	USA	HW	3/8/1968	3/8/1969
April 13, 2017	79		Hook & Ladder House No. 5-Detroit Fire Dept. Repair Shop	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	2/28/1969	2/29/1970
January 12, 2017	80		Ideal Cement Company	Tijeras	NM	USA	HW	9/2/1976	9/2/1977
January 12, 2017	81		Ideal Cement Company	Trident	MT	USA	HW	6/4/1980	6/4/1981
January 12, 2017	82	1000828	Ideal Cement Company	Seattle	WA	USA	HW	10/26/1976	6/17/1981

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc EXHIBIT Page 4 of 11 2017 Site List Additions

Effective	Item	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
January 12, 2017	83	1000974	Ideal Cement Company	Houston	ΤX	USA	HW	9/26/1969	9/26/1970
January 12, 2017	03	1000974	Ideal Cement Company	Houston	TX	USA	HW	12/2/1972	1/31/1977
January 12, 2017	84	1000829	Industrial Castings	Cicero	IL	USA	HW	5/22/1969	5/22/1970
July 15, 2017	85	35010557	Industrial Furnace Company	Livonia	MI	USA	HW	11/6/1969	11/6/1970
July 15, 2017	86	35010558	Intercommunity Hospital	Newfane	NY	USA	HW	8/7/1967	8/7/1968
January 12, 2017	87		International Paper Co.	Somerville	MA	USA	HW	7/7/1967	7/7/1968
January 12, 2017	88		J.F. McElwain Shoe Company-Grove Street Factory	Manchester	NH	USA	HW	8/28/1967	8/28/1968
January 12, 2017	89		J.P. Stevens & Company, Inc.	Tilton	NH	USA	HW	6/21/1967	6/21/1968
April 13, 2017	90	1000989	Jim Robbins Seatbelt Co.	Mount Clemens	MI	USA	HW	12/20/1966	12/20/1967
January 12, 2017	91		Jim Walter Resources, Inc.	Birmingham	AL	USA	HW	5/12/1976	5/12/1977
•	51	1000832	Jim Walter Resources, Inc.	Birmingham	AL	USA	HW	12/4/1978	12/4/1979
January 12, 2017	92		John Deere Company	Waterloo	IA	USA	HW	11/1/1977	11/1/1978
January 12, 2017	93	1000834	John Scott Designs	Costa Mesa	CA	USA	HW	5/12/1969	5/12/1970
January 12, 2017	94	1000838	Johns Boiler Service	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	10/23/1968	10/23/1969
January 12, 2017	95		Jones & Laughlin Steel Company (N/K/A LTV Steel)	Aliquippa	PA	USA	HW		12/12/1968
•			Jones & Laughlin Steel Company (N/K/A LTV Steel)	Aliquippa	PA	USA	HW	6/9/1972	6/9/1973
January 12, 2017	96		Jones Tug & Barge Co	Long Beach	CA	USA	HW	11/26/1968	
January 12, 2017	97		Judson Steel Corporation	Emeryville	CA	USA	HW	11/19/1976	
January 12, 2017	98	1000843	Jules Frezzo Oil Service	Union City	NJ	USA	HW	5/5/1969	5/5/1970
January 12, 2017	99		Kaiser Cement & Gypsum Corp.	Montana City	MT	USA	HW		12/31/1982
January 12, 2017	100		Kearny Smelting & Refining Company	Kearny	NJ	USA	HW	11/8/1968	12/5/1970
•			Kearny Smelting & Refining Company	Kearny	NJ	USA	HW		10/20/1978
January 12, 2017	101		Kennecott Copper Company	Hayden	AZ	USA	HW		11/27/1975
January 12, 2017	102		Kennemetal, Inc.	Fallon	NV	USA	HW	5/7/1975	5/7/1976
January 12, 2017	103		Ketcham Brothers	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	6/9/1969	6/9/1970
January 12, 2017	104		Koppers Company, Inc.	Follansbee	WV	USA	HW	8/13/1968	8/13/1969
January 12, 2017	105		Koppers Company, Inc.	Bridgeville	PA	USA	HW	12/16/1966	12/16/1967
January 12, 2017	106		Koppers Company, Inc Boiler Room	Kearny	NJ	USA	HW		11/21/1973
January 12, 2017	107		Korhumel Steel & Aluminum Company-Mill Strip Division	New Castle	PA	USA	HW	11/25/1966	2/28/1969
July 15, 2017	108		Lasell Junior College - New Dorm	Newton	MA	USA	HW	8/3/1967	8/3/1968
January 12, 2017	109		Lehigh Portland Cement Company	Miami	FL	USA	HW	1/21/1969	1/21/1970
_	100		Lehigh Portland Cement Company	Miami	FL	USA	HW	3/26/1976	3/26/1977
January 12, 2017	110		Lehigh Portland Cement Company	Woodsboro	MD	USA	HW	7/9/1974	7/9/1975
January 12, 2017	111		Lehigh Portland Cement Company	Metaline Falls	WA	USA	HW	11/27/1974	5/3/1976
January 12, 2017	112	1000872	Lehigh Portland Cement Company - Alsen Plant	Catskill	NY	USA	HW	9/27/1974	9/27/1975
January 12, 2017	113		Lemay Sewage Treatment Plant	St. Louis	MO	USA	HW	5/29/1967	5/29/1968
January 12, 2017	114	1000851	Lissner Iron & Metals Co.	Chicago	IL	USA	HW	1/3/1968	1/3/1969
January 12, 2017	115		Lone Star Industries, Inc.	Cloverdale	VA	USA	HW	8/23/1978	8/23/1979
January 12, 2017	116		Lone Star Industries, Inc.	Seattle	WA	USA	HW	6/4/1979	6/4/1980
January 12, 2017	117	1000876	Lones Star Florida Incorporated	Miami	FL	USA	HW	6/28/1978	6/28/1979
January 12, 2017	118		Longview Lime	Saginaw	AL	USA	HW	1/13/1978	1/13/1979
January 12, 2017	119	1000878	Louisiana Cement Co.	New Orleans	LA	USA	HW	4/18/1975	3/5/1977
January 12, 2017	120		Lund Products AKA Rexnord Knife Division	Maynard	MA	USA	HW	7/10/1967	7/19/1968
January 12, 2017	121	1000853	Lundberg Screw Products Company	Lansing	MI	USA	HW	7/26/1967	7/26/1968

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc EXHIBIT Page 5 of 11 2017 Site List Additions

Effective	Item	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
January 12, 2017	122		MacMillan Bloedel United, Inc.	Pine Hill	AL	USA	HW	10/21/1977	10/21/1978
April 13, 2017	123		Magma Copper Company	San Manuel	AZ	USA	HW	12/2/1977	2/13/1980
January 12, 2017	124		Malden Mills	Lawrence	MA	USA	HW	6/1/1967	6/1/1968
April 13, 2017	125	1000981	Manganese Steel Forge Co.	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	9/22/1967	9/22/1968
October 16, 2017	126		Marathon Paper Mills of Canada Ltd.	Marathon	Ontario	Canada	HW	10/5/1966	10/5/1967
January 12, 2017	127		Marblehead Lime Company Marblehead Lime Company	Quincy		USA	HW HW	11/12/1964 2/2/1967	11/12/1965 2/10/1968
January 12, 2017	128		Marblehead Lime Company Marblehead Lime Company	Quincy Gary	IL IN	USA USA	HW	2/2/1967 2/18/1972	2/10/1968
January 12, 2017	120		Marblehead Lime Company	Gary	IN	USA	HW	6/1/1979	6/1/1973
January 12, 2017	130	1000900	Mariners Company, Inc.	,	NJ	USA	HW	12/13/1969	
		1000981	Martin Marietta Cement Company	Edgewater	ME		HW	10/28/1978	2/8/1980
January 12, 2017	131			Thomaston		USA			
July 15, 2017	132		Maryland Shipbuilding & Drydock Company	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	10/30/1974	
July 15, 2017	133		Maryland Training School for Boys	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	4/3/1969	4/3/1970
January 12, 2017	134		Meredith & Grew - Old Elliot Factory Building	Cambridge	MA	USA	HW	8/24/1967	8/24/1968
January 12, 2017	135		Messinger Bearings, Inc.	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	3/10/1969	3/10/1970
January 12, 2017	136	1000879	Metallurgical Processing Company	Warren	MI	USA	HW	1/10/1967	3/3/1970
January 12, 2017	137	1000901	Midwest Steel Fabricators Incorporated	Indianapolis	IN	USA	HW	8/5/1969	8/5/1970
July 15, 2017	138	35010562	Miller & Company, Inc.	Selma	AL	USA	HW	8/21/1967	8/21/1968
January 12, 2017	139	1000861	Miller Printing Machinery Company	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	6/7/1967	6/7/1968
January 12, 2017	140	1000881	Mobay Chemical Company	New Martinsville	WV	USA	HW	8/21/1967	8/21/1968
January 12, 2017	141	1000862	Modoc Lumber Co.	Bly	OR	USA	HW	8/27/1969	8/27/1970
January 12, 2017	142	1000907	Monsanto Company	Everett	MA	USA	HW	6/25/1969	6/25/1970
January 12, 2017	143	1000933	Motor City Metal Treating Co.	Ecorse	MI	USA	HW	10/25/1968	10/25/1969
January 12, 2017	144	1000932	Motor Wheel Corporation	Ypsilanti	MI	USA	HW	3/3/1982	12/31/1982
January 12, 2017	145	1000863	Mountaineer Coal Company - Robinson Run Mine #95	Shinnston	WV	USA	HW	8/21/1968	8/21/1969
January 12, 2017	146	1000896	Mt. Auburn Hospital	Cambridge	MA	USA	HW	12/3/1969	12/3/1970
January 12, 2017	147	1000864	N.V.F. Co.	Wilmington	DE	USA	HW	9/16/1969	9/16/1970
April 13, 2017	148	1000982	N.V.F. Company	Yorklyn	DE	USA	HW	7/19/1967	7/19/1968
January 12, 2017	149	1000865	Nassau Recycle Corporation	Gaston	SC	USA	HW	1/1/1982	12/31/1982
January 12, 2017	150	1000912	National Cement Company	Ragland	AL	USA	HW	5/28/1975	5/28/1976
July 15, 2017	151	35010565	National Gypsum Company	Portsmouth	NH	USA	HW	7/10/1967	7/10/1968
1	450	1000953	National Polychemical, Inc.	Wilmington	MA	USA	HW	10/31/1067	10/31/1968
January 12, 2017	152	1000953	National Polychemical, Inc.	Wilmington	MA	USA	HW	12/1/1969	12/1/1970
January 12, 2017	153	1000913	National Portland Cement	Bethlehem	PA	USA	HW	10/5/1972	10/5/1973
July 15, 2017	154	35010566	National Steel Pellet Plant	Keewatin	MN	USA	HW	2/19/1976	2/19/1977
January 12, 2017	155		New Jersey Zinc Company - West Plant Slab Z	Palmerton	PA	USA	HW	6/26/1970	3/31/1972
January 12, 2017	156	1000914	Nicolet Industries	Norristown	PA	USA	HW	8/2/1967	8/2/1968
July 15, 2017	157	35010567	Norris Apartments	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	9/5/1967	9/5/1968

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc EXHIBIT Page 6 of 11 2017 Site List Additions

Effective	Item	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
January 12, 2017	158	1000866	North East Packing Co.	Somerville	MA	USA	HW	11/24/1969	
January 12, 2017	159	1000899	Northside Cooperage Company	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	11/18/1969	11/18/1970
January 12, 2017	160	1000934	Nucor Steel Corporation	Jewett	ТΧ	USA	HW	4/7/1976	10/19/1977
January 12, 2017	161	1000935	Nucor Steel Corporation	Jewett	ТΧ	USA	HW	1/11/1979	8/1/1980
January 12, 2017	162	1000936	O'Connor Engineering Laboratories	Irwindale	CA	USA	HW	8/13/1969	8/13/1970
April 13, 2017	163	1000988	Old Crow Distillery	Frankfort	KY	USA	HW	7/28/1967	7/28/1968
			Olin Corporation	Lake Charles	LA	USA	HW	5/12/1969	5/12/1970
January 12, 2017	164		Olin Corporation	Lake Charles	LA	USA	HW	1/20/1972	1/20/1973
January 12, 2017	165		Olin-Mathieson Chemical Company	Pasadena	ТХ	USA	HW	8/21/1969	8/21/1970
July 15, 2017	166		Omni-Metal Castings, Inc.	Brooklyn	NY	USA	HW	8/9/1968	8/9/1969
January 12, 2017	167		Oregon Portland Cement Company	Lime	OR	USA	HW		12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	168		Orion Hunter	Maritime	MM	USA	HW	11/11/1964	
July 15, 2017	169		Osborn Memorial Home	Rye	NY	USA	HW	8/7/1968	8/7/1969
January 12, 2017	170		Otto Milk Company	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	7/1/1968	7/1/1969
January 12, 2017	171	1000917	Owens-Illinois Glass Company	Bridgeton	NJ	USA	HW	9/29/1969	9/29/1970
January 12, 2017	172		P.H.B. Die Castings	Beaufort	SC	USA	HW	8/1/1980	8/1/1981
January 12, 2017	173		P.K.A. Foundry Incorporated	Milan	MI	USA	HW	1/1/1980	12/31/1981
January 12, 2017	174	1000883	Paccar Defense Systems	Renton	WA	USA	HW	3/29/1979	3/29/1980
January 12, 2017	175	1000918	Pacific Western Industries	Lebec	CA	USA	HW	11/4/1969	11/4/1970
January 12, 2017	176	1000919	Pennsylvannia Electric Company - Seward Station	Seward	PA	USA	HW	11/24/1964	11/24/1965
January 12, 2017	177	1000920	Perfection Heat Treating Company	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	4/4/1967	4/4/1968
January 12, 2017	178		Peterson Air Force Base - Officers Quarters	Colorado Springs	CO	USA	HW	9/18/1967	9/18/1968
July 15, 2017	179		Polaroid Corporation-Osborn Street Laboratory	Cambridge	MA	USA	HW	8/22/1967	12/4/1968
January 12, 2017	180		Porter-George Company	Detroit	MA	USA	HW	10/2/1967	10/2/1968
January 12, 2017	181	1000922	Portland Cement Co.	Salt Lake City	UT	USA	HW	6/25/1979	6/25/1980
January 12, 2017	182		PPG Industries, Inc.	Harmar Township	PA	USA	HW	7/24/1968	9/26/1970
April 13, 2017	183		PPG Industries, Inc.	Barberton	ОН	USA	HW	1/30/1974	7/18/1976
July 15, 2017	184		Precision Steel Treating Company	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	6/9/1969	6/9/1970
January 12, 2017	185	1000909	Proctor & Gamble Mfg. Co.	Kansas City	KS	USA	HW	7/31/1969	7/31/1970
July 15, 2017	186		Raymond Rosen Apartments	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	9/26/1967	9/26/1968
January 12, 2017	187		Reynolds Metals Company	Bellwood	VA	USA	HW	1/14/1960	1/14/1961
January 12, 2017	188 189		Reynolds Metals Company Reynolds Metals Company	Bellwood	VA	USA	HW HW	2/27/1967	2/27/1968
January 12, 2017 January 12, 2017	189		Reynolds Metals Company - Hurricane Creek Plant	Phoenix Bauxite	AZ AR	USA USA	HW	9/23/1969 11/23/1964	9/23/1970 11/23/1965
January 12, 2017	191		Reynolds Metals Company - Hurricane Creek Plant	Bauxite	AR	USA	HW	4/20/1977	4/20/1978
January 12, 2017	192		RJ Reynolds Tobacco Company	Winston-Salem	NC	USA	HW	9/25/1969	9/25/1970
July 15, 2017	193	35010574	Rockwell Standard Corporation	Heath	ОН	USA	HW	12/27/1966	12/27/1967
January 12, 2017	194		Rocky Mountain Metals, Inc.	Colorado Springs	CO	USA	HW	9/13/1967	9/13/1968
January 12, 2017	195	1000928	Rogers Olympic Corp.	Seattle	WA	USA	HW	8/18/1980	8/18/1981

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc EXHIBIT Page 7 of 11 2017 Site List Additions

Effective	ltem	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
January 12, 2017	196	1000937	Rosedale Foundry & Machine Company	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	11/3/1967	11/3/1968
July 15, 2017	197		S & S Supply Company	Fontana	CA	USA	HW	9/5/1967	9/5/1968
January 12, 2017	198		S.S Steel Designer	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	11/6/1969	11/7/1970
July 15, 2017	199		S.S. Mormacoak	Maritime	MM	USA	HW	10/16/1967	2/27/1970
July 15, 2017	200		S.S. Mormacrio	Maritime	MM	USA	HW	5/9/1969	5/9/1970
January 12, 2017	201	1000897	S.S. S.T. Marathon	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	3/22/1969	3/22/1970
January 12, 2017	202	1000813	S.S. Steel Age	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	8/9/1968	8/9/1969
January 12, 2017	203	1000815	S.S. Steel Fabricator	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	10/18/1968	10/18/1969
July 15, 2017	204		S.S. Steel Maker	Maritime	MM	USA	HW	4/11/1969	4/11/1970
January 12, 2017	205		S.S. Steel Rover	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW		11/18/1970
January 12, 2017	206		S.S. Steel Scientist	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	2/25/1969	2/25/1970
January 12, 2017	207		S.S. Steel Voyager	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	3/18/1969	3/18/1970
January 12, 2017	208		Sargent Industries, Inc.	Huntington Park	CA	USA	HW	8/22/1967	8/22/1968
January 12, 2017	209		Scott Glass Company	Pocola	OK	USA	HW	9/8/1975	9/8/1976
January 12, 2017	210		Scottdale Machine Foundry & Construction Company	Scottdale	PA	USA	HW		11/10/1970
July 15, 2017	211		Shop-Rite Supermarket - Albany Avenue	Brooklyn	NY	USA	HW	9/17/1968	9/17/1969
January 12, 2017	212		Simpson Timber Company	Korbel	CA	USA	HW	6/27/1967	6/27/1968
January 12, 2017	213		Smith Forge Company	Gardena	CA	USA	HW		10/28/1968
January 12, 2017	214		Southern Cement Company Division of Martin Marietta	Calera	AL	USA	HW	1/28/1969	1/29/1970
January 12, 2017	215		Southwest Welding & Manufacturing	Alhambra	CA	USA	HW	9/20/1967	9/20/1968
January 12, 2017	216		Specialty Steel Treating Company	Warren	MI	USA	HW		10/22/1970
July 15, 2017	217		St. Joseph's Roman Catholic Church	West Milford	NJ	USA	HW		10/18/1969
January 12, 2017	218		St. Regis Paper Company	Monticello	MS	USA	HW		12/27/1978
January 12, 2017	219		St. Regis Paper Company	Cantonment	FL	USA	HW	5/1/1974	2/4/1976
			St. Regis Paper Company	Cantonment	FL	USA	HW	7/7/1977	8/24/1979
July 15, 2017	220		St. Rose of Lima Church	Newark	NJ	USA	HW	9/9/1968	9/9/1969
January 12, 2017	221		Standard Line & Refractories - Div. of Martin Marietta Corporation	Manistee	MI	USA	HW	6/11/1969	6/11/1970
January 12, 2017	222		Standard Steel Corp.	Los Angeles	CA	USA	HW	10/27/1967	10/27/1968
January 12, 2017	223		Standard Steel Treating Company	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	9/25/1967	10/14/1970
January 12, 2017	224	1000887	Standard Tube Company	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	1/18/1968	1/18/1969
January 12, 2017	225			Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	12/8/1969	12/8/1970
January 12, 2017	226	1000951	Steamer Charles M. Beeghly	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	1/25/1968	1/25/1969
July 15, 2017	227		Stephen Gould Paper	Bayone	NJ	USA	HW	4/8/1969	4/8/1970
January 12, 2017	228			Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	8/15/1967	8/15/1968
January 12, 2017	229	1000889	Stirling Sintering Company	South Heights	PA	USA	HW	2/7/1969	2/7/1970
January 12, 2017	230		Strick Furnace Repair, Incorporated	Center Line	MI	USA	HW	2/4/1969	2/4/1970
January 12, 2017	231	1000891	Sun Steel Treating Company	Farmington	MI	USA	HW	5/24/1968	5/24/1969
January 12, 2017	232		Superior Boiler & Equipment Company	Ardmore	PA	USA	HW	2/28/1968	2/28/1969
July 15, 2017	233		Surplus Machine and Equipment Co.	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	2/20/1968	2/20/1969
July 15, 2017	234		Sutton Place Apartments	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	2/18/1969	2/25/1970
January 12, 2017	235		Teledyne Vasco	Monaca	PA	USA	HW	12/4/1968	4/29/1970
July 15, 2017	236		Tennessee Electro Minerals	Greeneville	TN	USA	HW		11/20/1965
January 12, 2017	237		The Alloy Cast Steel Company	Marion	OH	USA	HW	7/13/1967	7/13/1968
January 12, 2017	238	1000869	The Anaconda Company	Anaconda	MT	USA	HW	4/28/1975	12/31/1977

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc EXHIBIT Page 8 of 11 2017 Site List Additions

Effective	Item	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
January 12, 2017	239		The Federal Glass Co. Division of Federal Paper Board Co., Inc.	Columbus	ОН	USA	HW	8/16/1967	9/1/1968
January 12, 2017	240	1000870	The Marblecliff Quarries Company	Lewisburg	ОН	USA	HW	9/20/1967	9/20/1968
January 12, 2017	241	1000911	The O. Hommel Company	Carnegie	PA	USA	HW	2/21/1967	1/3/1969
January 12, 2017	242	1000970	Thermatomic Carbon Company	Sterlington	LA	USA	HW	11/1/1966	11/1/1967
January 12, 2017	243		Titanium Alloy Manufacturing - Division of National Lead Co.	Niagara Falls	NY	USA	HW	5/5/1967	5/5/1968
January 12, 2017	244		Trialco Inc.	Chicago Heights	IL	USA	HW	4/23/1975	1/19/1977
January 12, 2017	245		U.S. Mint	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	7/24/1967	7/24/1968
July 15, 2017	246	35010586	U.S.S. Caloosahatchee (AO-98)	Maritime	MM	USA	HW	10/30/1968	10/30/1969
January 12, 2017	247	1000945	U.S.S. John R. Pierce	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	1/9/1969	1/28/1970
January 12, 2017	248		U.S.S. Ponce	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW		10/17/1969
January 12, 2017	249		U.S.S. Potomac	Maritime	Maritime	Maritime	HW	9/21/1968	9/21/1969
January 12, 2017	250		Union Carbide Corporation	Robinson	IL	USA	HW	3/10/1978	3/10/1979
July 15, 2017	251		United Fuel Gas Company	Kenova	WV	USA	HW	5/1/1967	5/1/1968
January 12, 2017	252		United States Steel Corporation	McKees Rocks	PA	USA	HW	5/7/1968	5/7/1969
April 13, 2017	253		United-Carr Fasteners Corp	Cambridge	MA	USA	HW	5/5/1967	5/5/1968
January 12, 2017	254		Universal Atlas Cement Co Division of U.S. Steel	Gary	IN	USA	HW	6/20/1974	3/31/1981
January 12, 2017	255		Universal Cyclops Steel Corporation-Pittsburgh Works	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	10/30/1967	10/30/1968
July 15, 2017	256		University of Missouri at Rolla - Fulton Hall	Rolla	MO	USA	HW	5/28/1969	5/28/1970
January 12, 2017	257		Valley Nitrogen Producers, Inc.	El Centro	CA	USA	HW	8/19/1967	8/19/1968
January 12, 2017	258		Vanadium Corporation of America	Steubenville	OH	USA	HW	6/19/1967	6/19/1968
July 15, 2017	259		W.A. McKinnon Company	Highland Park	MI	USA	HW	8/10/1967	8/10/1968
January 12, 2017	260		Wakefield Bearing Company	Wakefield	MA	USA	HW	11/17/1969	
January 12, 2017	261		Warner Company	Bellefonte	PA	USA	HW	9/25/1969	9/25/1970
January 12, 2017	262	1000782	Washington Mold Machine & Foundry Company	Washington	PA	USA	HW	11/10/1967	11/10/1968
January 12, 2017	263		Westinghouse Electric Corp - Laboratory	East Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HW	8/26/1955	7/5/1957
January 12, 2017	264		Westinghouse Electric Corp - Linhart Works	Turtle Creek	PA	USA	HW	3/17/1965	3/17/1966
January 12, 2017	265		Westinghouse Electric Corp - Power House #1	Lester	PA	USA	HW	10/14/1969	10/14/1970
January 12, 2017	266		Westinghouse Electric Corporation	Blairsville	PA	USA	HW	3/29/1967	3/29/1968
July 15, 2017	267	35010590	Weston Jr. High School	Weston	MA	USA	HW	5/1/1969	5/1/1970
January 12, 2017	268		Wheelabrator Saugus	Saugus	MA	USA	HW	4/11/1974	11/17/1976
January 12, 2017	269		Wheeling-Pittsburg Steel Corporation	Allenport	PA	USA	HW	12/2/1969	12/2/1970
January 12, 2017	270	1000956	Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corporation	Monessen	PA	USA	HW	9/3/1973	9/3/1974
January 12, 2017	271		Wilson Park Apartments	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	9/15/1967	9/15/1968
July 15, 2017	272		Wilson Park Apartments	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	9/15/1967	9/15/1968
January 12, 2017	273		Wire Processing, Inc.	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	6/21/1967	6/21/1968
January 12, 2017	274		Wolverine Boat Co.	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	12/5/1967	12/5/1968
January 12, 2017	275	1000778	Woodward Iron Company - By-Products Works	Woodward	AL	USA	HW	7/28/1969	7/28/1970

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit F Page 9 of 11 2017 Site List Updates

Effective	Item	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
July 15, 2017	1	3100017	Abbott Laboratories, Inc.	North Chicago	IL	USA	HAL	1/1/1977	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	2		Aberdeen Proving Grounds – Edgewood Chemical Activity	Aberdeen	MD	USA	HAL	12/1/1946	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	3		American Electric Power- Mountaineer Power Plant	New Haven	WV	USA	HAL	1/1/1978	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	4		American Sugar Refining Co.	Brooklyn	NY	USA	HAL	1/1/1933	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	5		Appal Ohio Power Co. – Philip Sporn Plant	New Haven	WV	USA	HAL	1/1/1947	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	6		Borden Chemical Company – Phosphoric Acid Plant	Palmetto	FL	USA	HAL	1/1/1974	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	7		Buckeye Brewing Co.	Toledo	ОH	USA	HAL	2/1/1945	12/31/1974
July 15, 2017	8		Bureau of Standards – Hydraulic Laboratory	Washington DC		USA	HAL	1/1/1931	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	9		Burns & Roe, Inc. – N-Reactor: Hanford Plant 2	Richland	WA	USA	HAL	1/1/1977	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	10		Champion International Corp.	Bucksport	ME	USA	HAL	1/1/1973	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	11		Columbia Southern Chemical Company	Barberton	ОН	USA	HAL	1/1/1935	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	12		Combustion Engineering - Prospect Hill Rd.	Windsor	СТ	USA	HAL	1/1/1977	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	13		Crown Zellerbach Corporation	Bogalusa	LA	USA	HAL	1/1/1936	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	14		Esso Standard Oil Company	Bayway	NJ	USA	HAL	1/1/1939	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	15		Exxon Company USA	Linden	NJ	USA	HAL	1/1/1939	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	16	3101813	Formica Corporation – Evendale Plant	Evendale	ОН	USA	HAL	5/1/1958	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	17		General Motors Corporation – Distribution Division	Columbus	ОН	USA	HAL	1/1/1975	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	18	3102377	ITT Rayonier, Inc.	Jesup	GA	USA	HAL	1/1/1952	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	19		Mobil Oil Company – Refinery	Beaumont	ТΧ	USA	HAL	1/1/1966	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	20		Mobil Oil Corporation	Paulsboro	NJ	USA	HAL	1/1/1934	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	21	3103010	Mobil Oil Corporation	Sauget	IL	USA	HAL	1/1/1966	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	22		New Orleans Sewage & Water Board –Carrollton Water Treatment Plant	New Orleans	LA	USA	HAL	1/1/1982	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	23		New Wales Chemicals, Inc. – Phosphate Complex	Mulberry	FL	USA	HAL	1/1/1980	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	24	3103320	Olinkraft, Inc.	West Monroe	LA	USA	HAL	1/1/1936	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	25	3103339	Owosso Manufacturing Co.	Benton	AR	USA	HAL	1/1/1946	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	26	1000499	Pennsylvania Power - Bruce Mansfield Plant	Shippingport	PA	USA	HAL	1/1/1977	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	27	3103460	Pennzoil Company	Rouseville	PA	USA	HAL	9/15/1938	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	28	1000549	Philadelphia Elec. Co Peach Bottom Power Station Unit 1	Delta	PA	USA	HAL	1/1/1967	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	29		Port of New Orleans – Inner Harbor Navigational Canal	New Orleans	LA	USA	HAL	1/1/1919	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	30	3103775	Rockland Light & Power – Lovett Generating Station	Tomkins Cove	NY	USA	HAL	1/1/1947	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	31	3103903	Shell Oil Company	Deer Park	ТΧ	USA	HAL	1/1/1936	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	32	3103918	Shell Oil Company - Gas Plant	Sheridan	ТΧ	USA	HAL	1/1/1952	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	33		Shell Petroleum Company	East Chicago	IN	USA	HAL	1/1/1936	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	34		Southwestern Gas & Electric Company – Arsenal Hill Plant	Shreveport	LA	USA	HAL	1/1/1934	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	35	3104032	Southwestern Gas & Electric Company – Lieberman Plant	Mooringsport	LA	USA	HAL	1/1/1945	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	36		Spreckels Sugar Co.	Woodland	CA	USA	HAL	1/1/1937	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	37	3104096	St. Joseph Power & Light- Lake Road Power Plant	St. Joseph	MO	USA	HAL	1/1/1950	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	38		Tennessee Eastman Company – Eastman Rd.	Kingsport	ΤN	USA	HAL	4/30/1946	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	39	3104286	Tennessee Valley Authority - Headquarters	Knoxville	ΤN	USA	HAL	2/21/1940	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	40	3104316	Texas Eastman Co.	Longview	ТΧ	USA	HAL	1/1/1951	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	41	35010600	U.S. Navy Bureau Yards & Docks	Wilmington	NC	USA	HAL	1/1/1943	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	42	3104564	U.S. Rubber Company	Los Angeles	CA	USA	HAL	10/24/1941	3/31/1978
July 15, 2017	43	3104828	Washington Public Power Support Systems – Hanford	Richland	WA	USA	HAL	1/1/1974	12/31/1982
July 15, 2017	44		Westvaco Corp. – Chemical Plant	North Charleston	SC	USA	HAL	1/1/1977	12/31/1982

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit P Page 10 of 11 2017 Site List Updates

Effective	Item	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
October 16, 2017	45	3104933	Wheeling Steel Corporation	Follansbee	WV	USA	HAL	1/1/1947	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	46	3104947	Whiting Corporation (American Cyanamid)	Bound Brook	NJ	USA	HAL	2/1/1934	12/31/1982
October 16, 2017	47	3104971	Wisconsin Power & Light Company – Blackhawk Plant	Beloit	WI	USA	HAL	4/1/1945	12/31/1982
January 12, 2017	1	3100035	Aerodyne Investment Castings	Tampa	FL	USA	HW	12/14/1977	8/30/1979
January 12, 2017	2	3100126	Alpha Portland Cement Company	Lime Kiln	FL	USA	HW	3/29/1977	2/28/1980
July 15, 2017	3	3100328	Ash Grove Cement Co.	Chanute	KS	USA	HW	11/3/1975	7/13/1979
July 13, 2017	5	3100328	Ash Grove Cement Co.	Chanute	KS	USA	HW	1/1/1981	1/1/1982
		3100453	Bethlehem Steel Corporation - Key Highway Shipyard	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	8/30/1965	9/3/1966
October 16, 2017	4		Bethlehem Steel Corporation - Key Highway Shipyard	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	9/25/1968	9/25/1969
			Bethlehem Steel Corporation - Key Highway Shipyard	Baltimore	MD	USA	HW	12/10/1971	10/17/1974
January 12, 2017	5	3100663	California Portland Cement Co.	Mojave	CA	USA	HW	11/5/1976	6/27/1980
January 12, 2017	6	1000714	Clow Corporation - New Foundry	Oskaloosa	IA	USA	HW	6/23/1976	4/17/1979
October 16, 2017	7	1000902	Dow Chemical Co Plant A	Freeport	ΤX	USA	HW	12/21/1967	8/12/1970
January 12, 2017	8		Empire Detroit Steel Division, Cyclops Corporation	Portsmouth	OH	USA	HW	5/15/1969	8/6/1970
January 12, 2017	0		Empire Detroit Steel Division, Cyclops Corporation	Portsmouth	OH	USA	HW	11/17/1971	1/1/1978
January 12, 2017	9		Florida Steel Corporation	Baldwin	FL	USA	HW	5/15/1976	2/12/1980
January 12, 2017	10	3101792	Florida Steel Corporation	Indiantown	FL	USA	HW	11/5/1971	1/10/1980
January 12, 2017	11	3101793	Florida Steel Corporation	Tampa	FL	USA	HW	6/6/1969	6/6/1970
January 12, 2017	11		Florida Steel Corporation	Tampa	FL	USA	HW	12/8/1972	2/9/1980
January 12, 2017	12	1000467	Franklin Aluminum Co., Inc.	Franklin	GA	USA	HW	7/28/1976	9/25/1979
January 12, 2017	13		Hayes-Albion Corporation	Albion	MI	USA	HW	12/17/1968	4/16/1970
January 12, 2017	14		Marquette Cement Manufacturing Co Div. of Marquette Co.	Rockmart	GA	USA	HW	11/9/1964	11/9/1965
			Marquette Cement Manufacturing Co Div. of Marquette Co.	Rockmart	GA	USA	HW	4/13/1977	4/13/1978
January 12, 2017	15		Marquette Cement Mfg. Co.	Oglesby	IL	USA	HW	6/29/1977	4/13/1978
January 12, 2017	16		Nucor Corporation	Norfolk	NE	USA	HW	11/1/1974	5/23/1980
January 12, 2017	17		Nucor Corporation	Darlington	SC	USA	HW	8/28/1969	8/28/1970
January 12, 2017	17	3103238	Nucor Corporation	Darlington	SC	USA	HW	8/13/1974	5/14/1980
January 12, 2017	18		Phoenix Cement Company	Clarkdale	AZ	USA	HW	7/29/1976	6/12/1980
January 12, 2017	19		S.S. Atlantic Baroness	Maritime	MM	USA	HW	6/5/1969	6/20/1970
January 12, 2017	20		Scott-Glenn Co., Inc.	Birmingham	AL	USA	HW	11/16/1978	2/6/1980
January 12, 2017	21		Tombigbee LT WT Aggregate Co.	Livingston	AL	USA	HW	12/27/1977	5/24/1979
January 12, 2017	22	1000656	U.S.S. Hank	Maritime	MM	USA	HW	2/9/1967	2/28/1968
July 15, 2017	23	3104671	United States Steel Corporation	Dravosburg	PA	USA	HW	4/29/1969	4/29/1970

#### Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-6 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit Pesipade 1 of 11 2017 Site List Removals

Effective	Item	SiteCode	SiteName	City	State	County	Entity	Begin Date	End Date
July 15, 2017	1	3100075	Alcoa Mining Co.	Bauxite	AR	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1947</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	2	35010299		Richland	WA	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1952</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	3	3100367	B & O	Chillicothe	OH	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1951</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	4	3100504	Boeing Airplane Company	Seattle	WA	USA	HAL	<del>6/1/1949</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	5	3101738	Federal Paper Board Co., Inc.	Riegelwood	NC	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1981</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	6	3102145	Higgins Industries	New Orleans	LA	USA	HAL	<del>1/19/1945</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	7	3102321	Inland Steel Company	East Chicago	IN	USA	HAL	<del>5/1/1958</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	8	3102981	Minneapolis-Honeywell Regulator Co.	Minneapolis	MN	USA	HAL	<del>6/1/1942</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	9	3102986	Minnesota Power & Light	Duluth	MN	USA	HAL	<del>3/1/1951</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	10	3103006		E. St. Louis	IL	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1966</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	11	3103011		St. Louis	IL	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1966</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	12			St. Louis	MO	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1947</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	13	35010183	Niagara Falls	Niagara Falls	NY	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1966</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	14	3103365	P. Kiewit & Sons	Edwards Air Force Base	CA	USA	HAL	<del>6/1/1956</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	15	3103916	Shell Oil Company	Odessa	ΤX	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1945</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	16	3104095	St. Joseph Light & Power	St. Joseph	MO	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1977</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	17		Texaco Inc. Refinery	Houston	ΤX	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1977</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	18		U.S. Air Force	South Suzanna	CA	USA	HAL	4/1/1955	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	19	3104576	U.S. Steel Corporation	Pittsburgh	PA	USA	HAL	<del>5/1/1958</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	20		Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel	Foolansbee	WV	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1947</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
October 16, 2017	21		Wyatt Metal Boiler Works	Port Isabel	ΤX	USA	HAL	<del>1/1/1935</del>	<del>12/31/1982</del>
July 15, 2017	1	3100328	Ash Grove Cement Co.	Chanute	KS	USA	HW	<del>11/10/1977</del>	<del>7/13/1979</del>
April 13, 2017	2		City of Detroit - Dept. of Public Works	Detroit	MI	USA	HW	<del>2/28/1969</del>	<del>2/28/1970</del>
April 13, 2017	3		City of Philadelphia - Dept. of Streets Sanitation	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	<del>9/26/1968</del>	<del>9/26/1969</del>
January 12, 2017	4		Commercial Steel Treating Company	Madison Heights	MI	USA	HW	<del>3/13/1969</del>	<del>3/13/1970</del>
January 12, 2017	5	3102224	HV Charles Company	Philadelphia	PA	USA	HW	<del>12/9/1966</del>	<del>9/22/1968</del>
January 12, 2017	6		Kennecott Minerals Company	Hayden	AZ	USA	HW	<del>1/1/1974</del>	<del>1/1/1975</del>
July 15, 2017	7			Columbus	OH	USA	HW	<del>1/2/1968</del>	<del>1/2/1969</del>
January 12, 2017	8	3104843	Weir Cove Moving & Storage Co.	Weirton	WV	USA	HW	<del>8/13/1968</del>	<del>8/13/1969</del>

Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-7 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit G Page 1 of 6

# Exhibit G

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-7 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit G Page 2 of 6

<u>Claimant Name</u>	Distribution Amount	<u>Date Distribution</u> <u>Was Returned</u> <u>to the Trust</u>
Edward Harrison	\$1,384.54	10/03/07
Darrell Hindman	\$30.56	10/03/07
Billy Watkins	\$30.56	10/03/07
Gary Zimmer	\$30.56	10/03/07
Donald Hill	\$30.56	10/03/07
James Dolan	\$30.56	10/03/07
Richard Crow	\$30.56	10/03/07
Charles Watters	\$30.56	10/03/07
Albert Cruciano	\$532.54	10/03/07
Oscar Howard	\$532.54	10/03/07
Willie Dee Wilkins	\$30.57	10/03/07
Aurthur Davis	\$30.00	10/03/07
Wayne Cuthrell	\$30.57	10/03/07
Virginia Burchfield	\$215.18	10/03/07
John Lewter	\$30.57	10/03/07
Ben Reeves	\$30.57	10/03/07
Alphonso Newby	\$30.57	10/03/07
Lehman Boyd	\$1,384.55	10/03/07
William Huggins	\$3,123.64	10/03/07
Henry Whitaker	\$1,384.55	10/03/07
Rufus Outlaw	\$30.57	10/03/07
William McKenney	\$30.56	10/03/07
James Murphy	\$1,800.00	12/17/07
Melvin Nash	\$62.66	02/29/08
Joseph Witte	\$62.66	02/29/08
Roy Wilson	\$30.56	02/29/08
Joseph Manijak	\$1,384.54	02/29/08
Austin Chatman	\$243.31	02/29/08
Edward Piaskowski	\$2,775.66	02/29/08
Peter Neforos	\$62.66	11/13/08
Eddie Smith	\$62.66	11/13/08
Ary Moats	\$62.66	11/13/08
Michael Ioannu	\$62.66	11/13/08
George Douglas	\$1,384.55	11/13/08
William Green	\$1,384.55	11/13/08
Wade Johnson	\$30.57	11/13/08
Allen Kane	\$30.57	11/13/08

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-7 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit G Page 3 of 6

<u>Claimant Name</u>	Distribution Amount	Date Distribution Was Returned to the Trust
Thomas Nealis	\$65.16	02/05/09
Stephen Makos	\$900.00	06/30/09
Anthony Marcinkevich	\$30.57	07/28/09
Joseph Roberts	\$30.57	07/28/09
Thomas Simpson	\$30.57	07/28/09
Fred Pless	\$1,384.55	07/28/09
Eleanor Barrett	\$142.65	07/28/09
Jerry Whitney	\$244.54	07/28/09
Verna Rickman	\$1,207.10	07/28/09
Charles Engle	\$62.66	07/28/09
John Marsh	\$221.13	09/15/09
Charles Ray	\$1,429.69	10/16/09
Claudious Johns	\$31.79	12/14/09
Charles Lovett	\$31.79	01/13/10
Charles Harvey	\$1,439.69	01/13/10
Sid Sharpe	\$148.33	01/14/10
Lloyd Griffee	\$31.79	01/19/10
Ronald Cheslock	\$1,439.69	01/25/10
Charles Coles	\$772.50	01/29/10
Joseph Novak	\$772.50	01/29/10
John Gorman	\$31.79	01/29/10
Charles Best	\$31.79	02/04/10
Herman Rose	\$1,439.69	02/25/10
Thomas Struhar	\$31.79	02/25/10
Donald Watson-Bey	\$31.79	02/25/10
Donald Willis	\$31.79	02/25/10
Emmett Wyman	\$1,439.69	03/31/10
Gladys Russell	\$1,439.69	03/31/10
Henry Lassen	\$31.79	04/02/10
Howard Huff	\$31.79	04/02/10
Othello Armstrong	\$30.57	04/19/10
James Smerowski	\$62.66	04/19/10
George Wilson	\$62.66	04/19/10
Lawrence Miller	\$62.66	04/19/10
Marvin Mezick	\$31.79	05/17/10
Ira Pearce	\$4,140.00	07/02/10
Ray Beamon	\$31.79	08/12/10

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-7 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit G Page 4 of 6

<u>Claimant Name</u>	Distribution Amount	Date Distribution Was Returned to the Trust
Percy Brown	\$1,439.69	08/12/10
Gene Draughn	\$31.79	08/12/10
Eugene Mezick	\$31.79	08/12/10
Burdell White	\$772.50	08/16/10
Roland Johnson	\$31.79	08/19/10
Dennis Hall	\$31.79	08/19/10
Richard Kroll	\$31.79	08/30/10
Carroll Conway	\$31.79	09/27/10
George Goddard	\$772.50	10/04/10
George Broadmax	\$31.79	10/22/10
James Long	\$31.79	11/12/10
Charles Harris	\$31.79	11/12/10
Shirley Reese	\$31.79	11/12/10
Louis Lett	\$31.79	11/12/10
John Erickson	\$508.60	12/01/10
Ray Beamon	\$1,407.90	12/06/10
Stanley Adams	\$1,440.00	12/27/10
Guyton Weaver	\$65.16	12/27/10
Oscar Hetrick	\$62.66	12/28/10
John Narum	\$30.57	12/28/10
Thomas McMonagle	\$2,838.32	12/28/10
John Kirn	\$2,838.32	12/28/10
John Flynn	\$62.66	12/28/10
Richard Clark	\$62.66	12/28/10
Bernard Magness	\$62.66	12/28/10
James Hollins	\$31.79	02/03/11
Samuel Bauckman	\$772.50	03/07/11
Arthur Carroll	\$772.50	03/07/11
Lester Cramer	\$772.50	03/07/11
Bart Ecret	\$772.50	03/07/11
Edward Fair	\$1,440.00	03/07/11
William Falvey	\$772.50	03/07/11
Joseph Finelli	\$1,440.00	03/07/11
Robert Gilliard	\$1,440.00	03/07/11
Michael Greenawald	\$1,440.00	03/07/11
Grayson Hayes	\$595.00	03/07/11
Donald Hunsberger	\$772.50	03/07/11

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-7 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit G Page 5 of 6

<u>Claimant Name</u>	Distribution Amount	Date Distribution Was Returned to the Trust
William Hutchings	\$2,572.50	03/07/11
Robert Kibler	\$4,800.00	03/07/11
Elizabeth Macario	\$1,440.00	03/07/11
Stephen Makos	\$360.00	03/07/11
Lawrence Mugni	\$1,440.00	03/07/11
Roy Vail	\$1,440.00	03/07/11
Kenneth Via	\$8,054.50	03/07/11
Earl Smith	\$1,440.00	03/07/11
Eddie Crittenden	\$772.50	04/18/11
Harry Moore	\$772.50	07/14/11
Lendon Daugherty		08/24/11
Richard Clark	¢2.062.65	
Robert Jones	\$2,963.65	
Burton Arnold		
James Tilley	\$902.20	01/20/12
Donald Boatwright	\$19.92	01/20/12
Walter Coleman	\$19.92	01/20/12
Ledford Stepp	\$902.20	01/20/12
Henry Hogue	\$902.20	01/20/12
Arthur Ramsey	\$902.20	01/20/12
John Gibbs	\$19.92	01/20/12
Samuel Morcom	\$19.92	01/20/12
Stanley Birch	\$772.50	02/14/12
John Wagner	\$1,440.00	02/14/12
Edward Jaworski	\$1,440.00	02/28/12
Samuel Adamo	\$1,440.00	05/07/12
Roy Smith	\$1,440.00	07/09/12
Eddie Crittenden	\$595.00	07/25/12
Frank Burns	\$772.50	08/28/12
Ted Sherrill	\$19.92	10/10/12
Herbert Stephenson	\$158.93	10/10/12
Hazel Jones	\$150.00	09/19/13
Cleophus Veasey	\$2,572.50	05/02/14
David Grantham	\$177.50	07/23/15
Richard Wisner	\$705.60	02/28/17
Leonard Waltz	\$345.60	08/07/17
Alan Rutherford	\$8,236.80	10/15/17

## Case 03-35592-TPA Doc 2946-7 Filed 04/27/18 Entered 04/27/18 12:24:41 Desc Exhibit G Page 6 of 6

<u>Claimant Name</u>	Distribution Amount	<u>Date Distribution</u> <u>Was Returned</u> <u>to the Trust</u>
Robert Wotring	\$2,450.00	10/30/17